

Overcoming Disparities in Cancer: A Need for Meaningful Reform for Hispanic and Latino Cancer Survivors

JOSHUA P. KRONENFELD, A KRISTI D. GRAVES, FRANK J. PENEDO, BETINA YANEZC

^aUniversity of Miami Miller School of Medicine & Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, Miami, Florida, USA; ^bGeorgetown University Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Washington, District of Columbia, USA; ^cNorthwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine and Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Chicago, Illinois, USA Disclosures of potential conflicts of interest may be found at the end of this article.

Key Words. Cancer • Health equity • Latino • Racism • Survivorship

ABSTRACT _

Hispanic and Latino (HL) cancer survivors are at a critical disadvantage compared with non-Hispanic White (NHW) patients regarding sociodemographic adversities and access to equitable treatment options. By 2030, there will be about four million HL cancer survivors in the U.S., representing nearly 20% of survivors in this country. Hispanics and Latinos are subjected to significant challenges in accessing and receiving equitable care relative to NHWs. Hispanics and Latinos also experience lower rates of health insurance and financial resources, limiting health care options. These disparities often originate from disparate social determinants of health, including lower funding for education and school programs, greater neighborhood stressors and violence, lower access to healthy and affordable food, and greater barriers to community health and exercise opportunities. Even among HL cancer survivors with proper access to health care, they experience disparate treatment options, including low inclusion in clinical trials and/or access to experimental therapies. A solution to these barriers necessitates complex and systemic changes that involve, for example, investing in public health programs, increasing the diversity and cultural awareness of the medical workforce, and promoting research opportunities such as clinical trials that are inclusive of HLs. Only through meaningful reform will equitable cancer care be available for all in the U.S. regardless of racial and/or ethnic background. This article reviews some of the critical social determinants of health and biases relevant to HL cancer survivors and provides recommendations for achieving cancer health equity. The Oncologist 2021;26:443-452

Implications for Practice: Hispanics and Latinos experience a significant and often disproportionate cancer-related burden compared with non-Hispanic and Latino White individuals and other racial and ethnic groups. Meaningful reform to achieve health equity in oncology should focus on approaches to gaining trust among diverse patients, cultural and community sensitivity and engagement in oncology care and research, diversifying the workforce, and improving inclusion in clinical trial participation. Taken together, these recommendations can lead to exemplary and equitable care for all patients.

Introduction _

Millions of Hispanics and Latinos (HL) diagnosed with cancer are at a critical disadvantage in regard to access to unprecedented advances in cancer therapeutics, personalized oncology care, and comprehensive survivorship programs [1]. The divide between state-of-the-art and cutting-edge cancer care available and the actual care received by many HLs with cancer continues to contribute to persistent disparities in cancer-related morbidity and mortality among HLs and Non-Hispanic White (NHW) individuals. In this review, we discuss several of the social determinants of health and experienced interpersonal and systemic biases that can negatively impact cancer health outcomes among HLs and provide recommendations for meaningful reform that can help achieve health equity among HLs diagnosed with cancer.

The number of cancer survivors in the U.S. is projected to reach 22.2 million by 2030, many of whom will be HLs (\sim 4 million) [1, 2]. We define HLs as individuals who come from Spanish-speaking countries and primarily those who

Correspondence: Betina Yanez, Ph.D., Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Cancer Survivorship Institute, Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, 625 North Michigan Avenue, 21st Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60611, USA. Telephone: 312-503-5341; e-mail: betina.yanez@northwestern. edu Received October 21, 2020; accepted for publication December 29, 2020; published Online First on March 10, 2021. http://dx.doi. org/10.1002/onco.13729

No part of this article may be reproduced, stored, or transmitted in any form or for any means without the prior permission in writing from the copyright holder. For information on purchasing reprints contact commercialreprints@wiley.com.

are from Latin America [1]. As the second-fastest growing racial or ethnic minority group in the U.S., HLs experience a significant and often disproportionate cancer-related burden compared with NHW and other racial and ethnic groups [3]. Cancer is the leading cause of death among HLs, whereas heart disease is the leading cause for Black and NHW persons [4]. Hispanic and Latino individuals are also diagnosed with more advanced disease, which partly contributes to higher mortality across select cancers (e.g., colorectal, endometrial, liver, prostate, stomach, etc.) relative to other groups [5]. These disparities are both persistent and pervasive [4]. We do not repeat these troubling statistics but rather examine the contextual and systemic factors that contribute to and exacerbate these disparities. We identify critical areas in which change is needed, including recognizing how social determinants of health (e.g., access to care, language, health literacy) influence cancer outcomes among HLs; understanding the impact of systemic sociopolitical factors, including immigration and documentation policies which can adversely impact access to care; becoming aware of implicit and explicit biases, racism, and discrimination in care; rectifying inadequate inclusion of HLs in oncology clinical trials; addressing limited access to potentially life-saving experimental therapeutics or precision oncology care; and implementing strategies to overcome the staggering lack of racial and ethnic diversity in the oncology workforce. As we strive for equity for all, we have the moral and humane responsibility, and an urgent need, to ensure that all people have the opportunity to access comprehensive and equitable screening, treatment, and follow-up cancer care [6]. We close with a call to action for advancing cancer health equity and discuss specific strategies to reduce inequities in the cancer care of HLs.

SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH IN HISPANIC AND LATINOS: EFFECTS ON CANCER OUTCOMES

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention defines social determinants of health (SDH) as conditions in the places people live, learn, work, and play that affect a wide range of health and quality of life outcomes [7]. Disparities exist in these components across racial and ethnic groups [8]. Hispanics and Latinos are disproportionately affected by poor SDH, with significant and negative implications for their overall health and well-being [3, 9]. Poor SDH significantly impact access to, and quality of, cancer care and subsequent unfavorable clinical outcomes for patients in already vulnerable populations. There are vast documented disparities in clinical and patient-reported outcomes across most malignancies, with overwhelming evidence suggesting that HLs are at a critical disadvantage. Hispanics and Latinos, specifically, present with more advanced disease and have a poorer prognosis for several different cancers relative to NHWs [10-16].

Socioeconomic Status

Although cancer does not discriminate based on an individual's socioeconomic status, poorer cancer outcomes are well-documented among individuals with lower income, low education, and lower rates of health insurance. Among HLs, socioeconomic status is related to poor cardiometabolic health (e.g., high blood pressure, dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, etc.), which can adversely affect overall health and cancer-related outcomes [17]. Education level is perhaps one of the most influential components in determining an individual's socioeconomic status [18]. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, less than 70% of HLs have attained a high-school diploma, relative to 90% of NHWs [19]. Only 16% of HLs have a bachelor's degree and 5% have a graduate or advanced professional degree compared with 36% and 14% respectively, in NHWs [19]. These disparities limit financial opportunities and create resource gaps. Furthermore, schools in areas with large HL populations receive less funding, a disparity that influences SDH and may impact cancer and general health outcomes [9, 20, 21].

Health Insurance

Hispanics and Latinos also have the lowest health insurance rate of any racial or ethnic group, with 34% reportedly without health insurance, more than twice the uninsured rate of NHWs [22]. Educational gaps drive the lack of health insurance as individuals with lower levels of education often hold jobs that do not provide insurance coverage or offer limited plans with significant out-of-pocket expenses. Language barriers and systemic discrimination also impact employment opportunities. Many first-generation HLs primarily speak Spanish at home, and nearly 30% report that they are not fluent in English [19]. Careers and jobs that provide proper or any type of health insurance are less attainable for individuals who do not demonstrate English literacy [23]. Hispanics and Latinos may also be deliberately discriminated against because of their ethnicity, and therefore may not be hired by employers [24]. A scarcity of resources for individuals and their families who have recently migrated to the U.S. often leads to challenges in learning English and securing dependable employment with suitable health insurance benefits [25, 26]. Poor health literacy and inadequate health insurance among HLs result, in part, from disparate and limited educational resources that yield fewer educational opportunities that subsequently limits job opportunities.

Income

The combination of education and literacy barriers faced by HLs contribute to lower household income. Income inequality, another prominent disparity in HL communities, has been independently associated with poorer health outcomes [27]. In 2017, median household income among HLs was \$50,400 compared with \$68,100 for NHWs, a more than 25% differences in wages [28]. Hispanics and Latinos have held low-skilled and low-paying jobs in disproportionate numbers, a finding that can be attributed to the previously described disparities (e.g., inadequate funding for quality education, underdeveloped programs to promote English fluency and literacy, etc.) [29]. Individuals with lower incomes have been shown to have a decreased life expectancy when compared with individuals of higher economic status, an alarming statistic that shows how the lack



of income equality in HLs can actually lead to earlier mortality [30]. Low income and low socioeconomic status have been linked to an increased stress state, which negatively affects health outcomes in HLs as well [31].

Stress

Generally because of discrimination and financial burden, stress in HLs is present because of a variety of factors, including ethnic discrimination, language barriers, and acculturation challenges [32, 33]. Hispanics and Latinos also experience significant stress from racism, deliberate exclusion based on their ethnicity, and harassment because of their culture [34]. These stressors have been linked to poorer health-related quality of life and health outcomes [4, 35]. Collectively, the impact of stress from these factors can have harmful consequences and compromise overall adjustment, response to therapy, and survival [36]. Stress has also been linked to decreased neurocognitive function in elderly HLs, a factor that can contribute to poorer health outcomes and compromised cancer survivorship [37]. Additional research is needed, and is currently underway by the authors of this article, to increase the understanding of stress-related outcomes in HLs with malignancies, with particular emphasis on the design and implementation of interventions to ameliorate these disparities [38, 39].

Neighborhood Context

Neighborhood context can contribute to significant ongoing stress with implications on health outcomes [40]. Financial inequities and inadequate school funding, as discussed above, can contribute to an increase in violent behaviors in some, but certainly not all, HL communities [41, 42]. The presence of neighborhood violence and crime can increase the stress burden in the HL community [43]. Furthermore, continuous stress-activation can have deleterious health and educational consequences, which can be traced back to, for example, a need for investment in equitable schools and educational programs for all children. The increase in neighborhood violence can also impact the ability of HLs to remain physically active and to exercise outside [43]. Whereas nonviolent neighborhoods are conducive to walks, engaging in exercise, and community activities in local parks, violent neighborhoods tend to enforce a sedentary lifestyle and an increased body mass index (BMI) [44]. This sedentary lifestyle, and increase in BMI, contributes to diabetes and cardiovascular and pulmonary disease and may have deleterious prognostic implications for patients undergoing treatment for malignancies [45], such as breast, uterine, prostate, and other cancers [46-48].

Food Access

Finally, nutritional deficiencies resulting from an inadequate access to healthy and affordable nutrition, remain a constant challenge for HLs [49]. The U.S. Department of Agriculture has demonstrated that low-income and minority populations, including HLs, are more likely to live further from supermarkets, with lack of access to quality produce when compared with NHWs [50]. Additionally, individuals who live in areas with increased neighborhood violence, as described above, may be less able to access these

supermarkets, even if they are close to home, because of an inability to safely walk through their neighborhood to these markets [51]. The dearth of healthy, affordable, and accessible food leads to an increased intake of high fat, high carbohydrate, and low fruit and vegetable meals and a reliance on fast food restaurants [52]. This, in turn, can lead to adverse health effects including increased cardiovascular disease, and poorer cancer outcomes [53, 54]. Investment in community nutrition education and improved access to supermarkets and healthy food options is imperative to alleviate the long-lasting health disparities generated by living in a food desert or in communities without adequate access to healthy and affordable food [55].

Interpersonal and Systemic Implicit and Explicit Bias Effects on Health Equity

Unconscious or implicit bias is defined as a bias that is activated unintentionally but silently influences a person's perception or behavior toward another individual; these biases can affect interactions between health care professionals and patients [56, 57]. For example, physicians caring for HLs may be less likely to arrive at a serious diagnosis or refer the patient to a medical specialist [58]. Providers may even be less likely to refer minorities to specialty services because of an implicit bias that the patient may not be able to pay for the additional services [58, 59]. Studies have also documented that pain and discomfort may be significantly undertreated in HLs [60]. Hispanics and Latinos are less likely to receive opioid analgesics than NHWs and are more likely to remain with untreated pain throughout their cancer treatment [61]. Physicians underestimate pain severity in more than 60% of HLs with cancer-related pain [61, 62]. Cancer-related pain in HLs is disproportionately underidentified and undertreated when compared with other types of pain [63, 64]. The disparities, however, also appear to be related to physician willingness to accept HL patients' assessments of their own pain, which may be an underreported pain severity because of inherent biases [65]. Additionally, it has been reported that some physicians believe HL pain should not be treated with opioids, because of concerns about addictions and potential tolerance, but these biases are unfounded and have no scientific validity [66]. Cancer-related pain is a critical phenomenon, and physicians must remain vigilant when treating HLs for malignancies to ensure that pain is identified and appropriately treated in all patients equally.

Hispanics and Latinos are also not proportionally represented or even included in most clinical trials at the same rate as NHWs, including the National Institutes of Health and U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) trials, so generalizability of therapeutic interventions and therapeutic implications in regard to response and toxicities are limited for this demographic [67–70]. For instance, the FDA reports that HLs make up approximately 16% of the population but only 1% of enrollment in clinical trials [70]. Negative health care interactions, possibly fueled by past injustices or current implicit biases of providers and research programs, may lead to a distrust of the health care system and a decreased rate of enrollment in clinical trials [71]. However,

studies have also shown that HL physicians are less likely to recommend clinical trials to HLs relative to NHW patients [72]. Even when HLs are enrolled in clinical trials, they are more likely to not fully understand the aims of the clinical trial, perhaps because of cultural or language barriers, when compared with non-Hispanic patients, a concerning realization with substantial ethical considerations [73]. Clinical trials in cancer care are the gateway to medical innovation, and patients of all genders, races, and ethnicities must be equally represented in an effort to ensure the results are applicable to all patients [74].

SOCIOPOLITICAL FORCES IMPACTING ACCESS TO CARE

Although there are more than 60 million HL living in the United States as citizens or lawful permanent residents (e.g., green card holders), a significant proportion (~11 million) are undocumented and have unique challenges when accessing health care, particularly cancer care [75, 76]. Undocumented patients often lack health insurance coverage and are less likely to have a usual health care source or primary care physician to perform healthmaintenance examinations or screen for malignancies [77]. This inequity is associated with a higher proportion of diagnoses at advanced stages of disease as well as a longer diagnosis-to-treatment interval among undocumented patients with cancer [78, 79]. Without health insurance, these patients are not able to access chemotherapy or surgical interventions because of their inability to pay, unless a patient is willing and able to pay substantial out-of-pocket expenses [80-82]. Additionally, undocumented HLs may be less likely to pursue medical care because of a fear of being reported to customs officials or being deported [83-86]. Inadequate insurance coverage and a fear of seeking medical treatment leads to a major disparity in cancer care for this subset of HLs and leads to increased morbidity and decreased overall survival in these patients. As an example, uninsured patients with breast, colorectal, lung, prostate, bladder cancer, or non-Hodgkin lymphoma have a much higher risk of death within 5 years of diagnosis compared with patients with health insurance (97% vs. 41%) [87].

Addressing Disparities Through Cultural Sensitivity and Community Engagement

Non-English speaking HLs may experience challenges engaging with health care professionals because of the language barrier, as many providers are English monolingual [88]. Despite efforts to use medical interpreters, patient navigators, or *promotoras*, these challenges persist and may lead to an inadequate patient understanding of illness and a barrier to engaging further with health care providers [89, 90]. Additional language barriers, including regional variability in spoken and written Spanish and stylistic nuances that vary across country of origin, can further complicate HLs' understanding of physician guidance and other medical information [91].

Ensuring adequate access to cancer care for all patients requires trust in the health care system and trust

that physicians and other providers will provide exemplary and equitable care for all patients [92]. Gaining the trust of racial and ethnic minorities, including HLs, often requires engaging community leaders to discuss specific actions the health care community can take to earn and sustain trust [93, 94]. Community organizations such churches, civic groups, or other community gathering places provide a platform to congregate as well as discuss and deliver health education and services for HLs [95]. Hispanics and Latinos, especially first generation, may not always have access to available or affordable health care; therefore, an effort by physicians, community leaders, and patient advocates must be made to inform this population of available preventative, health-maintenance, and treatment services [96]. Additionally, HL communities may not have adequate representation on the leadership committees or boards of hospitals serving their community, and diversifying board and committee membership may improve community outreach and service delivery [97].

Once a community is engaged and local health care organizations have begun to earn their trust, it is important to draw upon community leaders to identify unmet needs or cancer concerns [98]. Patients in underserved communities, including those of HL ethnicity, appreciate being engaged in discussions about their health care needs [99]. For example, in some communities, patients may be interested in smoking cessation programs or screening for malignancies, whereas other communities may be concerned with opioid addiction, mental health, and sexually transmitted infections and preventing their spread [99, 100]. Through discussions with community leaders, health care providers can help empower patients to take an interest in their health care while also gaining the trust of HLs as discussed above [95]. Only through community partnerships, and establishment of community advisory boards, can HLs and providers achieve a health care system trusted by all and engaged with providing the needed services in a given community [101]. Additionally, sustained community-based engagement and investment in education and other programs have reciprocal benefit for providers and hospital systems as well [102].

Improved access to screening and preventative services is necessary to maintain a healthy community, especially with regard to access. Historically, HLs have had limited access to screening for cervical cancer because of many of the disparities and barriers to care discussed previously [103]. However, we have a precedent on how proper engagement can improve screening behaviors. Community engagement of organization representatives, clergy members, and business leaders, combined with increased patient education, has led to an increase in cervical cancer screening of HLs in recent years through the performance of Papanicolaou tests and pelvic examinations [104, 105]. Additionally, community-academic partnerships have been helpful to increase the acceptance of vaccinations for human papillomavirus virus among HLs in an effort to combat the causative agent implicated in cervical cancer [104, 106].



CALL TO ACTION: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACHIEVING HEALTH EQUITY

Diversifying the Oncology Workforce

Hispanics and Latinos are under-represented among practicing oncology clinicians and health care researchers. Less than 8% of practicing medical oncologists identify as HL, 3% of practicing radiation oncologists identify as HL, and HLs are under-represented in health research [107-109]. Diversity in the oncology research workforce catalyzes the scientific inquiry needed to problem solve the cancer-related inequities experienced by underserved populations. The lack of diversity among oncology professionals and researchers often translates to an under-represented mentorship among minority faculty, and fewer minority faculty members achieve academic promotions [110, 111]. From a clinical perspective, cultural mistrust and language barriers between patients and physicians can interfere with treatment decision making and, ultimately, care delivery. Diversity in the oncology workforce is crucial to fostering culturally competent care and education [112]. For these reasons, recruitment and retention of diverse clinicians and researchers is a first step toward achieving health equity and optimal health care for all patients afflicted by cancer.

Clinical training should encompass the diverse cultural and linguistic needs of the patient populations clinicians serve. Partnering with communities is key to understanding how best to support local programs and research led by the community to improve cancer health equity. Health systems should value and recognize faculty and staff who focus on community engagement and equity outreach. Moreover, given the time and resources required to develop meaningful partnerships with community organizations and effect change in local communities, institutional committees that evaluate promotion and tenure should consider these contributions to community engagement and equity outreach as tantamount to scientific scholarship when reviewing candidates. These incentives will continue to drive meaningful change in the oncology workforce, which will translate to decreased disparities encountered by HLs. We also recommend that health systems encourage and sponsor professional development opportunities in health equity research and mentorship and mitigate bias in the hiring of minority candidates. Increasing awareness and training to counteract implicit bias can promote culturally competent care and work toward decreasing disparities in HL patients [113, 114].

Enhancing the oncology education and support services pipeline is necessary to diversify the oncology workforce. More specifically, there is a need to increase the number of students and trainees from under-represented groups into clinical and research careers that will directly enhance cancer health equity. Increasing the opportunities for early career development and mentorship for under-represented students and trainees interested in cancer health equity will help strengthen the pipeline. The Partnerships to Advance Cancer Health Equity (PACHE), an initiative championed by the National Cancer Institute [115], provides federal funding for the development of partnerships between institutions

serving medically underserved populations and underrepresented students and trainees [116, 117]. Pipeline programs funded through the PACHE, such as the Chicago Cancer Health Equity Collaborative Fellows Training Program, aim to increase the mentoring of minority trainees and early career medical faculty by providing cancer health equity research training opportunities and summer programs for under-represented students interested in careers in oncology [118, 119]. Career development support grants such as the NCI K01, which specifically target under-represented early-stage investigators in cancer, may also help diversify the pool of cancer researchers. The Redes En Acción program has also worked to facilitate opportunities for junior HL scientists to work on projects in cancer control [120]. Finally, it is worth noting that efforts are currently underway nationally to increase the diversity of nursing and medical schools to include more underrepresented minorities in their student bodies [121, 122].

Improving Diversity in Research

Minorities, especially Spanish-speaking HLs, continue to be under-represented in cancer clinical trials [123]. Study eligibility criteria, which may limit enrollment among patients with multiple comorbidities, can be a limitation to enrollment among minority patients with cancer, who are more likely to be diagnosed multiple comorbidities when compared with NHW patients. Fear of being assigned to a placebo or usual care condition are common reasons why patients may choose not to enroll in a clinical trial. This concern may be amplified among minority patients who may have less access to cutting-edge care. When possible, alternate approaches to placebo or usual care randomized trials should be considered to achieve equitable inclusion in cancer research. Additional considerations such as remote and multimedia consenting options and hiring of diverse and bilingual staff who reflect the patient population being enrolled are strategies that might help overcome barriers to enrollment in clinical trials [124]. Meaningful input on study design and support from community stakeholders may also lead to increased recruitment and retention of minorities in cancer research trials. Greater access to phase I and phase II trials may also lead to an increased enrollment in clinical trials, as these phases often do not include randomization, which can be a deterrent to enrollment among some patients.

More attention is needed to better investigate subgroups of HLs because of the heterogeneity of the population and differences seen across these different subgroups (e.g., Cuban, Mexican, South American, etc.), which may be confounded by socioeconomic status or lead to inaccurate conclusions when these populations are grouped together in as a single cohort [125, 126]. For example, Cuban Americans tend to have a higher socioeconomic status compared with Mexican Americans, and South American HLs have higher rates of gastric cancer because of a greater incidence of Helicobacter pylori [127, 128]. Both of these examples may impact cancer-related outcomes as described above, and large, nation-wide studies such as the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos are needed to enroll a

demographically representative samples of HLs in the U.S. Increasing grant funding for diverse researchers is also an important initiative and has helped cultivate young investigators into leaders in the field of diversity research [129]. Black researchers, for example, are significantly less likely than NHW researchers to be awarded prestigious National Institutes of Health R01 grants. One explanation for this funding gap is that Black researchers propose grant topics focused on health disparities and social determinants of health [130, 131]. Nonetheless, there is a critical need for a prospective, HL cancer survivorship study that can delineate multilevel determinants of cancer and other health outcomes in diverse HLs.

Increasing Awareness and Changing Policies to Reduce Inequities in Health Care

For oncology providers, the implicit biases we carry may affect patient-provider communication, referrals to specialists, underdiagnoses, and recognition and treatment of patient symptoms, like pain [64, 132]. Reducing the effect of these implicit biases requires awareness, gained through reflection, discussion, and education. For example, just as checklists aligned with quality care metrics have improved the safety and quality of care in surgery and other procedures, quality care checklists can be developed for discussions about clinical trials and treatment decision making to ensure that each patient is provided with adequate information, prompting questions and allowing time for discussion [133, 134]. Similarly, for referrals to specialists, financial support services may exist that can provide options or other resources if cost is a potential barrier [135]. Without equitable cancer diagnoses or referrals to appropriate specialists, HLs will receive inadequate care that may affect chemotherapy regimens, radiation therapies, or surgical options [136]. Appropriate treatment for malignancies requires timely discovery of the cancer, prompt workup and multidisciplinary evaluation of disease, and swift intervention to halt progression and eliminate disease [137]. With delayed diagnoses and fewer referrals, HLs are at a disadvantage in cancer outcomes.

Within systems that provide oncology care, those responsible for writing, reviewing, and implementing policies can consider whether existing structures ensure equity in access and quality. For example, health systems can conduct a language audit to determine the areas of cancer care in which more robust language support and translation are needed. These types of language audits are especially important given the rapid uptake of novel health information technologies in ambulatory care. Most patient-facing electronic health portals, for example, are not available in multiple languages. Furthermore, after-care-visit summaries that are provided to patients are not often available in more than one language or designed for patients with low health literacy who might prefer graphical instructions. When possible, pairing patients from a particular cultural background with providers or translators of similar ethnic origins [138] can enhance the quality of care by increasing trust in the medical system, promote greater patient understanding, and lead to improved health outcomes, including oncologic outcomes, in HLs [139, 140]. With a better understanding of their disease, treatment algorithms, and prognoses, HL can then make informed decisions about their care and feel empowered to guide their own treatment, helping to overcome the large disparities often present. The benefits afforded by pairing a patient with a member of their ethnic background may be partially due to alleviating language barriers, but studies have shown that additional cultural factors help to improve patient comfort as well [88]. Hispanics and Latinos identify more with health care providers of similar cultures, family beliefs, and religions because of a bond formed through shared experiences [140]. Similar preferences in health care providers have been noted throughout many sociodemographic groups, such as pediatric male patients preferring male physicians and pediatric female patients preferring female providers [141]. Patients often find solace in providers who are similar to themselves, and this can be especially important when considering care for malignancies, which evoke a strong emotional response and may create exceptional stress [139]. When facing particularly important decisions, such as chemotherapy regimens, surgical interventions, or whether to pursue treatment at all, HLs, especially Spanishspeaking HLs, may prefer to be cared for by culturally competent providers who understand their beliefs and speak their language [138]. These preferences should be facilitated to achieve the best possible outcomes.

Health care systems can also review metrics such as time between diagnosis and treatment based on different patient populations and evaluate whether specific groups experience longer diagnostic delays. Finally, with the significant financial burden of cancer care, health care systems are urged to employ allied health professionals who can support patients in identifying resources. Patient navigators can provide critical support to patients in terms of overcoming transportation and financial barriers and increasing accessibility to medical services to seek timely cancer care [142]. Other innovative examples include specifically trained financial navigators that can provide guidance on managing financial or immigration barriers among patients with cancer [143]. Although adding these types of positions and structures does cost money, over time, the improvements in care, adherence, and potential reduction in the need for emergency care provide a return on investment [144].

Conclusion

This report provides a synthesis of the driving forces that influence inequity in cancer care and related outcomes and recommendations for achieving cancer health equity in HLs. We urge both the individuals who provide care for HLs with cancer and the institutions in which they work to engage in individual and system level reflection, policy review, and implementation of practices and procedures that are equitable such that they do not systematically disadvantage any one race or ethnic group. Although there are some cultural barriers that provide challenges for HL to interface with the medical system, many of the challenges originate from systemic inequities that make it very difficult for HL to access adequate health care. Community engagement and



U54CA203000.

improving cultural awareness and sensitivity are paramount in guiding HLs into the health care system to receive appropriate care or malignancies and other medical maladies. Programs that increase physician and nursing diversity, and recruitment of minority candidates to graduate programs, empower HLs to engage with their patients in a culturally competent manner and promotes trust in our health care system. Additionally, initiatives to increase funding for cancer studies and trials to include HL help to ensure that treatments will be efficacious to all patients. Although HLs face significant disparities in oncologic care, meaningful reform has begun and must continue to ensure equitable care for all who live and work in this country.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

National Institutes of Health.

Conception/design: Joshua P. Kronenfeld, Kristi D. Graves, Frank J. Penedo, Betina Yanez

U54CA202997,

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors

and does not necessarily represent the official views of the

Manuscript writing: Joshua P. Kronenfeld, Kristi D. Graves, Frank J. Penedo, Betina Yanez

Final approval of manuscript: Joshua P. Kronenfeld, Kristi D. Graves, Frank J. Penedo, Betina Yanez

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research reported in this publication was supported, in part, by the National Institutes of Health through the following grant

DISCLOSURES

numbers:

The authors indicated no financial relationships.

U54CA202995.

T32CA211034, 5R01CA206456 & P30CA051008.

References _

- 1. Simon S. Population of US cancer survivors grows to nearly 17 million. American Cancer Society. Available at https://www.cancer.org/latest-news/population-of-us-cancer-survivorsgrows-to-nearly-17-million.html#:~:text=A% 20new%20report%20by%20the,than%2022.1% 20million%20by%202030. 2019. Accessed October 10, 2020.
- 2. Projections of the size and composition of the U.S. population: 2014 to 2060. U.S. Department of Commerce. Available at https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2015/demo/p25-1143.pdf. 2015. Accessed October 17, 2020.
- **3.** Noe-Bustamante L, Lopez MH, Krogstad JM. Hispanic population surpassed 60 million in 2019, but growth has slowed. Pew Research Center. July 7, 2020. Available at https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/07/07/u-s-hispanic-population-surpassed-60-million-in-2019-but-growth-has-slowed/.
- **4.** Yanez B, McGinty HL, Buitrago D et al. Cancer outcomes in Hispanics/Latinos in the United States: An integrative review and conceptual model of determinants of health. J Lat Psychol 2016;4:114–129.
- **5.** Pinheiro PS, Callahan KE, Siegel RL et al. Cancer mortality in Hispanic ethnic groups. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2017;26:376–382.
- **6.** Benjamins MR, Whitman S. Relationships between discrimination in health care and health care outcomes among four race/ethnic groups. J Behav Med 2014;37:402–413.
- **7.** Social determinants of health: Know what affects health. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available at https://www.cdc.gov/socialdeterminants/index.htm. 2020. Accessed September 29, 2020.
- **8.** Social determinants of health. HealthPeople. Available at https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/social-determinants-of-health. 2020. Accessed September 29, 2020.
- **9.** Tienda M, Mitchell F, National Research Council (U.S.). Panel on Hispanics in the United States., National Research Council (U.S.). Committee on Population. Hispanics and the future

- of America. Washington, DC: National Academies Press: 2006.
- **10.** Pinheiro PS, Sherman RL, Trapido EJ et al. Cancer incidence in first generation U.S. Hispanics: Cubans, Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, and new Latinos. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009:18:2162–2169.
- **11.** Kronenfeld JP, Ryon EL, Goldberg D et al. Disparities in presentation at time of hepatocellular carcinoma diagnosis: A United States safetynet collaborative study. Ann Surg Oncol 2020 (Epub ahead of print).
- **12.** Choi AH, Ji L, Babcock B et al. Peritoneal carcinomatosis in gastric cancer: Are Hispanics at higher risk? J Surg Oncol 2020;122:1624–1629.
- **13.** Kronenfeld JP, Goel N. ASO author reflections: Access to care and screening inequities for patients at risk for hepatocellular carcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol 2020 (Epub ahead of print).
- **14.** Bagley AF, Anscher MS, Choi S et al. Association of sociodemographic and health-related factors with receipt of nondefinitive therapy among younger men with high-risk prostate cancer. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3:e201255.
- **15.** Ramirez AG, Gallion KJ, Perez A et al. Improving quality of life among latino cancer survivors: Design of a randomized trial of patient navigation. Contemp Clin Trials 2019;76:41–48.
- **16.** Yee AM, Mazumder PK, Dong F et al. Impact of healthcare access disparities on initial diagnosis of breast cancer in the emergency department. Cureus 2020;12:e10027.
- **17.** McCurley JL, Penedo F, Roesch SC et al. Psychosocial factors in the relationship between socioeconomic status and cardiometabolic risk: The HCHS/SOL sociocultural ancillary study. Ann Behav Med 2017;51:477–488.
- **18.** Winkleby MA, Jatulis DE, Frank E et al. Socioeconomic status and health: How education, income, and occupation contribute to risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Am J Public Health 1992;82:816–820.
- **19.** Profile: Hispanic/Latino Americans. US Department of Health and Human Services Office of Minority Health. Available at https://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/omh/browse.aspx?lvl=3&lvlid=64. 2019. Accessed September 29, 2020.

- **20.** Anderson NB, Bulatao RA, Cohen B, eds; National Research Council (US) Panel on Race, Ethnicity, and Health in Later Life. Critical Perspectives on Racial and Ethnic Differences in Health in Late Life. Washington, DC: National Acadamies Press; 2004.
- **21.** Singh GK, Jemal A. Socioeconomic and racial/ethnic disparities in cancer mortality, incidence, and survival in the United States, 1950–2014: Over six decades of changing patterns and widening inequalities. J Environ Public Health 2017;2017;2017;2819372.
- **22.** Monnat SM. The new destination disadvantage: Disparities in Hispanic health insurance coverage rates in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan new and established destinations. Rural Sociol 2017;82:3–43.
- **23.** Steinberg EM, Valenzuela-Araujo D, Zickafoose JS et al. The "battle" of managing language barriers in health care. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2016:55:1318–1327.
- **24.** Chavez LJ, Ornelas IJ, Lyles CR et al. Racial/ethnic workplace discrimination: Association with tobacco and alcohol use. Am J Prev Med 2015;48:42–49.
- **25.** Jacobson HE, Hund L, Soto Mas F. Predictors of English health literacy among U.S. Hispanic immigrants: The importance of language, bilingualism and sociolinguistic environment. Lit Numer Stud 2016;24:43–64.
- **26.** Santos MG, Handley MA, Omark K et al. ESL participation as a mechanism for advancing health literacy in immigrant communities. J Health Commun 2014;19 Suppl 2:89–105.
- **27.** Lynch J, Smith GD, Harper S et al. Is income inequality a determinant of population health? Part 1. A systematic review. Milbank Q 2004;82:5–99.
- 28. Real median household income by race and Hispanic origin: 1967 to 2017. U.S. Department of Commerce. Available at https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/visualizations/2018/demo/p60-263/figure1.pdf. 2018. Accessed September 29, 2020.
- **29.** Monnat SM, Raffalovich LE, Tsao HS. Trends in the family income distribution by race/ethnicity and income source, 1988-2009. Popul Rev 2012;51:85–115.

- **30.** National Research Council (US) Committee on Future Directions for Behavioral and Social Sciences Research at the National Institutes of Health; Singer B, Ryff CD, eds. New Horizons in Health: An Integrative Approach. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2001.
- **31.** Schneiderman N, Ironson G, Siegel SD. Stress and health: Psychological, behavioral, and biological determinants. Annu Rev Clin Psychol 2005:1:607–628.
- **32.** Nair RL, White RM, Roosa MW et al. Cultural stressors and mental health symptoms among Mexican Americans: A prospective study examining the impact of the family and neighborhood context. J Youth Adolesc 2013;42: 1611–1623.
- **33.** Alcántara C, Patel SR, Carnethon M et al. Stress and sleep: Results from the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos Sociocultural Ancillary Study. SSM Popul Health 2017;3: 713–721.
- **34.** National Research Council (US) Panel on Race Ethnicity and Health in Later Life; Bulatao RA, Anderson NB, eds. Understanding Racial and Ethnic Differences in Health in Late Life: A Research Agenda. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2004.
- **35.** Yanez BR, Buitrago D, Buscemi J et al. Study design and protocol for My Guide: An e-health intervention to improve patient-centered outcomes among Hispanic breast cancer survivors. Contemp Clin Trials 2018;65:61–68.
- **36.** Jin J, Sklar GE, Min Sen Oh V et al. Factors affecting therapeutic compliance: A review from the patient's perspective. Ther Clin Risk Manag 2008;4:269–286.
- **37.** Muñoz E, Gallo LC, Hua S et al. Stress is Associated with neurocognitive function in Hispanic/Latino adults: Results from HCHS/SOL Socio-Cultural Ancillary Study. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2019 (Epub ahead of print).
- **38.** Bouchard LC, Yanez B, Dahn JR et al. Brief report of a tablet-delivered psychosocial intervention for men with advanced prostate cancer: Acceptability and efficacy by race. Transl Behav Med 2019:9:629–637.
- **39.** Penedo FJ, Oswald LB, Kronenfeld JP et al. The increasing value of eHealth in the delivery of patient-centred cancer care. Lancet Oncol 2020; 21:p240-e251
- **40.** Cutrona CE, Wallace G, Wesner KA. Neighborhood characteristics and depression: An examination of stress processes. Curr Dir Psychol Sci 2006:15:188–192.
- **41.** Estrada-Martínez LM, Cantrell C, Sewell W et al. Adolescent neighborhood environments and latino intraethnic disparities in trajectories of serious violent behaviors. Hisp J Behav Sci 2017:39:504–527.
- **42.** Martinez CR, Degarmo DS, Eddy JM. Promoting academic success among Latino youth. Hisp J Behav Sci 2004;26:128–151.
- **43.** Cooley-Strickland M, Quille TJ, Griffin RS et al. Community violence and youth: Affect, behavior, substance use, and academics. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev 2009;12:127–156.
- **44.** Theall KP, Chaparro MP, Denstel K et al. Childhood obesity and the associated roles of neighborhood and biologic stress. Prev Med Rep 2019;14:100849.

- **45.** Kerr J, Anderson C, Lippman SM. Physical activity, sedentary behaviour, diet, and cancer: An update and emerging new evidence. Lancet Oncol 2017;18:e457–e471.
- **46.** Sun L, Zhu Y, Qian Q et al. Body mass index and prognosis of breast cancer: An analysis by menstruation status when breast cancer diagnosis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e11220.
- **47.** Arem H, Irwin ML. Obesity and endometrial cancer survival: A systematic review. Int J Obes (Lond) 2013;37:634–639.
- **48.** Yu YD, Byun SS, Lee SE et al. Impact of body mass index on oncological outcomes of prostate cancer patients after radical prostatectomy. Sci Rep 2018;8:11962.
- **49.** Burner ERE, Menchine MD, Kubicek K et al. Perceptions of family among low-income patients with diabetes in a text-based mobile health intervention. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2018; 12:1203–1210.
- **50.** Dutko P, Ver Ploeg M, Farrigan T. Characteristics and Influential Factors of Food Deserts. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2020. Available at https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/45014/30940_err140.pdf. Accessed September 29, 2020.
- **51.** Wilbur J, Zenk S, Wang E et al. Neighborhood characteristics, adherence to walking, and depressive symptoms in midlife African American women. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2009;18: 1201–1210.
- **52.** Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Childhood Obesity Prevention Actions for Local Governments; Parker L, Burns AC, Sanchez E, eds. Local Government Actions to Prevent Childhood Obesity. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2009.
- **53.** Kelli HM, Kim JH, Samman Tahhan A et al. Living in food deserts and adverse cardiovascular outcomes in patients with cardiovascular disease. J Am Heart Assoc 2019;8:e010694.
- **54.** Kim DH. Nutritional issues in patients with cancer. Intest Res 2019;17:455–462.
- **55.** Karpyn AE, Riser D, Tracy T et al. The changing landscape of food deserts. UNSCN Nutr 2019; 44:46–53.
- **56.** Blair IV, Steiner JF, Havranek EP. Unconscious (implicit) bias and health disparities: Where do we go from here? Perm J 2011;15: 71–78.
- **57.** FitzGerald C, Hurst S. Implicit bias in healthcare professionals: A systematic review. BMC Med Ethics 2017:18:19.
- **58.** Weiner S. "I can't afford that!": Dilemmas in the care of the uninsured and underinsured. J Gen Intern Med 2001;16:412–418.
- **59.** Reschovsky JD, O'Malley AS. Do primary care physicians treating minority patients report problems delivering high-quality care? Health Aff (Millwood) 2008;27:w222–231.
- **60.** Johnson-Jennings M, Duran B, Hakes J et al. The influence of undertreated chronic pain in a national survey: Prescription medication misuse among American indians, Asian Pacific Islanders, Blacks, Hispanics and whites. SSM Popul Health 2020;11:100563.
- **61.** Cintron A, Morrison RS. Pain and ethnicity in the United States: A systematic review. J Palliat Med 2006;9:1454–1473.

- **62.** Anderson KO, Mendoza TR, Valero V et al. Minority cancer patients and their providers: Pain management attitudes and practice. Cancer 2000:88:1929–1938.
- **63.** Todd KH, Lee T, Hoffman JR. The effect of ethnicity on physician estimates of pain severity in patients with isolated extremity trauma. JAMA 1994:271:925–928.
- **64.** Campbell CM, Edwards RR. Ethnic differences in pain and pain management. Pain Manag 2012:2:219–230.
- **65.** Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Understanding and Eliminating Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care; Smedley BD, Stith AY, Nelson AR eds. Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2003.
- **66.** Burgess DJ, van Ryn M, Crowley-Matoka M et al. Understanding the provider contribution to race/ethnicity disparities in pain treatment: Insights from dual process models of stereotyping. Pain Med 2006;7:119–134.
- **67.** Aviles-Santa ML, Heintzman J, Lindberg NM et al. Personalized medicine and Hispanic health: Improving health outcomes and reducing health disparities A National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute workshop report. BMC Proc 2017;11 (Suppl 11):11.
- **68.** Mesa RA, Ramirez AG. Overcoming barriers for latinos on cancer clinical trials. In: Ramirez AG, Trapido EJ, eds. Advancing the Science of Cancer in Latinos. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2020:125–131.
- **69.** Fashoyin-Aje LA, Fernandes LL, Keegan P et al. Enrollment of Hispanics in cancer clinical trials: An FDA analysis. J Clin Oncol 2018;36 (15_suppl):e18670a.
- **70.** Society for Women's Health Research; U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Successful Strategies for Engaging Women and Minorities in Clinical Trials. Available at https://www.fda.gov/files/science%20&%20research/published/White-Paper-on-the-Dialogues-on-Diversifying-Clinical-Trials-Conference.pdf. 2011. Accessed October 10, 2020.
- **71.** London L, Hurtado-de-Mendoza A, Song M et al. Motivators and barriers to Latinas' participation in clinical trials: The role of contextual factors. Contemp Clin Trials 2015;40:74–80.
- **72.** Ramirez AG, Wildes K, Talavera G et al. Clinical trials attitudes and practices of Latino physicians. Contemp Clin Trials 2008;29:482–492.
- **73.** Hillyer GC, Beauchemin M, Hershman DL et al. Discordant attitudes and beliefs about cancer clinical trial participation between physicians, research staff, and cancer patients. Clin Trials 2020;17:184–194.
- **74.** Penedo FJ, Antoni MH, Moreno PI et al. Study design and protocol for a culturally adapted cognitive behavioral stress and self-management intervention for localized prostate cancer: The Encuentros de Salud study. Contemp Clin Trials 2018;71:173–180.
- **75.** Noe-Bustamante L, Lores A. Facts on Latinos in the U.S. Pew Research Center. September 16, 2019. Available at https://www.pewresearch.org/hispanic/fact-sheet/latinos-in-the-u-s-fact-sheet/. Accessed September 30, 2020.
- **76.** Fazel-Zarandi MM, Feinstein JS, Kaplan EH. The number of undocumented immigrants in the



- United States: Estimates based on demographic modeling with data from 1990 to 2016. PLoS One 2018;13:e0201193.
- **77.** Beck TL, Le TK, Henry-Okafor Q et al. Medical care for undocumented immigrants: National and international issues. Physician Assist Clin 2019;4:33–45.
- **78.** Olazagasti C, Duma N. Cancer care for all? Tales of caring for undocumented patients with cancer. *The Oncologist* 2020;25:552–554.
- **79.** Castro-Echeverry E, Kao LS, Robinson EK et al. Relationship between documentation status and survival for medically underserved Hispanic breast cancer patients. J Surg Res 2013; 180:284–289.
- **80.** Jepson VA, Cox JV, Peppercorn J. Ethical challenges: Oncologists' role in immigrant health care. J Oncol Pract 2010;6:247–248.
- **81.** Luque JS, Soulen G, Davila CB et al. Access to health care for uninsured Latina immigrants in South Carolina. BMC Health Serv Res 2018; 18:310.
- **82.** Jaramillo S, Hui D. End-of-Life Care for Undocumented Immigrants With Advanced Cancer: Documenting the Undocumented. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2016;51(4):784–788.
- **83.** Perez-Escamilla R, Garcia J, Song D. Health care access among Hispanic immigrants: ¿Alguien esta escuchando? [Is anybody listening?]. NAPA Bull 2010;34:47–67.
- **84.** Behrman P, Fitzgibbon M, Buscemi J et al. Society of Behavioral Medicine Position Statement: Congress should protect immigrants seeking health care. Transl Behav Med 2019;9: 1244–1247.
- **85.** Smith AK, Sudore RL, Pérez-Stable EJ. Palliative care for Latino patients and their families: Whenever we prayed, she wept. JAMA 2009; 301:1047–1057, E1041.
- **86.** Heyman JM, Núñez GG, Talavera V. Healthcare access and barriers for unauthorized immigrants in El Paso County, Texas. Fam Community Health 2009;32:4–21.
- **87.** Niu X, Roche LM, Pawlish KS et al. Cancer survival disparities by health insurance status. Cancer Med 2013;2:403–411.
- **88.** Tofthagen C, Halpenny B, Melendez M et al. Evaluating the linguistic appropriateness and cultural sensitivity of a self-report system for spanish-speaking patients with cancer. Nurs Res Pract 2014;2014:702683.
- **89.** Taber JM, Leyva B, Persoskie A. Why do people avoid medical care? A qualitative study using national data. J Gen Intern Med 2015;30: 290–297.
- **90.** Rocque R, Leanza Y. A systematic review of patients' experiences in communicating with primary care physicians: Intercultural encounters and a balance between vulnerability and integrity. PLoS One 2015;10:e0139577.
- **91.** Panayiotou A, Gardner A, Williams S et al. Language translation apps in health care settings: Expert opinion. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2019;7:e11316.
- **92.** Birkhäuer J, Gaab J, Kossowsky J et al. Trust in the health care professional and health outcome: A meta-analysis. PLoS One 2017;12: e0170988.
- **93.** Ramirez AG, Choi BY, Munoz E et al. Assessing the effect of patient navigator

- assistance for psychosocial support services on health-related quality of life in a randomized clinical trial in Latino breast, prostate, and colorectal cancer survivors. Cancer 2020;126: 1112–1123.
- **94.** Hernandez R, Carnethon M, Penedo FJ et al. Exploring well-being among US Hispanics/Latinos in a church-based institution: A qualitative study. J Posit Psychol 2016;11:511–521.
- **95.** Rivera-Hernandez M. The role of religious leaders in health promotion for older Mexicans with diabetes. J Relig Health 2015;54:303–315.
- **96.** Amirehsani KA, Hu J, Wallace DC et al. US healthcare experiences of hispanic patients with diabetes and family members: A qualitative analysis. J Community Health Nurs 2017;34:126–135.
- **97.** Castillo RJ, Guo KL. A framework for cultural competence in health care organizations. Health Care Manag (Frederick) 2011;30:205–214.
- **98.** Cyril S, Smith BJ, Possamai-Inesedy A et al. Exploring the role of community engagement in improving the health of disadvantaged populations: A systematic review. Glob Health Action 2015:8:29842.
- **99.** Hébert JR, Braun KL, Meade CD et al. Community-based participatory research adds value to the National Cancer Institute's research portfolio. Prog Community Health Partnersh 2015;9 (suppl):1–4.
- **100.** Graves KD, Jensen RE, Cañar J et al. Through the lens of culture: Quality of life among Latina breast cancer survivors. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012;136:603–613.
- **101.** Fingrut W, Beck LA, Lo D. Building an oncology community of practice to improve cancer care. Curr Oncol 2018;25:371–377.
- **102.** Kimminau KS, Jernigan C, LeMaster J et al. Patient vs. community engagement: Emerging issues. Med Care 2018;56(10 suppl 1):S53–S57.
- **103.** Fleming K, Simmons VN, Christy SM et al. Educating Hispanic women about cervical cancer prevention: Feasibility of a promotora-led charla intervention in a farmworker community. Ethn Dis 2018:28:169–176.
- 104. Mann L, Foley KL, Tanner AE et al. Increasing cervical cancer screening among US Hispanics/Latinas: A qualitative systematic review. J Cancer Educ 2015;30:374–387.
- **105.** Paskett ED, Dudley D, Young GS et al. Impact of patient navigation interventions on timely diagnostic follow up for abnormal cervical screening. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2016;25: 15–21.
- **106.** Baezconde-Garbanati L, Murphy ST, Moran MB et al. Reducing the excess burden of cervical cancer among Latinas: Translating science into health promotion initiatives. Calif J Health Promot 2013;11:45–57.
- **107.** American Society of Clinical Oncology. The State of Cancer Care in America, 2017: A report by the American Society of Clinical Oncology. J Oncol Pract 2017;13:e353—e394.
- **108.** Occa A, Morgan SE, Potter JE. Underrepresentation of Hispanics and other minorities in clinical trials: Recruiters' perspectives. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities 2018;5:322–332.
- **109.** Table 13. Practice specialty, males by race/ethnicity, 2018. Association of American Medical Colleges. Available at https://www.aamc.org/data-reports/workforce/data/table-13-

- practice-specialty-males-race/ethnicity-2018. 2018. Accessed December 6, 2020.
- **110.** Campbell KM, Rodríguez JE. Addressing the minority tax: Perspectives from two diversity leaders on building minority faculty success in academic Medicine. Acad Med 2019;94: 1854–1857.
- **111.** Rodríguez JE, Campbell KM, Pololi LH. Addressing disparities in academic medicine: What of the minority tax? BMC Med Educ 2015; 15:6.
- **112.** Menon U, Ashing K, Chang MW et al. Application of the ConNECT framework to precision health and health disparities. Nurs Res 2019:68:99–109.
- **113.** Wells JS, Pugh S, Boparai K et al. Cultural competency training to increase minority enrollment into radiation therapy clinical trials-An NRG Oncology RTOG Study. J Cancer Educ 2017; 32:721–727.
- **114.** Conley CC, Castro-Figueroa EM, Moreno L et al. A pilot randomized trial of an educational intervention to increase genetic counseling and genetic testing among Latina breast cancer survivors. J Genet Couns 2020 (Epub ahead of print).
- **115.** Garcia AN, Kuo T, Arangua L et al. Factors associated with medical school graduates' intention to work with underserved populations: Policy implications for advancing workforce diversity. Acad Med 2018;93:82–89.
- **116.** Partnerships to Advance Cancer Health Equity (PACHE). National Institutes of Health. Available at https://www.cancer.gov/about-nci/organization/crchd/diversity-training/pache. 2015. Accessed October 10, 2020.
- **117.** Taylor S, lacobelli F, Luedke T et al. Improving health care career pipeline programs for underrepresented students: Program design that makes a difference. Prog Community Health Partnersh 2019;13:113–122.
- **118.** Governance, Appointment and Promotion Handbook. Harvard Medicial School and Harvard School of Dental Medicine. Available at https://fa.hms.harvard.edu/files/hmsofa/files/fom_handbook_current_issue.dec2020v.pdf. 2019. Accessed February 24, 2021.
- **119.** Education & training programs. ChicagoCHEC. Available at https://chicagochec.org/opportunities/education-training-programs/. 2020. Accessed December 06, 2020.
- **120.** Ramirez AG, Talavera GA, Marti J et al. Redes En Acción. Increasing Hispanic participation in cancer research, training, and awareness. Cancer 2006;107(8 suppl):2023–2033.
- **121.** Garces LM, Mickey-Pabello D. Racial diversity in the medical profession: The impact of affirmative action bans on underrepresented student of color matriculation in medical schools. J Higher Educ 2015;86:264–294.
- **122.** Phillips JM, Malone B. Increasing racial/ethnic diversity in nursing to reduce health disparities and achieve health equity. Public Health Rep 2014;129(suppl 2):45–50.
- 123. Anderson KM, Olson S; National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine (US). Roundtable on the Promotion of Health Equity and the Elimination of Health Disparities. Strategies for Ensuring Diversity, Inclusion, and Meaningful Participation in Clinical Trials: Proceedings of a Workshop. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2016.

- **124.** Sankar PL, Parker LS. The Precision Medicine Initiative's All of Us Research Program: An agenda for research on its ethical, legal, and social issues. Genet Med 2017;19:743–750.
- **125.** Fenelon A, Chinn JJ, Anderson RN. A comprehensive analysis of the mortality experience of hispanic subgroups in the United States: Variation by age, country of origin, and nativity. SSM Popul Health 2017;3:245–254.
- **126.** Garcia C, Garcia MA, Ailshire JA. Sociocultural variability in the Latino population: Age patterns and differences in morbidity among older US adults. Demogr Res 2018;38:1605–1618.
- **127.** Morales LS, Lara M, Kington RS et al. Socioeconomic, cultural, and behavioral factors affecting Hispanic health outcomes. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2002;13:477–503.
- **128.** Haile RW, John EM, Levine AJ et al. A review of cancer in U.S. Hispanic populations. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2012;5:150–163.
- **129.** Becker AE, Heinzmann J, Kenyon DB. Development of a pilot grants program in social determinants of health in American Indian Health: A program for increasing the representation of underrepresented groups in funded research. J Res Adm 2018;49:40–63.
- **130.** Carnethon MR, Kershaw KN, Kandula NR. Disparities research, disparities researchers, and health equity. JAMA 2020;323:211–212.

- **131.** Hoppe TA, Litovitz A, Willis KA et al. Topic choice contributes to the lower rate of NIH awards to African-American/black scientists. Sci Adv 2019;5(10):eaaw7238.
- **132.** Stepanikova I. Racial-ethnic biases, time pressure, and medical decisions. J Health Soc Behav 2012;53:329–343.
- **133.** Hawley ST, Janz NK, Hamilton A et al. Latina patient perspectives about informed treatment decision making for breast cancer. Patient Educ Couns 2008;73:363–370.
- **134.** Katz SJ, Wallner LP, Abrahamse PH et al. Treatment experiences of Latinas after diagnosis of breast cancer. Cancer 2017;123:3022–3030.
- **135.** Alberto CK, Kemmick Pintor J, McKenna RM et al. Racial and ethnic disparities in provider-related barriers to health care for children in California after the ACA. Glob Pediatr Health 2019;6:2333794X19828356.
- **136.** Reyes-Gibby CC, Anderson KO, Shete S et al. Early referral to supportive care specialists for symptom burden in lung cancer patients: A comparison of non-Hispanic whites, Hispanics, and non-Hispanic blacks. Cancer 2012;118: 856–863.
- **137.** Loud JT, Murphy J. Cancer screening and early detection in the 21st century. Semin Oncol Nurs 2017;33:121–128.

- **138.** Kaplan CP, Nápoles A, Davis S et al. Latinos and cancer information: Perspectives of patients, health professionals and telephone cancer information specialists. J Health Dispar Res Pract 2016;9:154–167.
- **139.** Traylor AH, Schmittdiel JA, Uratsu CS et al The predictors of patient-physician race and ethnic concordance: A medical facility fixed-effects approach. Health Serv Res 2010;45:792–805.
- **140.** Charlot M, Santana MC, Chen CA et al. Impact of patient and navigator race and language concordance on care after cancer screening abnormalities. Cancer 2015;121:1477–1483.
- **141.** Turow JA, Sterling RC. The role and impact of gender and age on children's preferences for pediatricians. Ambul Pediatr 2004;4:340–343.
- **142.** Natale-Pereira A, Enard KR, Nevarez L et al. The role of patient navigators in eliminating health disparities. Cancer. 2011;117 (15 suppl):3543–3552.
- **143.** Yezefski T, Steelquist J, Watabayashi K et al. Impact of trained oncology financial navigators on patient out-of-pocket spending. Am J Manag Care 2018;24(5 suppl):S74-S79.
- **144.** Seaberg D, Elseroad S, Dumas M et al. Patient navigation for patients frequently visiting the emergency department: A randomized, controlled trial. Acad Emerg Med 2017;24: 1327–1333.

