

Clinical Practice Guidelines for Pain Management in Acute Musculoskeletal Injury

Joseph R. Hsu, MD¹; Hassan Mir, MD²; Meghan K. Wally, MSPH¹; Rachel B. Seymour, PhD¹; and the Orthopaedic Trauma Association Musculoskeletal Pain Task Force*

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Atrium Health Musculoskeletal Institute, Charlotte, NC

²Florida Orthopaedic Institute, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL

Corresponding author:

Joseph R. Hsu, MD

1025 Morehead Medical Drive Suite 300

Charlotte, NC 28204

Joseph.Hsu@atriumhealth.org

704-355-4257

704-355-8708

*Orthopaedic Trauma Association Musculoskeletal Pain Task Force:

Kristin R. Archer, PhD, DPT; Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation; Vanderbilt University Medical Center; Nashville, TN

Basem Attum, MD; Department of Orthopaedic Surgery; University of Louisville School of Medicine; Louisville, KY

Chad Coles, MD; Dept of Orthopaedic Surgery, Dalhousie University School of Medicine; Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

Jarrod Dumpe, MD; Department of Orthopaedic Surgery; Navicent Health; Macon, GA

Edward Harvey, MD; Division of Orthopaedic Surgery; McGill University Health Centre; Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Thomas Higgins, MD; Department of Orthopaedic Surgery; University of Utah; Salt Lake City, UT

Joseph Hoegler, MD; Department of Orthopaedic Surgery; Henry Ford Hospital; Detroit, MI

Jane Z. Liu, MD, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

Jason Lowe, MD; Department of Orthopaedics; Banner Health University of Arizona; Tucson, AZ

Christiaan Mamczak, DO; Orthopaedics and Sports Specialists; Beacon Health System; South Bend, IN

J. Lawrence Marsh, MD; Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation. University of Iowa Health Care, Iowa City, IA

Anna N. Miller, MD; Division of Orthopaedic Trauma; Washington University Orthopaedics; St. Louis, MO

William Obremskey, MD; Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation; Vanderbilt University Medical Center; Nashville, TN

Michael Ransone, MD; Department of Orthopaedic Surgery; Carolinas Medical Center; Charlotte, NC

William Ricci, MD; Orthopedic Trauma Service; Hospital For Special Surgery; New York City, NY

David Ring, MD; Institute of Reconstructive Plastic Surgery of Central Texas; Austin, TX

Babar Shafiq, MD; Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding:

DR reports royalties from Skeletal Dynamics, royalties from Wright Medical, Deputy Editor for Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research, Deputy Editor for Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, and expert testimony. KA reports APTA, Palladian Health, Pacira, and NeuroPoint Alliance, Inc. CM reports consulting from Smith & Nephew, speakers bureau for Smith & Nephew, speakers bureau for AO North America, publishing royalties for Springer-Verlag, publishing royalties for

Lippencott, and Journal Review for Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma. TH reports consulting from DePuy Synthes, consulting from Imagen, stock ownership in SMV Holdings, stock ownership in Orthogrid, and stock ownership in NT nPhase. BA reports consulting for Synthes and research support from Arthrex. EH reports Editor in Chief of Canadian Journal of Surgery, OTA BSFF Supp. EH reports Editorial Board of OTA International. EH is CMO of Greybox Solutions, Co-Founder Head of Medical Innovation for NXTSens Inc, Co-Founder CMO Chairman of Board of Directors of MY01 Inc., and Medical Device Advisor for Wavelite Inc. EH receives institutional support from J and J-SynthesDePuy and Stryker and is a board/committee member of Orthopaedic Trauma Association, Canadian Orthopaedic Association, and CIHR-IAB. JL reports consulting for Stryker. Other authors have no disclosures to report.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Keywords: opioid; pain; musculoskeletal; orthopaedic trauma

1 BACKGROUND

2 Drug overdose deaths have become an epidemic in the United States. In the past fifteen
3 years, deaths related to drug overdoses in the United States have tripled, mostly due to the rise in
4 opioid-related deaths.^{1,2} In the same time period, almost half a million people have died from
5 prescription drug overdoses.^{1,2} Opioids, including prescription drugs and heroin, are involved in
6 61% of drug overdose deaths.²² The rate of increase in deaths from commonly prescribed
7 opioids has slowed slightly in the past few years, while death rates from the synthetic opioids
8 fentanyl and heroin have increased by 72% and 21%, respectively.²² This epidemic has taken a
9 significant toll on the health of the nation, with emerging findings that opioid-related deaths have
10 led to a 0.21 year reduction in average life expectancy – contributing to the overall decrease in
11 life expectancy from 2014 to 2015.³

12 The increase in opioid overdose deaths aligns with a proportional increase in opioid
13 prescribing rates. Opioid prescriptions increased substantially from 2006 until 2012⁴ with a
14 desired focus on treating patient pain. Family medicine physicians overall provide the most
15 opioids of any specialty; however, orthopaedic surgeons prescribe 7.7% of prescriptions despite
16 representing only 2.5% of physicians.⁵ The increase in opioid prescriptions was unfortunately not
17 associated with the anticipated reduction of reported pain among Americans.⁶ Without an
18 improvement in patient outcomes, these prescriptions are needlessly associated with a high risk
19 of abuse. Adding to the problem of oversupply for needs, many opioids go unused following
20 orthopedic surgery^{7,8} creating the possibility of nonmedical usage or diversion. Furthermore, of
21 the patients who receive a first opioid prescription of any duration, 21% progress to receiving
22 more prescriptions episodically and 6% progress to long-term use.⁹ Up to half of patients who

23 take opioids for at least three months remain on opioids five years later and are likely to become
24 life-long users.¹⁰⁻¹² Therefore, changing prescribing habits has been a high priority.

25 Due to the increasing recognition of the opioid crisis, several professional societies,
26 healthcare systems, pharmacies, insurance companies, and governmental organizations have
27 released guidelines and toolkits for the safe prescribing of opioids. While some of these
28 guidelines address certain aspects of pain from musculoskeletal conditions, many are focused on
29 the management of chronic pain, and unfortunately few give concrete examples of practical
30 methods and prescribing practices that can be easily implemented when caring for acute
31 musculoskeletal injuries. Thus, we aimed to produce comprehensive guidelines and
32 recommendations that can be utilized by orthopaedic practices as well as other specialties to
33 improve the management of acute pain following musculoskeletal injury.

34 **METHODS**

35 **Panel and Target Audience**

36 This guideline aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for the management of
37 acute musculoskeletal pain. A panel of 15 members with expertise in orthopaedic trauma, pain
38 management, or both was convened to review the literature and develop recommendations on
39 acute musculoskeletal pain management. Chronic pain is outside the scope of this guideline.

40 **Literature Review**

41 The panel met in person in October 2017 to define the scope of the guideline and identify
42 important topics for inclusion. These topics included: cognitive strategies, physical modalities,
43 opioid safety and effectiveness, multimodal pharmaceutical strategies, medical assistance
44 therapy, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and fracture healing, nerve/regional/field blocks,
45 pain and sedation assessment strategies, and healthcare system strategies. One or two panel

46 members were assigned to draft recommendations for each topic area. Literature searches were
47 conducted through September 2018. Information about each included article is available in the
48 Supplementary Digital Content table, <http://links.lww.com/JOT/A648>.

49 **Grading Process**

50 The methods described by the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development,
51 and Evaluation Working Group were applied to each recommendation.¹³ This method yields a
52 grade for the strength of the recommendation and a grade for the quality of the evidence. The
53 grading of the evidence was based on the study designs, number of studies, sample sizes, and
54 consistency of results among different studies. The panel assigned recommendations as “strong”
55 (practices in which benefits are sure to outweigh potential harms) or “conditional” (the evidence
56 was weaker or if the benefits do not significantly outweigh potential harms).

57 **Approval of Guideline**

58 Recommendations from each topic area were combined to produce a comprehensive
59 guideline for management of acute musculoskeletal pain. All panel members reviewed and
60 revised the combined guideline. The guideline was submitted to the Orthopaedic Trauma
61 Association (OTA) for review and was approved on October 16, 2018.

62 **Best Practice and Pain Management Recommendations**

63 Due to the increasing recognition of the opioid crisis, several professional societies,
64 healthcare systems, pharmacies, insurance companies, and governmental organizations have
65 released guidelines and toolkits for the safe prescribing of opioids.¹⁴⁻³⁹ While some of these
66 guidelines address certain aspects of pain from musculoskeletal conditions, many are focused on
67 the management of chronic pain, and few give concrete examples of practical methods and

68 prescribing practices that can be easily implemented when caring for acute musculoskeletal
69 injuries.

70 We provide the attached Best Practice Recommendations and Pain Medication
71 Recommendations (Tables 1-4) with the hope that they can be utilized by orthopaedic practices
72 as well as other specialties (e.g. primary care, emergency medicine) to improve the management
73 of acute pain following musculoskeletal injury. The Best Practice Recommendations for acute
74 pain management following musculoskeletal injury are supplemented with the corresponding in-
75 depth reviews presented in this full document. The Pain Medication Recommendations are
76 divided into 3 clinical scenarios - Major Musculoskeletal Injury Procedure (e.g. operative
77 fixation of long bone or complex joint fracture, extensive soft tissue injury or surgery, etc.);
78 Minor Musculoskeletal Injury Procedure (e.g. operative fixation of small bone or simple joint
79 fracture, minimal soft tissue dissection or surgery, etc.); Non-operative Musculoskeletal Injury
80 (e.g. closed management of injury, laceration repair, etc.). The Best Practice Recommendations
81 and the Pain Management Recommendations are meant to be used in conjunction with each other
82 and should be individualized per treating physician discretion according to patient
83 characteristics, local practice preferences, and applicable state laws.

84

85

Insert Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 near here

86

87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108

RECOMMENDATIONS

Cognitive and Emotional Strategies

- The panel recommends discussing alleviation of pain, expected recovery course, and patient experience at all encounters (strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence).
- The panel recommends connecting patients with pain that is greater or more persistent than expected and patients with substantial symptoms of depression, anxiety, or post-traumatic stress or less effective coping strategies (greater catastrophic thinking, lower self-efficacy) to psychosocial interventions and resources (strong recommendation, low quality evidence).
- The panel recommends that clinicians consider using anxiety reducing strategies to increase self-efficacy and promote peace of mind with patients like aromatherapy, music therapy, or cognitive behavioral therapy (strong recommendation, low quality evidence).

Nociception and Pain

Nociception is the physiology of actual or potential tissue damage. Pain is the unpleasant thoughts, emotions, and behaviors that accompany nociception. There is wide variation in pain intensity for a given nociception.⁴⁰ Pain catastrophizing is an ineffective coping strategy characterized by unhelpful preparation for the worst including rumination and helplessness.⁴¹ Greater catastrophic thinking is consistently associated with greater pain intensity.⁴² Increased symptoms of anxiety and depression, and greater alcohol use are also associated with higher pain intensity, while self-efficacy and fewer symptoms of depression are associated with less pain.⁴³⁻⁴⁵

109 Studies of musculoskeletal injuries, including ankle sprains and fractures, have found no
110 association between pain intensity and degree of nociception (injury severity). Variations in pain
111 intensity and magnitude of limitations are accounted for more by measures of psychosocial
112 aspects of illness than by measures of pathophysiology.^{44, 46-53}

113 There are also cultural differences in pain intensity and alleviation of pain with
114 medication. Studies document good pain relief using non-opioid medication in patients
115 recovering from fracture surgery in The Netherlands and Vietnam.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷ In the United States,
116 however, patients that take more opioids in the hospital after fracture surgery have more pain and
117 less satisfaction with alleviation of pain.⁴³⁻⁴⁵ These findings suggest that psychological factors
118 play a significant role in the intensity of pain for a given nociception.

119 Persistent pain in the absence of infection or implant problems correlates with
120 psychosocial factors.^{53, 56, 58-77} Pain intensity, magnitude of limitations, and continued opioid use
121 are associated with greater symptoms of depression or post-traumatic stress disorder and less
122 effective coping strategies (e.g. greater catastrophic thinking).

123 Chronic pain is defined as pain lasting beyond the usual course of healing or more than 3
124 to 6 months, which affects the individual's daily functioning and well-being.⁷⁸ Several non-
125 modifiable risk factors have been identified for the development of chronic pain including
126 female gender, age greater than 65 years, intense acute pain, and low socioeconomic status.
127 Several modifiable risk factors have also been identified including greater pain catastrophizing,
128 greater pain-related fear, and greater symptoms of anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress
129 disorder. Identifying and addressing psychosocial factors may limit persistent pain.

130 **Psychosocial Interventions**

131 A notable portion of trauma patients have substantial symptoms of anxiety, depression,
132 and PTSD months after injury. Giving opioids for pain that is more intense and disabling than
133 expected might represent a misdiagnosis and mistreatment of stress, distress, and less effective
134 coping strategies.

135 Initial studies of psychosocial interventions to limit psychological distress and improve
136 comfort and ability have had mixed results.^{62, 79-94} The goals of these interventions are to
137 improve overall mental health, decrease rates and severity of depression, anxiety and post-
138 traumatic stress disorder. Interventions studied include cognitive-behavior therapy, self-
139 management interventions and training, educational information access, peer support, and online
140 social networking. Cognitive behavioral interventions have positive effects on pain relief in some
141 trials.^{58, 95, 96} There is also evidence that web-based CBT is effective.⁹⁷⁻⁹⁹ Meta-analyses of Music
142 Therapy demonstrates decreased anxiety and better sleep in the setting of chronic medical
143 illness.¹⁰⁰ Music Therapy has also demonstrated positive effects on pain relief and opioid dose
144 reduction. Similarly, systematic reviews of aromatherapy have demonstrated anxiolytic effects
145 ¹⁰¹and pain reduction.¹⁰² Further research on the utility of various interventions can help
146 elucidate the most effective resources for trauma patients.

147 **Physical Strategies**

148 **TENS**

- 149 • The panel recommends the use of transcutaneous electrical stimulation (TENS) as an
150 adjunct to other immediate post-injury or postoperative pain treatments (strong
151 recommendation, low quality evidence).

- 152 • The panel can neither recommend nor discourage a specific TENS device or protocol.
153 Regimens that incorporate suboptimal frequencies not approaching a “sub-noxious or
154 maximal tolerable/painful” setting lack effective pain modulation and should be avoided
155 (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence).

156 Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) attempts to modulate pain through
157 delivery of low-voltage electric currents over the skin from a small portable device. The
158 stimulation of large diameter peripheral afferent nerve fibers is believed to reduce pain by
159 activating opioid receptors through an endogenous descending inhibitory pathway.¹⁰³ The
160 contraindications to the use of TENS include the presence of a pacemaker or implanted
161 defibrillator, broken skin at the site of application, or significant lymphedema.

162 There are mixed results on the adjunctive use of TENS to modulate pain largely due to a
163 relative paucity of high quality trials and significant interstudy heterogeneity due to the lack of
164 any specific standardized treatment protocols. The panel’s literature review was restricted to
165 TENS studies within the last 20 years.

166 The American Pain Society’s 2016 Clinical Practice Guideline for the management of
167 postoperative pain recommends the consideration of TENS as an adjunctive modality with
168 treatments directed near the surgical wound. The review panel found insufficient evidence for
169 specific TENS regimens, but emphasized that positive effects were stronger when optimal
170 predefined stimulation parameters were utilized.¹⁰³

171 A meta-analysis (21 randomized clinical trials, RCTs) of TENS as an adjunct to reduce
172 postoperative analgesic consumption found that the effectiveness may depend upon the current
173 amplitude. The authors only included studies that report a “strong and/or definite sub-noxious,
174 and/or maximal non-painful, and/or maximal tolerable” stimulation with currents >15mA or a

175 pulse frequency of 1-8Hz (acupuncture-like TENS; ALTENS) or 25-150Hz (TENS). The review
176 found TENS (vs placebo TENS) around the surgical wound significantly reduced postoperative
177 analgesic consumption by 26.5 % (range -6 to 51%): sub-noxious stimulation reduced opioid
178 consumption by 35.5% whereas nonspecific trials yielded less effect (4.1% reduction). Overall
179 difference in analgesic consumption favored TENS versus placebo with optimal median
180 frequencies at 2Hz for ALTENS or 85Hz for TENS.¹⁰⁴

181 The effectiveness of TENS within the orthopaedic literature is limited by non-standardized
182 clinical trials often without reported or consistent TENS treatment protocols. Adjunctive TENS
183 use within the immediate postoperative period after a total knee arthroplasty (TKA) postulates a
184 trend towards favorable mean weighted reduction in opioid consumption versus placebo-TENS
185 or standard care (3 Meta-analysis and 1 RTC).¹⁰⁵ One systematic review and meta-analysis found
186 TENS decreased pain severity at 1,2 and 6 months after TKA, but this was based on low quality
187 studies.¹⁰⁵ Interestingly, both TENS and placebo-TENS (45-sec cutoff) were found to decrease
188 postoperative TKA pain with active extension and fast walking highlighting a potential placebo
189 effect that subsided by 6 weeks postoperatively vs. standard treatment.¹⁰⁶ A prospective double-
190 blind randomized trial on arthroscopic rotator cuff repair found TENS to significantly reduce
191 immediate post-op opioid use by 25% at both 48hrs and 1 week.¹⁰⁷ These results are moderately
192 consistent with non-orthopaedic literature where TENS decreased postoperative opioid analgesic
193 requirements (by 53% with mixed frequencies vs 35% with high frequency and 32% with low
194 frequency settings) as well as opioid-related side effects when utilized as an adjunct to patient-
195 controlled analgesia after lower abdominal OB/GYN surgery.¹⁰⁸ In contrast, while TENS was
196 determined useful after thoracic surgical procedures (only when less invasive approaches yield

197 mild to moderate post-op pain), TENS was ineffective for severe pain with invasive
198 approaches.¹⁰⁹

199 A meta-analysis (27 RCTs) of six different types of electrical stimulation determined that
200 interferential current (IFC), a less common modality, was the only treatment to effectively
201 modulate pain intensity and change pain VAS scores (standardized mean difference=2.06, 95%
202 CI: 1.1-3.19), that the effect of high frequency TENS was uncertain and that low frequency
203 TENS was not effective.¹¹⁰

204 In conclusion, our systematic review indicates that TENS, when applied using strong,
205 sub-painful frequencies, is an effective multimodal adjunct to modulate acute orthopaedic injury
206 and postoperative pain. Recent publications demonstrate a substantial degree of inter-study
207 heterogeneity, most notably inconsistent descriptions of both TENS dosing intensities and
208 standardized outcome measures. The long-term tolerance of same dose TENS parameters and
209 strategies to prolong its effect is largely unknown. Higher quality clinical trials are necessary to
210 provide stronger evidence in favor of TENS as a consistent treatment for acute pain and
211 perioperative pain modulation.

212 **Cryotherapy**

- 213 • The panel recommends the use of cryotherapy for acute musculoskeletal injury and the
214 post-surgical orthopaedic patient as an adjunct to other postoperative pain treatments
215 (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence)
- 216 • The panel cannot recommend a specific cryotherapy delivery modality or protocol (no
217 recommendation, limited evidence)

218

219 Cryotherapy is the application of an external cold source in which the desired effect is a drop
220 in tissue temperature. Cold sources that have historically been used include ice bags, cold gel
221 packs, ice massage, cold water submersion, gaseous cryotherapy, and continuous-flow
222 cryotherapy devices with and without pneumatic compression. Basic science studies have shown
223 that the biologic effects of cold therapies are multifactorial. A decrease in tissue temperature
224 results in decreased tissue edema and microvascular permeability,^{111, 112} reduced delivery of
225 inflammatory mediators,¹¹²⁻¹¹⁶ reduced blood flow via vasoconstriction,¹¹⁶⁻¹²⁰ overall net
226 decrease in tissue metabolic demand, and subsequent hypoxic injury.^{116-118, 120} Additionally, the
227 decrease in tissue temperature has been shown to increase the threshold of painful stimuli and
228 increase the tolerance to pain.¹²¹

229 Multiple studies have looked at the efficacy of cryotherapy in the post-operative orthopaedic
230 patient for various anatomic areas including the knee, hip, shoulder, foot and ankle, wrist, and
231 hand. Among the studies that evaluated cryotherapy versus a non-cryotherapy control, 10
232 randomized controlled trials and two meta-analyses have shown a significant benefit for pain
233 control.^{105, 122-132} Contrary to this, there have been eight randomized controlled trials that have
234 shown no benefit to cryotherapy compared to a non-cryotherapy control.¹³³⁻¹⁴⁰ Many studies
235 have also looked at cryotherapy's ability to decrease opioid consumption compared to a non-
236 cryotherapy control. Of these studies, eleven have shown a significant decrease in pain
237 medication consumption^{105, 123, 125-127, 129, 131-133, 138, 141} compared with five studies showing no
238 difference.^{134-136, 139, 140}

239

240

241 Many randomized controlled trials have compared continuous-flow cryotherapy devices to
242 ice bags or packs. Nine studies have failed to show a difference in pain scores¹⁴²⁻¹⁵⁰ whereas five
243 studies have shown improved pain with continuous-flow cryotherapy.¹⁵¹⁻¹⁵⁵ No studies have
244 shown superior pain control with ice bags or packs compared to continuous cryotherapy.

245 There are also inconclusive results pertaining to the difference in pain medication
246 consumption when comparing continuous-flow cryotherapy with ice bags or packs. Five studies
247 have demonstrated a decreased need for opioids with continuous cryotherapy,^{148, 150, 151, 154, 156}
248 one study showed a lower consumption of pain medication with the use of ice packs,¹⁵⁷ and five
249 RCTs failed to show a difference between these two cryotherapy modalities.^{142, 145, 147, 149, 158} It is
250 possible that continuous-flow cryotherapy results in a higher patient satisfaction with the
251 cryotherapy treatments^{142, 148, 150} and that there may also be a benefit to continuous-flow
252 cryotherapy at night.¹⁵⁹ It is important to note the methodologic variability within the
253 cryotherapy literature. Variables such as cryotherapy source, temperature, duration, and
254 frequency can vary drastically from treatment groups in the same study, as well as study to study,
255 making the assessment on the magnitude of effect difficult to determine. Because of the current
256 literature's methodological heterogeneity, we are unable to favor one method of cryotherapy
257 application, protocol, or both.

258 Like most therapeutic interventions, cryotherapy can result in complications. Nerve palsies
259 have been reported in the literature, mostly involving more superficial nerves such as the
260 peroneal nerve, lateral femoral cutaneous nerve, ulnar nerve, and supraclavicular nerve. Care
261 must be taken to provide sufficient insulation between the skin and the cryotherapy source,
262 especially in patients with minimal subcutaneous fat. Nerve injuries can range from brief

263 paresthesias to complete axonotmesis.^{160, 161} Frostbite has also been a concern, but to our
264 knowledge has not been reported as a result of cryotherapy after an orthopaedic procedure.

265 Overall, the body of literature provides preliminary support for use of cryotherapy for
266 acute pain management. However, future studies should focus on determining the most
267 efficacious method of application and protocol for cryotherapy.

268 **Opioid Safety and Effectiveness**

- 269 • The panel endorses that all opioids used for pain carry a risk for misuse. Opioids are also
270 associated with adverse clinical events. Patient comfort and safety must be carefully
271 balanced when prescribing opioids. Due to the potential for misuse of all opioids, the
272 panel recommends that the prescriber should utilize the lowest effective dose for the
273 shortest period possible (strong recommendation, high quality evidence).
- 274 • The panel recommends not prescribing benzodiazepines in conjunction with opioids due
275 to the significant risks of inconsistent sedation and potential for misuse (strong
276 recommendation, high quality evidence).
- 277 • The panel recommends avoiding long-acting opioids in the acute setting (strong
278 recommendation, moderate quality evidence).
- 279 • The panel recommends prescribing precisely. Commonly written prescriptions with
280 ranges of dose and duration can allow tripling of daily dose to levels consistent with
281 adverse events (strong recommendation, low quality evidence).

282 Opioids are the most commonly used medications for treatment of the majority of severe pain
283 conditions.¹⁶² All opioids come with some level of safety concern. Regardless of the formulation
284 used, there is always a risk of adverse events, as well as abuse, addiction or both. The number
285 and severity of adverse events from opioids is related to their potency, half-life, and mode of use.

286 The number of milligrams in the dosage is not an indication of how strong the medication
287 might be. Potent opioids (e.g., fentanyl is 50-100 times as potent as morphine) increase the
288 number and severity of events. Although oxymorphone and oxycodone are about equally
289 effective in treating pain, more adverse events are seen with oxymorphone due to its higher
290 potency.¹⁶³ Oxymorphone has 3-7 times the efficacy of morphine while oxycodone is only 1.5
291 times greater. Currently, immediate release opioids are prescribed at a significantly higher rate
292 than extended release options.¹⁶⁴ These extended release medications result in a 4.6 fold higher
293 abuse rate and a 6.1 times increased diversion potential.¹⁶⁴ The risk of addiction and abuse also
294 has a strong correlation with the length of time the opioids are prescribed. While some patients
295 may become addicted after long term therapy, a significantly larger proportion will show
296 behavior of medication misuse and illicit drug use.¹⁶⁵

297 The main formulations on the market have vastly different pharmacokinetics. Immediate
298 release opioids, which cause serum opioid levels to rapidly rise and decline with a shorter half-
299 life, have a shorter period of pain relief. Long-acting ('continued-release' tablets) may deliver
300 opioids for a longer period, but the amount of opioid absorbed is less per unit of time. This
301 results in less fluctuation in serum drug levels keeping opioid concentration in the therapeutic
302 range.¹⁶⁶ For the inpatient setting, long-acting opioids may have the same effectiveness as short-
303 acting opioids when used as monotherapy, but given newer multimodal pain management
304 regimens this is not recommended current practice.¹⁶⁷ Both short-acting and long-acting opioids
305 have been shown to be effective in treating pain and increasing quality of sleep with the main
306 difference being the number of pills prescribed will be higher in the short-acting group.¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁰
307 Other drug formulations have been created to include supposed abuse deterrent properties, but in
308 actuality may have a similar profile in regards to effectiveness and adverse events.¹⁷¹ Combining

309 opioids with other drugs has been shown to be more effective in managing pain than opioids
310 alone. More specifically, combining opioids with NSAIDs has been shown to be more effective
311 than opioids alone.¹⁷² Benzodiazepines do not have this beneficial synergy. Taking any of these
312 formulations with food does not change the maximum dose of the medication delivered although
313 when taken after a high fat meal, the time to maximum concentration is delayed.¹⁷³

314 The literature comparing the difference of the safety and efficacy of opiates for the treatment
315 of pain in acutely injured musculoskeletal patients is scarce. The majority of the literature on
316 safety and efficacy of opioids is in regard to chronic pain from both malignant and non-
317 malignant conditions. The evidence in these areas is not strong.¹⁶² There is very little in the
318 literature discussing safety and efficacy in the short-term post-injury setting. Hence, the
319 appropriate dose for specific injuries or conditions is not well defined. Standard prescribing
320 habits appear to routinely provide an excess amount of medication. A recent study found 81% of
321 patients took 20 or fewer pills after knee arthroscopy.¹⁷⁴ A study of opioid use by 250 patients
322 who had undergone elective outpatient upper extremity surgery showed that while all patients
323 were prescribed opioids for 30 days (30 pills), 52% used their prescription for pain control for
324 only two days or less. On average, each patient took 11 pills leaving 19 pills unused. With fewer
325 pills prescribed, there was a 79% reduction of leftover pills in the community, thus decreasing
326 the potential for diversion.¹⁷⁵

327 Leaders in musculoskeletal care need to develop specific strategies based on burden of
328 disease. Other nonopioid medications should be used with an intent to obtain balanced patient
329 comfort and safety. Some data has shown that the risk for dependency increases significantly
330 with increasing duration of use.¹⁷⁶ Every effort should be made to minimize prescription length.

331 The main cause of death in patients using opioids is respiratory depression. This can occur
332 with any opioid regardless of the type or formulation. This deadly complication is dose and
333 concentration dependent with many other variables like opioid tolerance, BMI, respiratory
334 disease, obstructive sleep apnea, and concomitant medications. Patients with a history of opioid
335 use are expected to require more opioids for adequate pain relief while experiencing fewer
336 adverse events due to tolerance.^{166, 177} Common non-life threatening side effects seen in
337 approximately 10% of patients prescribed immediate release opioids are pruritus, nausea,
338 vomiting, dizziness, headache and somnolence.^{178, 179} Addiction and abuse are complications
339 often seen by psychiatrists or psychologists. Despite early, unsubstantiated claims of improved
340 safety with long-acting opioids,¹⁸⁰ the relative abuse and addiction potential with short-acting or
341 long-acting opioids remains a question. Some evidence suggests that there is no difference in
342 illicit drug use, misuse, or both when comparing long-acting vs short-acting opioids suggesting
343 that prescribing long-acting opioids will not reduce abuse potential.¹⁸¹ A contradictory study
344 showed less drug-seeking behavior with extended release formulations.¹⁸² Benzodiazepines
345 should not be prescribed in conjunction with opioids because the risk of overdose and death
346 increases significantly. There is a 3.9 times risk of overdose due to respiratory depression when
347 opioids and benzodiazepines are prescribed at the same time.¹⁸³

348 **Combination Pharmaceutical Strategies**

349 **Multimodal Analgesia**

- 350 • The panel recommends the use of multimodal analgesia (MMA) as opposed to opioid
351 monotherapy for pain control (strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence).

- 352 • The panel recommends the use of periarticular injections as an adjunct to pain
353 management that improves pain control post-operatively (strong recommendation,
354 moderate quality evidence).
- 355 • The panel cannot recommend specific multimodal analgesia regimens at this time without
356 further scientific evidence. MMA should be tailored to patients' injuries and medical co-
357 morbidities (strong recommendation, very low quality evidence).
- 358

359 Multimodal analgesia (MMA), also referred to as balanced analgesia, is the use of
360 multiple analgesic medications (opioid and non-opioid) and non-pharmacologic interventions
361 designed to affect peripheral and or central nervous system loci in the pain pathway.¹⁰³ Benefits
362 of this treatment paradigm include potentiation of multiple medication effects and greater pain
363 control without relying on any one class of medication. MMA therefore mitigates the risk profile
364 of each medication, while allowing for synergistic pain control from different classes of
365 medication. Successful post-operative MMA may include psychotherapy, physical therapy, non-
366 steroidal anti-inflammatories (NSAIDs), acetaminophen, gabapentinoids, regional anesthesia
367 (single shot or peripheral nerve catheters), local injections, and opioids. Recent reviews,¹⁸⁴ meta-
368 analyses,¹⁸⁵ and RCTs¹⁸⁶ have shown that multimodal analgesia is effective in the perioperative
369 period. There is, however, a paucity of literature in the orthopaedic trauma population, and
370 therefore literature from other sub-specialties and surgical fields was included.

371 The majority of the orthopaedic literature addresses the arthroplasty population (14
372 articles). These articles addressed the following three main clinical trial questions: (1)
373 comparison of different periarticular injections, (2) oral or “standard” medication regimen versus

374 addition of a peripheral nerve block (covered in later section), and (3) oral or “standard”
375 medication regimen versus MMA.

376 Four studies compared “standard” medication regimens versus MMA. For example,
377 additions to MMA strategies include gabapentin¹⁸⁷ and duloxetine.¹⁸⁸ Gabapentin seemed to
378 decrease pain scores, but not opioid consumption,¹⁸⁷ while duloxetine decreased opioid
379 consumption, but not pain scores.¹⁸⁹

380 Finally, two studies evaluated the cost-effectiveness of MMA in arthroplasty patients. In
381 both cases, the use of multimodal therapy decreased hospital costs, directly related to medication,
382 as well as overall hospital costs for patient stay.^{190, 191}

383 There is limited literature regarding the use of MMA in other non-trauma orthopaedic
384 subspecialties. Two articles evaluated the use of MMA in foot and ankle surgery where MMA
385 decreased length of stay¹⁹² and decreased pain in the first 24 hours after surgery.¹⁹³ In spine
386 surgery, the addition of MMA to a standard PCA regimen, decreased opioid use and improved
387 mobilization.¹⁹⁴ When compared with intravenous medication only, MMA decreased VAS at all
388 time points following lumbar fusion surgery.¹⁹⁵

389 In orthopaedic trauma, addition of periarticular injection to standard pain control for hip
390 hemiarthroplasty improved VAS and reduced opioid usage early in the postoperative course.¹⁹⁶
391 Surgical site injection also improved pain for femoral fracture patients.¹⁹⁷ In the upper extremity,
392 MMA compared with PCA showed additional need for pain rescue in the PCA group and lower
393 patient satisfaction.¹⁹⁸ In a study of emergency department fracture patients. Intravenous (IV)
394 morphine or IV Tylenol + oral oxycodone was equally effective for pain control in the first hour
395 after administration. However, patients in the IV morphine group did have less nausea and site
396 itching.¹⁹⁹

397 The use of corticosteroids for postoperative pain has been validated in the literature in other
398 specialties in medicine. As with other medications there are risks associated with the use of
399 corticosteroids. Systemic side effects often associated with long-term therapy include the
400 following: Cushingoid appearance, hirsutism, exophthalmos, hypertension, arrhythmias, gastritis,
401 osteoporosis, avascular necrosis, dysphoria and hypokalemia just to name a few. From a
402 postoperative perspective, concerns include a decrease or delay in wound healing potential and
403 infection. There are no data to indicate that short-term use of corticosteroids causes an increase
404 in infection. It is not recommended to use corticosteroids in patients over the age of 60 and in
405 immunocompromised patients as some data suggests there is an increase in healing time.²⁰⁰ An
406 increase in blood glucose 24 hours post-surgery should be expected and has not been associated
407 with an increase in the rate of infection.²⁰¹

408 Corticosteroids given orally or intravenously can decrease the use of opioid analgesics by
409 50%.²⁰² Benefits of corticosteroids include a decrease in postoperative nausea, decrease in opioid
410 requirements, decrease in the length of hospital stay and more complete pain relief.^{203, 204} The
411 smallest dose that is effective should be prescribed. Doses ranging from 15mg of dexamethasone
412 to 0.1mg/kg have been shown to be effective with no complications.^{201, 203, 205-207} A meta-analysis
413 of perioperative use corticosteroids concludes an “Intermediate dose dexamethasone (0.11 to 0.2
414 mg/kg) is a safe and effective multimodal pain strategy after surgical procedures. The
415 preoperative administration of the drug provides a greater effect on postoperative pain.”²⁰¹
416 Physicians should consider perioperative dosing of corticosteroids in low risk patients and
417 especially in patients at risk for dependency.

418 **Managing Acute Pain for Patients on Long Term Opioids at Presentation**

419 The panel recommends that perioperative analgesia should be managed with a multimodal
420 analgesia regimen in all opioid-tolerant patients (Strong recommendation, moderate quality
421 evidence).

- 422 • The panel recommends coordinating with acute pain service (APS) (or addiction
423 medicine or psychiatry depending on resources) when inpatient and the patient's
424 prescriber when outpatient to ensure that there is only one prescriber for patients on
425 medication assisted therapy (methadone, buprenorphine, or naltrexone), patients using
426 illicit opioids, or patients misusing prescription opioids (Strong recommendation,
427 moderate quality evidence).

428

429 Opioid-tolerant patients present a clinical challenge to effective perioperative pain
430 management. These patients have a medical condition and should be treated with the same
431 respect and dignity as a patient with any other pre-surgical medical condition. Developed nations
432 have observed a large increase in the number of opioid-tolerant patients over the last decade.^{103,}
433 ²⁰⁸ In the United States, a combination of expanding heroin abuse, pain control metrics, and
434 pharmacologic development of long-acting opioids has resulted in a dramatic rise in the number
435 of opioid-tolerant patients. Managing perioperative pain in the opioid-tolerant patient is both a
436 medical and a social challenge. Opioid-tolerant patients are at increased risk of receiving
437 inadequate perioperative analgesia.¹⁰³ This risk exists as the result of (a) a social stigmatization
438 of opioid prescription and consumption²⁰⁹; (b) concerns for drug-seeking behavior²¹⁰ or relapse
439 of recovering addicts, or both; and (c) an incomplete understanding of opioid agonist and opioid
440 replacement therapy pharmacokinetics.²¹¹

441 Opioid-tolerant patients present with one of the following three clinical scenarios: (1)
442 scheduled, prescribed opioid (short-acting or long-acting) regimens; (2) prescribed medical assist
443 therapy (methadone, buprenorphine); (3) illegal consumption of prescription or non-prescription
444 opioids.²¹² Each patient can be further subdivided into those who are actively experiencing acute
445 pain in an emergent setting (secondary to trauma) or whose treatment necessitates elective
446 surgery (nonunion, mal-union, infection, hardware removal). The care of these patients can be
447 difficult and there is little literature to guide treatment.

448 At the time of this publication, there are a limited number of observational studies
449 examining acute perioperative pain management in the opioid-tolerant patient. However, care
450 must be taken when managing these patients. In two studies on orthopaedic trauma populations,
451 it has been shown that patients on opioids are at higher risk for receiving prescriptions from
452 multiple prescribers in the postoperative period, which leads to more prescriptions, higher doses
453 and longer duration of opioid use.^{213, 214} What follows is a review of available literature and
454 clinical recommendations for perioperative analgesia in the opioid-tolerant patient.

455 It is critical to identify opioid users immediately after injury or in the pre-operative period
456 to avoid uncontrolled acute pain. Physicians should obtain information on type, dose, frequency,
457 and last consumption of all opioids, which will allow conversion to morphine equivalent doses.
458 The opioid-tolerant patient experiences pain, physiologically, differently than the opioid-naive
459 patient^{103, 211, 215-217} because of:

- 460 a. Cross tolerance occurs between different opioids
- 461 b. Increased sensitivity to natural and experimental pain.^{103, 211, 218, 219}
 - 462 i. Results in higher than expected post-operative pain scores and slower
463 resolution of acute pain in the postoperative period.^{211, 218}

- 464 c. High affinity partial μ -agonist and antagonist block the effect of standard opioids.
465 When these medications are utilized patients require high opioid doses to displace
466 competitive medications, before analgesia takes effect.

467 The following sections provide brief recommendations for specific populations of opioid-tolerant
468 patients, including those taking chronic short-acting opioid therapy, those using illicit opioids,
469 and those taking methadone, buprenorphine or naltrexone.

470 **Chronic short-acting opioid therapy**

471 Perioperative pain management of patients consuming routine and scheduled oral opioids should
472 include:

- 473 1. Instructions to continue baseline medication the morning of surgery through the post-
474 operative period.²²⁰
 - 475 a. If transdermal fentanyl patches are used pre-operatively, patients should be
476 converted to an IV morphine equivalent dose. This is because of alterations in
477 fentanyl release during fluid shifts and body temperature changes observed with
478 surgery.^{220, 221}
- 479 2. Titrate short-acting μ -agonist to effective pain control
- 480 3. When oral medications cannot be consumed the 24 hour morphine equivalent dose should
481 be calculated for conversion to intra-venous management until oral medications can be
482 reinstated.²¹⁵

483 **Illicit Opioids**

484 Perioperative pain management is further complicated by inaccurate consumption history, and
485 variation in strength of illicit drugs:

- 486 1. If available, consult addiction medicine, acute pain service, or psychiatry.¹⁰³

487 **Methadone** (*Slow release oral morphine or opioid agonist*).²¹⁵

488 Perioperative pain management of patients consuming methadone should include:

- 489 1. If available, consult addiction medicine, acute pain service, or psychiatry.¹⁰³
- 490 2. Continue baseline methadone throughout the perioperative period including the morning
491 of surgery
- 492 3. If unable to take oral medication, convert 24-hour dose to IV methadone according to
493 conversion chart and administer in 2-4 divided doses.
 - 494 a. Pharmacokinetics of methadone are influenced by CYP450 and CYP3A4
495 metabolism and may also vary based upon patient's own metabolism. Consult
496 pharmacist or acute pain service specialist for conversion to the appropriate
497 morphine equivalent dose.²²²
- 498 4. Supplement perioperative pain with short-acting agonist
- 499 5. Close respiratory monitoring due to combined effects
- 500 6. Educate patient on acute opioid taper

501 **Buprenorphine** (*partial μ -agonist alone or mixed with kappa antagonist (naloxone)*).^{215, 223-225}

502 Addiction medicine, acute pain service, or psychiatry (depending on local resources and

503 expertise) should be consulted when managing patients on buprenorphine, which is commonly

504 administered transdermally for chronic pain and sublingually for substitution in opioid abusers.

505 Owing to the medication high affinity for Mu receptors and kappa antagonist effect other

506 agonists may have limited analgesia effect and typically require high doses to achieve affect. For

507 this reason, close respiratory monitoring is required when using short and long-acting opioids.

508 Perioperative pain management of patients consuming buprenorphine will vary according to the

509 clinical setting:

- 510 1. Elective surgery
- 511 a. Mild to moderate pain
- 512 i. Consider management with increased doses of buprenorphine (when low
- 513 doses are prescribed at baseline)
- 514 ii. Continue buprenorphine and add short-acting μ -agonist
- 515 b. Moderate to severe pain
- 516 i. Discontinue 72-hours prior to surgery and convert to short-acting agonist.
- 517 1. Higher than expected doses are anticipated for analgesia for three
- 518 to four days while buprenorphine is cleared from the body
- 519 2. Reassess analgesia daily and expect to decrease full agonist
- 520 between days three and four
- 521 3. Manage acute pain with a tapering regimen
- 522 ii. Patient should be opioid-free for 24-hours before restarting buprenorphine
- 523 to avoid withdrawal.
- 524 2. In acute traumatic presentation
- 525 a. Conversion to methadone according to conversion tables and titrate dose to effect
- 526 b. When clinical presentation does not afford conversion and titration, recommend
- 527 aggressive acute titration to full opioid agonist.
- 528 i. High doses are required to displace high affinity buprenorphine from μ -
- 529 receptors
- 530 ii. Requires continuous cardiopulmonary monitoring

531 **Naltrexone** (*opioid antagonist often used to limit relapse following opioid dependence*
532 *rehabilitation*)

533 Due to its antagonist mechanism, naltrexone creates a difficult clinical scenario, particularly in
534 the acute traumatic setting. Naltrexone reduces opioid sensitivity by blocking receptors, but also
535 upregulates μ -receptors. During initial treatment of post-injury and perioperative pain, a patient
536 may not be sensitive to a short-acting μ -agonist and may require many times the normal dose.²²⁶
537 After two weeks, sensitivity to opioids may increase, risking overdose. When the acute pain
538 period is over, and naltrexone is restarted, it carries the risk of inducing withdrawal. Therefore,
539 the recommendation is to consult addiction medicine, acute pain service, or psychiatry.

540 **NSAIDs and Fracture Healing**

- 541 • The panel recommends for the routine use of NSAIDs as part of a comprehensive
542 analgesic plan for operative and non-operative fracture care (strong recommendation, low
543 quality evidence).

544 One of the major barriers to using non-narcotic analgesics in orthopaedic trauma has been
545 the reluctance to employ nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in the setting of
546 fracture or arthrodesis surgery of any kind. For decades, NSAIDs were avoided due to fears
547 about bone healing. However, a review of the evidence has found the data on the effect of
548 NSAIDs on bone healing too conflicting to make a clinical recommendation one way or the
549 other.²²⁷⁻²²⁹ Given the proven track record of NSAIDs in alleviating musculoskeletal pain,
550 withholding NSAIDs from our analgesic armamentarium is a significant disadvantage. Under the
551 current circumstances, the basis of this prohibition merits a critical review.

552

553 The basic science studies have been conflicting, at best. The most rigorous basic science studies
554 are animal models of spinal fusion, while fracture healing models yielded mixed results at
555 best.²³⁰ Endpoints for animal studies demonstrated that NSAIDs contributed to reduced
556 mechanical strength (as bone stiffness and load to failure) and delayed time to union.^{231, 232}
557 Nonetheless, this lack of clarity has re-enforced the perception of a deleterious effect. Further
558 animal studies attempted to examine what the possible mechanism of action could be, and tried
559 to establish if there was a lesser impact from COX-2 specific inhibitors compared to
560 indomethacin in the animal setting, again with mixed results.^{232, 233}

561 Clinical studies are similarly unclear, but four of the clinical papers should be examined
562 critically as they are frequently cited when raising alarm over NSAIDs in fracture healing.
563 Giannoudis et al. used a retrospective case-control model to compare femoral shaft fractures that
564 had not healed to a group that healed successfully.²³⁴ The odds ratio for nonunion with the use of
565 NSAIDs was reported as a disturbingly high 10.7 (95% CI: 3.55, 33.23), but the study was small
566 and underpowered (sample size of 32 patients), NSAID use was severely underrepresented in the
567 control group, and this same sample showed no effect of smoking. Further, by starting with a
568 group of 32 non-united diaphyseal femur fractures, investigators may well have been
569 preselecting the group most likely to take NSAIDs (for the pain of nonunion). Bhattacharyya et
570 al. point out exactly this bias when discussing their finding of higher NSAID use in the subset of
571 humerus fractures that were treated closed and did not heal.²³⁵ To avoid selection bias,
572 Bhattacharyya's group queried Medicare data (1995-2000) from two states for patients with a
573 humeral shaft fracture. Starting with nearly 10,000 records, they found 104 patients (1.1%) with
574 a nonunion. They reported that patients who used NSAIDs or opioids within the first 90 days
575 after fracture had relative risks for nonunion of 3.7 (95%CI:2.4, 5.6) or 1.6 (95%CI: 1.1,2.5)

576 respectively.²³⁵ More recently, Jeffcoach and co-workers retrospectively reviewed long bone
577 fractures over a two-year period at a single trauma center. The patients who suffered a long bone
578 fracture and received NSAIDs during the inpatient postoperative days (12% of 1901 patients)
579 had an odds ratio for a complication (nonunion, malunion, infection) of 2.17 (1.15-4.10).²³⁶ In a
580 well-designed, prospective randomized trial on different durations of indomethacin treatment
581 (three days, one week, or six weeks) for prophylaxis of heterotopic ossification, Sagi et al.
582 showed that at six months after surgery, the highest incidence of nonunion of the posterior
583 acetabular wall (67%) occurred in the group with the longest duration (six weeks) of
584 indomethacin use.²³⁷ While there were only thirteen patients in this group and that raises
585 concerns over adequate power, the rate of nonunion of the posterior wall in all groups was
586 surprisingly high.

587 While isolated clinical investigations such as these have been cited as evidence to
588 withhold NSAIDs during fracture treatment, this conclusion is not supported by a critical
589 examination of the existing literature. Two recent comprehensive meta-analyses by Kurmis et
590 al.²²⁹ and Marquez-Lara et al.²³⁸ have concluded that while some animal studies may raise a
591 concern, there is no high-quality literature support for NSAID inhibition of fracture healing in
592 the clinical setting. Ultimately, these critical evaluations of the existing clinical literature must
593 stand as the cornerstones of our practice guideline recommendations on this issue.

594 Based on the unknown clinical role of opioids on fracture healing, recent investigations
595 have tried to examine a potential effect of opiate analgesics on fracture healing. Morphine has
596 been demonstrated to inhibit osteocalcin in vitro.²³⁹ Chrastil et al. used a rat model to examine
597 opioid influence on femur fractures and found that animals treated with opiate analgesia formed
598 callus in greater volume, but that this callus was more disorganized and mechanically weaker

599 than the control animals.²⁴⁰ OPIAD (Opiate Induced Androgen Deficiency) Syndrome describes
600 the naturally occurring reduction in serum testosterone seen clinically with both acute and
601 chronic opioid administration²⁴¹ and Brinker et al. have previously demonstrated hypogonadism
602 to be among the metabolic abnormalities identified in patients with nonunion.²⁴² Chrastil and co-
603 workers attempted to determine if supplemental testosterone might be used to mitigate the effects
604 of opioids on callus formation and strength, but they found supplemental testosterone was
605 ineffective for this purpose.²⁴³ This study casts doubt on the theory that the effect of opioids on
606 bone healing is solely mediated by hypogonadism, since the opioid-treated animals demonstrated
607 a drop in serum testosterone, but still had impaired callus formation despite administration of
608 supplemental exogenous testosterone. Overall, any conclusions on the role of opioids in bone
609 healing are very preliminary, and have not been corroborated with quality clinical studies, but
610 given its potential impact on clinical practice, the field certainly merits further bench and clinical
611 investigation.

612 With regards to the effectiveness of NSAIDs for pain control, there are now some head-
613 to-head clinical comparisons available between NSAIDs and opioids for the acute management
614 of musculoskeletal complaints in both the pediatric²⁴⁴ and adult^{245, 246} populations. To date, these
615 studies have demonstrated NSAIDs to provide equally effective analgesia.

616 To summarize, there is simply no conclusive clinical evidence to prohibit the use of
617 NSAIDs in fracture care. Further, risks to the population from oral opioid use, and the prolonged
618 use after resolution of musculoskeletal injury, are well-established. NSAIDs also provide
619 effective analgesia in the setting of musculoskeletal pain.²⁴⁷ Taking all of these factors and the
620 existing clinical evidence into account, we recommend the routine use of NSAIDs as part of a
621 comprehensive analgesic plan for operative and non-operative fracture care.

622

Nerve/Regional/Field Blocks

623 This section is organized around the following three time periods: (1) During a hospital
624 admission prior to fracture surgery; (2) Intraoperatively and the immediate post-operative period
625 (3); The remote (>3 months) post-operative period. In each of these temporal periods, in relation
626 to fracture surgery, we asked what is the evidence that nerve, or regional, or field blocks improve
627 pain control and decrease use of opioids?

During a hospital admission prior to fracture surgery

- 629 • The panel recommends that regional nerve blocks (femoral nerve or fascia iliaca) should
630 be placed in patients with acute hip fractures at the time of presentation to the Emergency
631 Department (strong recommendation, high quality evidence).

632 The evidence for this recommendation is confined to hip fracture patients. Multiple studies
633 show that nerve blocks placed in the emergency department (ED) can be accomplished by
634 trained personal with minimal risks or complications.²⁴⁸⁻²⁵⁸ These blocks have consistently been
635 found to be effective in comparison to standard of care (parenteral opioids alone) in decreasing
636 opioid use and improving patient's pain in the pre-operative period.^{248, 251, 252, 254, 256, 257} These
637 results have been confirmed in multiple RCTs and some of these studies are placebo-controlled
638 with blinded assessment of the outcome.^{252, 253, 257} Although there is high-quality evidence for
639 these benefits of nerve blocks, instituting routine nerve blocks for hip fracture patients cannot be
640 accomplished by the surgeon in isolation. System-wide changes in practice with involvement of
641 other care providers (emergency medicine and anesthesia) are required.

642 There are other possible benefits of ED regional nerve blocks for hip fracture patients.
643 One RCT found that these blocks decrease the incidence of delirium in hip fracture patients who
644 are at intermediate risk for this condition.²⁵⁷ Another RCT found a functional post-operative

645 benefit in the hospital (walking distance and stair climbing ability) that lasted until six weeks
646 after surgery.²⁵⁶ There is less strength of evidence for these benefits because they have only been
647 assessed in one study each.

648 The nerve block technique has varied between studies. Some studies have utilized a
649 three-in-one femoral nerve block while others recommend a fascia iliaca block. Most studies
650 recommend ultrasound guidance for either type of block.^{249, 255} The fascia iliaca compartment
651 block requires less precision and is probably more easily learned. The location is more remote
652 from the neurovascular bundle and thus nearly eliminates the risk of intraarterial injection.
653 Femoral nerve and fascia iliaca blocks have also been shown to have similar efficacy in total
654 knee arthroplasty patients.²⁵⁰ Recommended training has been 30 minutes of didactic training
655 followed by variable periods of practice and supervised clinical performance. This short duration
656 of training, however, may assume preexisting ultrasound skills.^{249, 252}

657 Five studies have compared “standard” preoperative MMA to the addition of a nerve
658 block. Addition of a femoral nerve block to preoperative oxycontin and celecoxib did not make a
659 difference in total knee arthroplasty patients.²⁵⁹ YaDeau, et al., however, showed lower visual
660 analog pain scales (VAS) with addition of a femoral nerve block to standard epidural
661 anesthesia.²⁶⁰ Divella’s group evaluated resting and dynamic VAS scores for three days after
662 total hip arthroplasty. Pain control was oxycontin and acetaminophen versus continuous epidural
663 levobupivacaine. Resting VAS scores between the two groups were similar for days one and two,
664 but VAS scores were significantly lower on day three for patients in the oxycontin group.
665 Dynamic VAS scores for the oxycontin group were higher on day one and lower on day three.²⁶¹
666 The use of general anesthesia with preoperative oxycodone and celecoxib versus intrathecal
667 bupivacaine, morphine, and clonidine showed higher pain scores, faster time to first rescue

668 medication need, and longer length of stay in the general anesthesia group.²⁶² Addition of
669 multimodal postoperative pain medication (including oxycodone, tramadol, and ketorolac)
670 compared to parenteral patient-controlled analgesia showed less narcotic consumption, lower
671 pain scores, and higher satisfaction as well as higher physical therapy goal achievement in the
672 MMA group.²⁶³

673 The studies reviewed have not reported any complications of blocks, but most admit that
674 the study was not powered to detect rare complications. Clinicians should be aware of the
675 possibility of complications such as inadvertent intravascular injection, infection, intraneural
676 injection, and masking symptoms of compartment syndrome.²⁵¹ All studies report a rapid onset
677 of pain relief from these blocks, however the effect is often not complete and adjunctive
678 analgesics are often necessary.²⁵²

679 **Intraoperatively and the immediate post-operative period**

- 680 • The panel recommends that clinicians consider local or regional block anesthesia during
681 operative treatment of fractures and as part of the post-operative multimodality pain
682 control regimen. (strong recommendation, high quality evidence)
- 683 • The panel recommends that if a block is going to be performed for intraoperative and
684 post-operative pain control, a continuous catheter be considered over a single-shot block
685 to better facilitate post-operative pain control and diminish rebound pain. (conditional
686 recommendation; moderate quality evidence)

687 The use of peripheral anesthesia via local injections, field blocks, single-shot regional blocks
688 and indwelling catheter regional blocks have all been shown to decrease pain scores and opioid
689 consumption in the immediate and short-term perioperative period. The bulk of this data comes
690 from the arthroplasty literature with contributing papers from the sports medicine, foot and

691 ankle, and trauma literature.²⁶⁴ The data outside the orthopaedic literature is even more robust.
692 Problems with these lower extremity blocks include a possible increase in rate of falls and
693 rebound pain that has been reported in some studies.

694 Five articles have compared various periarticular injections. Early postoperative pain
695 scores and opioid usage were lower with continuous femoral nerve catheter plus sciatic block
696 than with periarticular injection with ropivacaine or liposomal bupivacaine.²⁶⁵ Ng and colleagues,
697 however, found equivalent outcomes with femoral nerve catheter versus periarticular injection.²⁶⁶
698 In addition, periarticular injection alone was not superior to post-operative epidural analgesia for
699 pain control.²⁶⁷ The addition of periarticular liposomal bupivacaine to a periarticular injection
700 cocktail was more effective than ropivacaine at 6 and 12 hours postoperatively, however
701 intrathecal morphine was more effective at six hours.²⁶⁸ Addition of ropivacaine and ketorolac to
702 a periarticular injection cocktail improved postoperative pain control.²⁶⁹

703 In one RCT, a significant decrease in opioid consumption and better pain scores were
704 found at 48-hours after hip arthroscopy in patients who received a femoral nerve block (FNB)
705 versus general anesthesia (GA). However, the FNB group had a significant increase in rate of
706 falls compared to the GA group highlighting one of the risks of this type of anesthesia which in
707 part accounts for its moderate recommendation.²⁷⁰

708 In another RCT, the benefit of local injection was assessed. A significant decrease in pain
709 scores and opioid consumption was found for eight hours and trended less over 48 hours in
710 patients receiving a local injection compared to general anesthesia alone for femur fractures. The
711 injection (containing ropivacaine, morphine, and epinephrine) was administered at the time of
712 surgical fixation of the fracture. There were no complications attributed to the local injection
713 itself.¹⁹⁷

714 Pre-operative sciatic or popliteal continuous peripheral nerve block (CPNB) were
715 compared to post-operative patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) in a retrospective study of
716 patients undergoing fixation of talus and calcaneal fractures. While Numerical Rating System
717 pain scores, duration of stay, and side effects were equivalent in the two groups over 72-hours,
718 morphine equivalent consumption on post-operative day one by the PCA patients was 30-fold
719 that of the CPNB patients.²⁷¹

720 A single-shot popliteal block was compared to an intraoperative ankle block in a RCT of
721 patients undergoing elective forefoot surgery. The length of block time in the popliteal block
722 group was 44% longer than the ankle block group. While the patient satisfaction and perceived
723 effectiveness with both types of blocks was similar, the popliteal block group showed
724 significantly lower VAS pain scores the night after surgery and throughout the next morning.²⁷²

725 In an RCT of patients undergoing open reduction and internal fixation of distal radius
726 fractures, GA patients needed more IV pain medications in the PACU compared to those who
727 received a single-shot brachial plexus block. In the 12 to 24 hours after surgery, patients who
728 received the block showed a more aggressive rise in VAS scores and narcotic use consistent with
729 the block wearing off and the patients experiencing rebound pain. Ultimately, the GA group had
730 a statistically significantly higher total narcotic use at 72 hours compared to the block group.²⁷³

731 Peripheral anesthesia in the form of a block can be administered either via a single-shot
732 injection or by placing a catheter that has the ability to deliver anesthetic around the nerve in a
733 continuous fashion until the catheter is removed. Rebound pain is the pain a patient experiences
734 when the block wears off and can be quite significant. This is typically because the patient has
735 not been taking other post-operative pain medications due to low pain scores during the duration
736 that the block has been in effect.

737 Goldstein et al. addressed the problem of rebound pain phenomenon and were one of the first
738 groups to write about this effect.²⁷⁴ They compared a single-shot popliteal block to general
739 anesthesia (GA) in an RCT of patients undergoing fixation of ankle fractures. Significantly lower
740 pain scores were reported for the block group at 2, 4, and 8 hours after surgery, but significantly
741 better pain scores were found in the GA group from 8 to 24 hours.

742 There is some evidence that continuous catheters control pain for a longer duration of time
743 and may help diminish rebound pain by allowing the patient to get farther in the recovery
744 process. In one RCT, a single-shot popliteal (SSP) block was compared to a continuous popliteal
745 block (CPNB) in patients undergoing fixation of unstable ankle fractures. The CPNB catheter
746 was removed at 48 hours. Over the first 72 hours, patients in the CPNB group took significantly
747 fewer oral narcotics and had lower pain scores.²⁷⁵ Another study of patients undergoing open
748 fixation for calcaneal fractures compared controls (no regional blocks) vs. a single-shot block or
749 against a continuous popliteal nerve block. In the 36 hours after surgery, the patients in the
750 continuous block used significantly fewer IV narcotics than did the other two groups. However, a
751 limitation of this study was that their post-operative pain protocol changed multiple times during
752 the course of the study.²⁷⁶

753 **The remote (>3 months) post-operative period**

- 754 • The panel makes no recommendations for this time period, as we were unable to find any
755 data to guide us on whether regional or local anesthesia performed before, during, or in
756 the immediate post-operative period has any effect on improving pain scores or
757 decreasing opioid consumption at this time frame. (no recommendation, no evidence)

758

Pain/Sedation Assessment**759 Inpatient Pain Assessment**

- 760 • The panel recommends regular assessment of pain for both inpatients and outpatients in
761 order to evaluate the need for initiation or continuation of opioid therapy (strong
762 recommendation, low quality evidence).

763 Effective January 1, 2018, the Joint Commission required new and revised pain assessment
764 and management standards to improve quality and safety of care.²⁷⁷ The requirements speak to
765 (1) prioritization of pain assessment and management as an organizational priority; (2)
766 establishment of medical staff in leadership roles to address performance improvement activities
767 related to patient safety; (3) assessment and management of patient pain and minimization of
768 risks associated with treatment with opioids; (4) data collection to monitor performance related
769 to patient safety; and (5) compilation and analysis of data to inform continued performance
770 improvement.

771 Inpatient Pain Assessment

- 772 • The panel recommends that sedation assessment be conducted by nursing staff on all
773 inpatients prior to and following administration of an opioid medication (strong
774 recommendation, low quality evidence).

775 In 2012, The Joint Commission issued a warning regarding adverse drug events associated
776 with opioid analgesics, most importantly respiratory depression, among patients in the inpatient
777 hospital setting.²⁷⁸ The incidence of opioid-induced respiratory depression ranges from 0.1 to
778 37%.²⁷⁹ Nurses are typically the first to detect respiratory depression.²⁸⁰ One cause for opioid-
779 related adverse events, however, is inadequate monitoring of patients administered opioids,
780 occurring in about a third of cases.^{278, 280} Patient monitoring includes sedation assessments,

781 frequency and quality of respirations, and electronic methods such as pulse oximetry. A survey
782 of nurses belonging to the American Society for Pain Management Nursing²⁸¹ indicated nurses
783 find sedation scales and watching the patient to be more useful than electronic methods.
784 However, while there is no evidence to inform the frequency of monitoring, sedation scale scores
785 should be a major consideration in the decision to administer opioids for pain management. It is
786 important to monitor sedation as it is an indicator of impending opioid-induced respiratory
787 depression; detecting over-sedation can prevent a more clinically significant adverse event. The
788 Pasero Opioid-induced Sedation Scale²⁸² (Table 5), which has been validated for assessing
789 sedation during opioid administration,²⁸³ is an example of a tool that can be used by nurses to
790 assess patients prior to and following administration of prescription opioids.²⁸⁴

791
792

Insert Table 5 near here

793 **Naloxone**

- 794 • The panel recommends co-prescribing of naloxone when factors that increase risk of
795 overdose are present (strong recommendation, low quality evidence).

796 For patients prescribed opioids, risk mitigation strategies are an important consideration.
797 While limited evidence exists on the outcomes of prescribing naloxone in combination with
798 opioids, distribution via community-based harm reduction programs have demonstrated
799 decreased risk of death due to opioid overdose.²⁸⁵⁻²⁸⁸ The majority of programs, however, have
800 been conducted with illicit use populations with a focus on harm reduction as opposed to a
801 patient safety focus for patients prescribed opioids for acute or chronic conditions. The *CDC*
802 *Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain*²⁵ recommend co-prescribing or offering
803 naloxone to patients with increased risk for opioid overdose who are prescribed opioids. These

804 risk factors include history of overdose or substance use disorder history, opioid dosages ≥ 50
805 MME/day, or co-prescribing with benzodiazepines.

806 **System Strategies**

807 **Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs**

- 808 • The panel recommends that all prescribers register to gain access to their state's
809 Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) and regularly query the PDMP before
810 prescribing opioids (strong recommendation, low quality evidence).

811 Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs) are databases that track scheduled
812 medications dispensed from pharmacies. The databases were developed to reduce prescription
813 drug misuse and diversion. The conceptual model of PDMPs assumes that increased monitoring
814 of opioid prescriptions is associated with changes in opioid prescribing behavior, opioid
815 diversion and supply, as well as opioid-related morbidity and mortality.²⁸⁹ Numerous unintended
816 consequences of PDMPs have been described in the literature and include the following: (1)
817 potential decrease in legitimate prescribing; (2) patient privacy concerns; (3) inability to connect
818 patients with known aberrant use to resources; (4) potential increase in illegal prescription drug
819 activity or users switching to other substances such as heroin; (5) further reduced patient visit
820 time due to time required to check PDMP; and (6) potential decrease in patient satisfaction
821 ratings.²⁹⁰ Finally, PDMPs vary tremendously from state-to-state based on (1) the number of
822 schedules included; (2) the frequency of updates; (3) housing entities; (4) accessibility; (5)
823 access requirements; (6) reactive and proactive reporting; (7) associated prescriber education;
824 and (8) interstate data sharing.²⁹⁰

825

826 Four reviews of PDMPs have been published to date²⁸⁹⁻²⁹² with the most recent one
827 synthesizing articles published through 2015.²⁸⁹ Worley et al. concluded that PDMPs were
828 associated with lower substance abuse treatment admission rates, fewer opioid prescriptions, less
829 diversion, and less “doctor shopping”. The authors acknowledge, however, that results depend on
830 the specific components of each unique PDMP and that evidence is limited.²⁹¹ Haegerich et al.
831 believe PDMPs to be effective, but that effect sizes from the papers they reviewed were
832 generally very low and may depend on specific PDMP components such as mandatory review or
833 proactive reporting.²⁹² Gugelmann et al. concluded that PDMPs seem to have benefits including
834 reduced per capita supply of opioids and fewer incidents reported to poison control centers,
835 however, there are also studies showing no effect.²⁹⁰ Finally, Finley et al. found no consistent
836 pattern, with efficacy varying by state.²⁸⁹

837 Several articles on PDMP efficacy have been published since 2015, and the results have been
838 mixed as well. The Florida PDMP was associated with a 25% decline in oxycodone-caused
839 deaths.²⁹³, but a multi-state study found PDMPs were not associated with reduction in overdose
840 deaths and were, in fact, sometimes associated with increased mortality from non-prescription
841 opioid drugs, such as heroin.²⁹⁴ There was also evidence of increased ED visits for heroin
842 overdoses in New York, while visits for prescription opioid overdose leveled.²⁹⁵ In contrast,
843 Dowell and colleagues found “relatively large but statistically insignificant reductions” in heroin
844 overdose deaths, indicating that perhaps a decrease in opioids does not lead to an increase in
845 heroin use.²⁵

846 Three studies on PDMP implementation found no association with decreased opioid
847 prescribing²⁹⁶⁻²⁹⁸ while three others found that PDMP implementation reduced opioid
848 prescriptions^{25, 299, 300} and overdose deaths.²⁵ Some studies found PDMPs to be effective in

849 specific groups, such as patients with multiple provider episodes (i.e., ‘doctor shopping’) whose
850 prescribers were sent an unsolicited report by the state,³⁰¹ Medicare Part D enrollees,³⁰² and
851 Medicaid patients.²⁹⁹ Finally, due to the variability in PDMPs by state, one study rated the
852 strength of the PDMP and found that a 1% increase in PDMP strength was associated with a 1%
853 decrease in overdose deaths, indicating room for improvement in outcomes for PDMPs of lower
854 strength.³⁰³

855 While the literature remains inconsistent, PDMPs are a promising intervention, especially
856 when the PDMPs are of robust strength. We recommend checking the PDMP prior to
857 prescribing. Steps must be taken, however, to alleviate the potential consequences of curtailing
858 prescribing based on the results of a PDMP search, particularly the potential for patients to
859 switch to heroin. Therefore, we recommend referring patients to behavioral health and addiction
860 medicine if the PDMP indicates aberrant behaviors. Furthermore, the evidence does demonstrate
861 that PDMPs are not a panacea for preventing prescription opioid misuse, abuse, and diversion.

862 **Prescriber and Patient Education**

- 863 • The panel recommends that departments support opioid education efforts for prescribers
864 and patients (strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence).

865 Physicians often lack training in pain management and addiction; 59% of physicians report
866 medical school preparation regarding chronic pain treatment as “fair” or “poor”³⁰⁴, and median
867 instruction time spent on pain education in US medical schools is 11.1 hours, compared to 27.6
868 hours in Canada.³⁰⁵ After graduate medical education, only five states (CT, IA, MD, SC, TN)
869 require physicians to obtain periodic CME on prescribing, substance use disorders, or pain
870 management.³⁰⁶

871 The effectiveness of educational interventions for physicians is strong. A synthesis of
872 reviews on CME education find that studies on CME interventions consistently show
873 improvement in both physician performance and patient health outcomes.³⁰⁷ The most effective
874 CME sessions are interactive, use multiple methods, involve multiple exposures, and are
875 longer.³⁰⁷ After New Mexico began requiring CME in 2012-2013 about pain and addiction along
876 with required PDMP registration and query, the state saw statistically significant increased
877 physician knowledge, self-efficacy, and attitudes, as well as a decrease in both statewide
878 morphine milligram equivalents dispensed and drug overdose deaths.³⁰⁸ Online educational
879 interventions have been moderately effective.³⁰⁹ Education in conjunction with clinical decision
880 support is also effective at changing naloxone prescribing rates.³¹⁰

881 Other strategies described in the literature include brief one-on-one physician education,³¹¹
882 ³¹² development and dissemination of guidelines and policies,^{313,314} and Risk Evaluation and
883 Mitigation Strategy (REMS).³¹⁵ Public health detailing is an approach based on the
884 pharmaceutical sales strategy, by which messages are pushed using brief one-on-one educational
885 visits during the normal workflow. Staten Island saw a reduction in high-dose prescribing and
886 stabilizing of days' supply after implementing this strategy.³¹¹ Similarly, an ED in Australia
887 delivered one-on-one education via a clinical champion and was very effective at improving
888 information given to patients, increasing notifications sent to general practitioners, reducing total
889 dose prescribed, and incorporating non-opioid therapies.³¹² This approach is, however, resource
890 intensive and has a limited scope of impact.

891 Development of department guidelines, policies, or both is another option. Hill et al.
892 described an intervention within surgical specialties at an academic medical center which
893 included dissemination of operation-specific opioid prescribing guidelines. This intervention

894 significantly reduced the number of pills prescribed.³¹³ When a similar approach was
895 implemented in the emergency department setting the number of patients prescribed opioids and
896 number of pills prescribed decreased by 40% and 15%, respectively, with reductions sustained
897 over 2.5 years.³¹⁴ Finally, Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies (REMS) developed by the
898 FDA in 2007 required pharmaceutical manufacturers to take steps to reduce risks associated with
899 the medication. Strategies can include medication guides for patients, clinician education, and
900 physician certification.³¹⁶ Both immediate release and extended release opioids are now subject
901 to these regulations.³¹⁷ Thus, manufacturers are required to fund continuing education regarding
902 opioid prescribing. Overall, the resulting SCOPE of Pain educational program has been shown to
903 increase physician knowledge and reported intention to change practice.³¹⁸ The SCOPE of Pain
904 program has also implemented a “train the trainer” approach which facilitates wide
905 dissemination of information.³¹⁵ Physicians are advised to be aware of potential conflicts of
906 interest when attending pharmaceutical company-funded sessions.³¹⁹

907 Overall, education is a necessary, but insufficient, approach to improving prescribing and
908 patient outcomes. In addition, the literature is mostly limited to opioids for chronic pain
909 management rather than acute or post-surgical pain. Regardless, we recommend supporting
910 opioid education efforts both in graduate medical education and through continuing education.

911 Literature that focuses on evaluating the effects of patient education is limited, but the
912 few studies conducted support effective patient education. Strategies included educational
913 pamphlets,³²⁰⁻³²² web-based interactive education,³²³ and clinician-delivered education.^{324, 325} All
914 interventions that included knowledge as an outcome demonstrated a significant effect,^{320, 322, 323,}
915³²⁵ and many studies observed changes in risky behaviors, such as sharing pills,^{320, 323} pill

916 storage,³²⁰ saving and disposal of pills,^{320, 321, 323, 324} driving³²² and taking more medication than
917 prescribed.³²³

918 **Clinical Decision Support**

- 919 • The panel recommends that prescribers, to the extent possible, develop, support, or both
920 the implementation of clinical decision support regarding opioid prescribing in the
921 electronic medical record (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

922 We reviewed the literature on the impact of clinical informatics interventions on opioid
923 prescribing. A total of 14 articles were identified that included prescribing outcomes and the
924 quality of the evidence was low. Most of the studies used study designs that did not have any
925 concurrent control group. This is a significant weakness due to the national attention surrounding
926 the opioid crisis currently in lay press, politics, and medicine. Without concurrent controls, the
927 effects seen after implementation of these interventions could be overestimates if prescribing was
928 already decreasing due to the current climate around opioids. There were, however, two
929 randomized controlled trials that demonstrated an effect on some outcomes.^{326, 327} Most of the 14
930 studies included patients in the emergency department^{326, 328-331} or specifically for patients
931 receiving chronic opioid therapy.^{327, 332-334} Only one study assessed clinical decision support in
932 an orthopaedic surgery population.³³⁵

933 There is a gap in the literature surrounding acute pain outside of the emergency room, other
934 than after cesarean section³³⁶ and following hand surgery.³³⁵ This is an important area of research
935 since a short course of opioid treatment for acute pain can often result in chronic opioid therapy.⁹

936 All of these studies were conducted in urban settings or across a wide area including both
937 urban and rural settings. It is critical to study these interventions in rural areas since they are
938 substantially burdened with this epidemic.³³⁷ In addition, prescriber response to these

939 interventions may differ in outlying hospitals and in practices that are not part of an academic
940 hospital where prescribers are consistently exposed to new literature, new techniques, and other
941 clinical innovations. In addition, numerous articles were identified that described clinical
942 decision support regarding opioids but did not report on outcomes of the intervention.

943 While these feasibility and implementation articles are important for fully describing
944 interventions, decisions cannot be made regarding continuation, iterative improvement, or
945 adoption of the intervention by another institution without evidence of efficacy. The lack of
946 follow-up outcomes papers could represent publication bias, whereby articles in the literature are
947 more likely to have been effective. For example, only one study found no effect of the
948 intervention³³¹ while the rest of the interventions were effective,^{328, 329, 332-334, 336, 338, 339} or mixed
949 (had effect on some outcomes but not all).^{326, 327, 330, 335, 340} Finally, most studies included
950 outcomes associated with prescriptions (i.e. number of prescriptions, number of pills, average
951 dose, number of risky concurrent prescriptions for opioids with benzodiazepines, number of
952 extended release prescriptions).^{326, 328, 330, 331, 335, 336, 338-340} Others measured outcomes associated
953 with safe prescribing (i.e. urine drug screens, treatment agreement, functional assessments, risk
954 assessments, documented diagnosis).^{327, 329, 332-334} The conceptual framework implicitly presented
955 is that these interventions lead to safer prescribing practices that lead to fewer high risk
956 prescriptions that in turn ultimately reduces risk of misuse, abuse, or diversion of prescription
957 opioids. However, no studies measured rates of overdose, opioid use disorder, or other outcomes
958 to demonstrate this pathway.

959 Despite the low-quality evidence, we strongly recommend pursuing clinical decision support
960 to the extent possible. Potential approaches include power plans/order sets,^{331, 335, 340}

961 dashboards,^{332, 338, 339} risk assessment and screening,^{327, 329, 333} alerts,^{326, 328, 330} and other decision
962 support.^{334, 336, 339}

963 Order set interventions could include recommended pain management regimens and dosing
964 based on patient characteristics,³⁴⁰ prepopulating the dosing at a minimum rather than a range
965 (i.e. one pill 4x per day rather than 1-2 pills 4-6 times per day).³³⁵, and including non-opioid
966 medication options.³³⁵

967 Dashboards are useful for tracking physician adherence to guidelines and protocols. They are
968 particularly useful because they provide actionable information to the prescriber.³⁴¹ For example,
969 a prescriber can see what patients are due for a certain screening and conduct the appropriate
970 screening at the patient's next visit. Dashboards can also promote transparency, accountability,
971 and natural competition by which prescribers compare their statistics to those of their partners,
972 leading to improved performance.³⁴² Dashboards vary in the metrics tracked (e.g., urine drug
973 screens, pain agreements, functional status assessment, visits with behavioral health providers,
974 high dose opioids, and concurrent opioids and benzodiazepines).^{332, 338} Dashboards also vary
975 regarding the level of integration into workflow. Some are housed on the intranet for prescribers
976 to access on-demand³³² while others are "pushed" to prescribers at defined time intervals.^{332, 338}

977 Many risk assessment tools are accessible that indicate risk for opioid abuse, misuse, and
978 diversion. Available tools include the Opioid Risk Tool³⁴³ the Screener and Opioid Assessment
979 for Patients with Pain (SOAPP-R),³⁴⁴ the Drug Abuse Screening Test (DAST),³⁴⁵ the Brief Risk
980 Interview,³⁴⁶ and the Current Opioid Misuse Measure (COMM).³⁴⁷ Additionally, guidelines
981 recommend that providers screen patients prior to prescribing opioids although the CDC
982 guidelines caution against placing full confidence in the sensitivity and specificity of these
983 screening tools because consequences of underestimation or overestimation of risk can be

984 significant.³⁴⁸ An electronic risk assessment program called Pain Assessment Interview Network,
985 Clinical Advisory System (PainCAS)^{327, 333} is completed by the patient prior to their visit, either
986 at home or upon registration at the clinic, and includes the SOAPP-R and COMM, both validated
987 instruments. Once completed, administrative staff uploads the report to that patient's electronic
988 medical record. Another electronic assessment is a short 3-item screening for tobacco, alcohol,
989 and drug use that is programmed into the electronic triage tool in the ED.³²⁹ These studies report
990 significant increase in screening and documentation, however, their use does not appear to alter
991 patient clinical outcomes.

992 Alerts were originally developed to reduce adverse drug events by alerting the provider to
993 contraindications or allergies associated with medications.³⁴⁹⁻³⁵¹ Since then, alerts have been
994 developed for additional situations, including opioids risk. It is critical when developing alerts to
995 ensure information is meaningful and does not trigger at unacceptable rates, thus causing "alert
996 fatigue".³⁵² Alerts may include patient risk factors,³²⁸ suggest non-opioid medications or non-
997 pharmaceutical modalities,³²⁸ inform the prescriber that the patient was referred to pain
998 management,³³⁰ or inform the prescriber that the patient has an existing opioid care plan.³²⁶

999 Other examples of decision support implemented in the included articles include "smart set"
1000 documentation, a patient-facing tablet decision aid, and comprehensive prescribing tools. "Smart
1001 set" documentation standardizes practices by walking prescribers through the appropriate
1002 prescribing policies.³³⁴ Similarly, another study described implementation of a large set of
1003 decision aids into the EMR as part of Safe and Appropriate Opioid Prescribing Program
1004 (SAOP).³³⁹ Aids included medication menus, medication alerts, preferred and maximum doses,
1005 links to guidelines, prompts for alternative treatments and medications, patient treatment
1006 agreements, and a link to the PDMP. Finally, one article discussed a patient-facing decision aid

1007 in which patients used a tablet-based decision tool to learn about post-cesarean pain and
1008 oxycodone to guide her in making decisions about the number of pills she wanted.³³⁶

1009 These approaches are promising interventions to improve patient safety and reduce opioid
1010 prescribing. Many of these interventions included multiple components in addition to the
1011 electronic tool such as pocket cards, educational sessions, prescribing policies, care plans, and
1012 patient-facing pain policies.^{326, 328, 335, 339, 340} While a multi-pronged intervention has greater
1013 likelihood of success, it is challenging to identify the unique contribution of the electronic tool in
1014 each case.

1015 CONCLUSIONS

1016 Balancing comfort and patient safety following acute musculoskeletal injury is possible when
1017 utilizing a true multimodal approach including cognitive, physical, and pharmaceutical
1018 strategies. In this document, we attempt to provide practical, evidence-based guidance for
1019 clinicians in both the operative and non-operative settings to address acute pain from
1020 musculoskeletal injury. We also organized and graded the evidence to both support
1021 recommendations and identify gap areas for future research.

1022
1023 Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge the following individuals who helped in the
1024 development and preparation of these Clinical Practice Guidelines: Donald T. Kirkendall, ELS (a
1025 contracted medical editor).

1026 REFERENCES

1027
1028 1. Rudd R, Aleshire N, Zibbell J, et al. Increases in Drug and Opioid Overdose Deaths --
1029 United States, 2000-2014. MMWR. 2016;64:1378-1382.

- 1030 2. Rudd RA, Seth P, David F, et al. Increases in Drug and Opioid-Involved Overdose
1031 Deaths - United States, 2010-2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016;65:1445-1452.
- 1032 3. Dowell D, Arias E, Kochanek K, et al. Contribution of opioid-involved poisoning to the
1033 change in life expectancy in the United States, 2000-2015. *JAMA.* 2017;318:1065-1067.
- 1034 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prescribing Data. Available at:
1035 www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/data/prescribing.html. Accessed September 20.
- 1036 5. Volkow ND, McLellan TA, Cotto JH. Characteristics of opioid prescriptions in 2009.
1037 *JAMA.* 2011;305:1299-1300.
- 1038 6. Daubresse M, Chang H, Yu Y, et al. Ambulatory diagnosis and treatment of
1039 nonmalignant pain in the United States, 2000-2010. *Med Care.* 2013;51:870-878.
- 1040 7. Bicket MC, Long JJ, Pronovost PJ, et al. Prescription Opioid Analgesics Commonly
1041 Unused After Surgery: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Surg.* 2017;152:1066-1071.
- 1042 8. Kim N, Matzon JL, Abboudi J, et al. A Prospective Evaluation of Opioid Utilization
1043 After Upper-Extremity Surgical Procedures: Identifying Consumption Patterns and Determining
1044 Prescribing Guidelines. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2016;98:e89.
- 1045 9. Hooten WM, St Sauver JL, McGree ME, et al. Incidence and risk factors for progression
1046 from short-term to episodic or long-term opioid prescribing: A population-based study. *Mayo*
1047 *Clin Proc.* 2015;90:850-856.
- 1048 10. Braden J, Fan M, Edlund M, et al. Trends in use of opioids by noncancer pain type 2000-
1049 2005 among Arkansas Medicaid and HealthCore enrollees: results from the TROUP study. *J*
1050 *Pain.* 2008;9:1026-1035.
- 1051 11. Martin BC, Fan MY, Edlund MJ, et al. Long-term chronic opioid therapy discontinuation
1052 rates from the TROUP study. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2011;26:1450-1457.

- 1053 12. Von Korff M, Saunders K, Thomas Ray G, et al. De facto long term opioid therapy for
1054 noncancer pain. *Clin J Pain*. 2008;24:521-527.
- 1055 13. GRADE Working Group. The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development
1056 and Evaluation. Available at: <http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/>. Accessed October 15, 2017.
- 1057 14. American Academy of Family Physicians. AAFP Chronic Pain Management Toolkit.
1058 Available at: [https://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/documents/patient_care/pain_management/cpm-](https://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/documents/patient_care/pain_management/cpm-toolkit.pdf)
1059 [toolkit.pdf](https://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/documents/patient_care/pain_management/cpm-toolkit.pdf). Accessed August 22, 2018.
- 1060 15. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Pain Relief Toolkit. Available at:
1061 <https://www.aaos.org/Quality/PainReliefToolkit/?ssopc=1>. Accessed October 15, 2017.
- 1062 16. American Academy of Pain Medicine. Use of Opioids for the Treatment of Chronic Pain:
1063 A Statement from the American Academy of Pain Medicine. Available at:
1064 <http://www.painmed.org/files/use-of-opioids-for-the-treatment-of-chronic-pain.pdf>. Accessed
1065 October 1, 2017.
- 1066 17. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee Opinion
1067 Summary. Opioid Use and Opioid Use Disorder in Pregnancy. Available at:
1068 [https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-](https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Obstetric-Practice/Opioid-Use-and-Opioid-Use-Disorder-in-Pregnancy)
1069 [Obstetric-Practice/Opioid-Use-and-Opioid-Use-Disorder-in-Pregnancy](https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Obstetric-Practice/Opioid-Use-and-Opioid-Use-Disorder-in-Pregnancy). Accessed October 1,
1070 2017.
- 1071 18. American Society of Addiction Medicine. ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Use
1072 of Medications in the Treatment of Addiction Involving Opioid Use. Available at:
1073 [https://www.asam.org/docs/default-source/practice-support/guidelines-and-consensus-](https://www.asam.org/docs/default-source/practice-support/guidelines-and-consensus-docs/asam-national-practice-guideline-supplement.pdf)
1074 [docs/asam-national-practice-guideline-supplement.pdf](https://www.asam.org/docs/default-source/practice-support/guidelines-and-consensus-docs/asam-national-practice-guideline-supplement.pdf). Accessed October 1, 2017.

- 1075 19. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Acute Pain Management. Practice
1076 Guidelines for Acute Pain Management in the Perioperative Setting: An Updated Report by the
1077 American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Acute Pain Management. *Anesthesiology*.
1078 2012;116:248-273.
- 1079 20. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Neuraxial Opioids, American
1080 Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Practice Guidelines for the Prevention,
1081 Detection, and Management of Respiratory Depression Associated with Neuraxial Opioid
1082 Administration: An Updated Report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on
1083 Neuraxial Opioids and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine.
1084 *Anesthesiology*. 2016;124:535-552.
- 1085 21. Busse JW, Guyatt G, Carrasco A, et al. The 2017 Canadian guideline for opioids for
1086 chronic non-cancer pain. Available at:
1087 [http://nationalpaincentre.mcmaster.ca/documents/Opioid%20GL%20for%20CMAJ_01may2017.](http://nationalpaincentre.mcmaster.ca/documents/Opioid%20GL%20for%20CMAJ_01may2017.pdf)
1088 pdf. Accessed October 15, 2017.
- 1089 22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Pocket Guide: Tapering Opioids for Chronic
1090 Pain. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/pdf/clinical_pocket_guide_tapering-a.pdf.
1091 Accessed January 15, 2018.
- 1092 23. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Calculating Total Daily Dose of Opioids for
1093 Safer Dosage. Available at:
1094 https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/pdf/calculating_total_daily_dose-a.pdf. Accessed 22 Aug
1095 2018.
- 1096 24. Chou R, Fanciullo GJ, Fine PG, et al. Clinical guidelines for the use of chronic opioid
1097 therapy in chronic noncancer pain. *J Pain*. 2009;10:113-130.

- 1098 25. Dowell D, Haegerich T, Chou R. CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic
1099 Pain -- United States, 2016. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2016;65:1-49.
- 1100 26. Federation of State Medical Boards of the United States I. Model Policy on the Use of
1101 Opioid Analgesics in the Treatment of Chronic Pain. Available at:
1102 [https://www.fsmb.org/Media/Default/PDF/Advocacy/Opioid%20Guidelines%20As%20Adopted](https://www.fsmb.org/Media/Default/PDF/Advocacy/Opioid%20Guidelines%20As%20Adopted%20April%202017_FINAL.pdf)
1103 [%20April%202017_FINAL.pdf](https://www.fsmb.org/Media/Default/PDF/Advocacy/Opioid%20Guidelines%20As%20Adopted%20April%202017_FINAL.pdf). Accessed October 1, 2017.
- 1104 27. Federation of State Medical Boards of the United States I. Guidelines for the Chronic Use
1105 of Opioid Analgesics. Available at:
1106 [https://www.fsmb.org/Media/Default/PDF/Advocacy/Opioid%20Guidelines%20As%20Adopted](https://www.fsmb.org/Media/Default/PDF/Advocacy/Opioid%20Guidelines%20As%20Adopted%20April%202017_FINAL.pdf)
1107 [%20April%202017_FINAL.pdf](https://www.fsmb.org/Media/Default/PDF/Advocacy/Opioid%20Guidelines%20As%20Adopted%20April%202017_FINAL.pdf). Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 1108 28. Hauk L. Management of Chronic Pain and Opioid Misuse: A Position Paper from the
1109 AAFP. Am Fam Physician. 2017;95:458-459.
- 1110 29. ICSI Patient Advisory Council. Pain: Assessment, Non-Opioid Treatment Approaches
1111 and Opioid Management Guideline (2016). Available at:
1112 https://www.icsi.org/_asset/ypv5rn/2016painsealevidence.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- 1113 30. Intermountain Healthcare. Clinical Guideline: Acute Pain Opioid Prescribing Guidelines.
1114 Available at:
1115 https://intermountainphysician.org/Documents/AcutePainOpioidPrescribing_FINAL.pdf.
1116 Accessed October 1, 2017.
- 1117 31. Massachusetts Medical Society. Opioid Therapy and Physician Communication
1118 Guidelines. Available at: <http://www.massmed.org/opioid-guidelines/#.W43RqH4nbiw>.
1119 Accessed October 1, 2017.

- 1120 32. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Neuraxial Opioids. Practice
1121 guidelines for the prevention, detection, and management of respiratory depression associated
1122 with neuraxial opioid administration. *Anesthesiology*. 2009;110:218-230.
- 1123 33. Oregon Pain Guidance Group. Oregon Acute Pain Flow Sheet for the Evaluation and
1124 Treatment of Acute Pain. Available at:
1125 [https://www.oregonpainguidance.org/app/content/uploads/2016/05/Acute-and-Chronic-Pain-](https://www.oregonpainguidance.org/app/content/uploads/2016/05/Acute-and-Chronic-Pain-flow-sheets.pdf)
1126 [flow-sheets.pdf](https://www.oregonpainguidance.org/app/content/uploads/2016/05/Acute-and-Chronic-Pain-flow-sheets.pdf). Accessed August 22, 2018.
- 1127 34. Pennsylvania Orthopaedic Society. Opioid Recommendations for Acute Pain. Available
1128 at:
1129 [https://www.paorthosociety.org/resources/Documents/POS%20Opioid%20Statement%20and%20](https://www.paorthosociety.org/resources/Documents/POS%20Opioid%20Statement%20and%20Recommendations%20Final.pdf)
1130 [Recommendations%20Final.pdf](https://www.paorthosociety.org/resources/Documents/POS%20Opioid%20Statement%20and%20Recommendations%20Final.pdf). Accessed August 28, 2018.
- 1131 35. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. SAMHSA Opioid
1132 Overdose Prevention Toolkit. Available at: [https://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content//SMA16-](https://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content//SMA16-4742/SMA16-4742.pdf)
1133 [4742/SMA16-4742.pdf](https://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content//SMA16-4742/SMA16-4742.pdf). Accessed October 1, 2017.
- 1134 36. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Pain Management Opioid Safety Educational
1135 Guide. Available at:
1136 https://www.va.gov/PAINMANAGEMENT/docs/OSI_1_Toolkit_Pain_Educational_Guide.pdf.
1137 Accessed October 1, 2017.
- 1138 37. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Pain Management Opioid Taper Decision Tool: A
1139 VA Clinician's Guide. Available at:
1140 [https://www.pbm.va.gov/AcademicDetailingService/Documents/Pain_Opioid_Taper_Tool_IB_1](https://www.pbm.va.gov/AcademicDetailingService/Documents/Pain_Opioid_Taper_Tool_IB_10_939_P96820.pdf)
1141 [0_939_P96820.pdf](https://www.pbm.va.gov/AcademicDetailingService/Documents/Pain_Opioid_Taper_Tool_IB_10_939_P96820.pdf). Accessed October 1, 2017.

- 1142 38. Washington State Agency Medical Directors' Group. Interagency Guideline on
1143 Prescribing Opioids for Pain. Available at:
1144 <http://www.agencymeddirectors.wa.gov/Files/2015AMDGOpioidGuideline.pdf>. Accessed
1145 October 1, 2017.
- 1146 39. World Health Organization. Scoping Document for WHO Guidelines for the
1147 pharmacological treatment of persisting pain in adults with medical illnesses. Available at:
1148 http://www.who.int/medicines/areas/quality_safety/Scoping_WHO_GLS_PersistPainAdults_web
1149 [version.pdf](#). Accessed October 1, 2017.
- 1150 40. Menendez ME, Ring D. Factors Associated with Greater Pain Intensity. *Hand Clin.*
1151 2016;32:27-31.
- 1152 41. Golkari S, Teunis T, Ring D, et al. Changes in Depression, Health Anxiety, and Pain
1153 Catastrophizing Between Enrollment and 1 Month After a Radius Fracture. *Psychosomatics.*
1154 2015;56:652-657.
- 1155 42. Sullivan MJ, Thorn B, Haythornthwaite JA, et al. Theoretical perspectives on the relation
1156 between catastrophizing and pain. *Clin J Pain.* 2001;17:52-64.
- 1157 43. Archer KR, Castillo RC, Wegener ST, et al. Pain and satisfaction in hospitalized trauma
1158 patients: the importance of self-efficacy and psychological distress. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.*
1159 2012;72:1068-1077.
- 1160 44. Bot AG, Bekkers S, Arnstein PM, et al. Opioid use after fracture surgery correlates with
1161 pain intensity and satisfaction with pain relief. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2014;472:2542-2549.
- 1162 45. Nota SP, Spit SA, Voskuyl T, et al. Opioid Use, Satisfaction, and Pain Intensity After
1163 Orthopedic Surgery. *Psychosomatics.* 2015;56:479-485.

- 1164 46. Bot AG, Bekkers S, Herndon JH, et al. Determinants of disability after proximal
1165 interphalangeal joint sprain or dislocation. *Psychosomatics*. 2014;55:595-601.
- 1166 47. Briet JP, Houwert RM, Hageman M, et al. Factors associated with pain intensity and
1167 physical limitations after lateral ankle sprains. *Injury*. 2016;47:2565-2569.
- 1168 48. Das De S, Vranceanu AM, Ring DC. Contribution of kinesiophobia and catastrophic
1169 thinking to upper-extremity-specific disability. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2013;95:76-81.
- 1170 49. Farzad M, Asgari A, Dashab F, et al. Does Disability Correlate With Impairment After
1171 Hand Injury? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2015;473:3470-3476.
- 1172 50. Finger A, Teunis T, Hageman MG, et al. Association Between Opioid Intake and
1173 Disability After Surgical Management of Ankle Fractures. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*.
1174 2017;25:519-526.
- 1175 51. Kadzielski JJ, Bot AG, Ring D. The influence of job satisfaction, burnout, pain, and
1176 worker's compensation status on disability after finger injuries. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2012;37:1812-
1177 1819.
- 1178 52. Teunis T, Bot AG, Thornton ER, et al. Catastrophic Thinking Is Associated With Finger
1179 Stiffness After Distal Radius Fracture Surgery. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2015;29:e414-420.
- 1180 53. Vranceanu A, Bachoura A, Weening A, et al. Psychological factors predict disability and
1181 pain intensity after skeletal trauma. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2014;96:e20.
- 1182 54. Carragee EJ, Vittum D, Truong TP, et al. Pain control and cultural norms and
1183 expectations after closed femoral shaft fractures. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)*. 1999;28:97-102.
- 1184 55. Helmerhorst GT, Lindenhovius AL, Vrahas M, et al. Satisfaction with pain relief after
1185 operative treatment of an ankle fracture. *Injury*. 2012;43:1958-1961.

- 1186 56. Helmerhorst GT, Vranceanu AM, Vrahas M, et al. Risk factors for continued opioid use
1187 one to two months after surgery for musculoskeletal trauma. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*.
1188 2014;96:495-499.
- 1189 57. Lindenhovius AL, Helmerhorst GT, Schnellen AC, et al. Differences in prescription of
1190 narcotic pain medication after operative treatment of hip and ankle fractures in the United States
1191 and The Netherlands. *J Trauma*. 2009;67:160-164.
- 1192 58. Archer KR, Abraham CM, Obremskey WT. Psychosocial Factors Predict Pain and
1193 Physical Health After Lower Extremity Trauma. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2015;473:3519-3526.
- 1194 59. Archer KR, Abraham CM, Song Y, et al. Cognitive-behavioral determinants of pain and
1195 disability two years after traumatic injury: A cross-sectional survey study. *J Trauma Acute Care*
1196 *Surg*. 2012;72:473-479.
- 1197 60. Archer KR, Heins SE, Abraham CM, et al. Clinical Significance of Pain at Hospital
1198 Discharge Following Traumatic Orthopedic Injury: General Health, Depression, and PTSD
1199 Outcomes at 1 Year. *Clin J Pain*. 2016;32:196-202.
- 1200 61. Castillo R, MacKenzie E, Wegener S, et al. Prevalence of Chronic Pain Seven Years
1201 Following Limb Threatening Lower Extremity Trauma. *Pain*. 2006;124:321-329.
- 1202 62. Castillo R, Wegener S, Heins S, et al. Longitudinal relationships between anxiety,
1203 depression, and pain: results from a two-year cohort study of lower extremity trauma patients.
1204 *Pain*. 2013;154:2860-2866.
- 1205 63. Clay FJ, Watson WL, Newstead SV, et al. A systematic review of early prognostic
1206 factors for persisting pain following acute orthopedic trauma. *Pain Res Manag*. 2012;17:35-44.
- 1207 64. Crichlow RJ, Andres PL, Morrison SM, et al. Depression in orthopaedic trauma patients.
1208 Prevalence and severity. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2006;88:1927-1933.

- 1209 65. Edwards RR, Dworkin RH, Sullivan MD, et al. The Role of Psychosocial Processes in
1210 the Development and Maintenance of Chronic Pain. *J Pain*. 2016;17:T70-92.
- 1211 66. Gopinath B, Jagnoor J, Nicholas M, et al. Presence and predictors of persistent pain
1212 among persons who sustained an injury in a road traffic crash. *Eur J Pain*. 2015;19:1111-1118.
- 1213 67. Hanley MA, Jensen MP, Ehde DM, et al. Psychosocial predictors of long-term
1214 adjustment to lower-limb amputation and phantom limb pain. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2004;26:882-893.
- 1215 68. McCarthy ML, MacKenzie EJ, Edwin D, et al. Psychological distress associated with
1216 severe lower-limb injury. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2003;85-a:1689-1697.
- 1217 69. Nota SP, Bot AG, Ring D, et al. Disability and depression after orthopaedic trauma.
1218 *Injury*. 2015;46:207-212.
- 1219 70. Ponsford J, Hill B, Karamitsios M, et al. Factors influencing outcome after orthopedic
1220 trauma. *J Trauma*. 2008;64:1001-1009.
- 1221 71. Schweininger S, Forbes D, Creamer M, et al. The temporal relationship between mental
1222 health and disability after injury. *Depress Anxiety*. 2015;32:64-71.
- 1223 72. Soberg HL, Bautz-Holter E, Roise O, et al. Mental health and posttraumatic stress
1224 symptoms 2 years after severe multiple trauma: self-reported disability and psychosocial
1225 functioning. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2010;91:481-488.
- 1226 73. van Leeuwen WF, van der Vliet QM, Janssen SJ, et al. Does perceived injustice correlate
1227 with pain intensity and disability in orthopaedic trauma patients? *Injury*. 2016;47:1212-1216.
- 1228 74. Warren AM, Foreman ML, Bennett MM, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder following
1229 traumatic injury at 6 months: associations with alcohol use and depression. *J Trauma Acute Care*
1230 *Surg*. 2014;76:517-522.

- 1231 75. Wegener S, Castillo R, Haythornthwaite J, et al. Psychological Distress Mediates the
1232 Effect of Pain on Function. *Pain*. 2011;152:1349-1357.
- 1233 76. Williams AE, Newman JT, Ozer K, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder and depression
1234 negatively impact general health status after hand injury. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2009;34:515-522.
- 1235 77. Zatzick DF, Jurkovich GJ, Fan MY, et al. Association between posttraumatic stress and
1236 depressive symptoms and functional outcomes in adolescents followed up longitudinally after
1237 injury hospitalization. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2008;162:642-648.
- 1238 78. Merskey H, Bogduk N. *Classification of Chronic Pain: Descriptions of Chronic Pain
1239 Syndromes and Definitions of Pain Terms*. Washington, DC: IASP Press; 1994.
- 1240 79. Andersson AL, Dahlback LO, Bunketorp O. Psychosocial aspects of road traffic trauma--
1241 benefits of an early intervention? *Injury*. 2005;36:917-926.
- 1242 80. Berube M, Choiniere M, Laflamme YG, et al. Acute to chronic pain transition in
1243 extremity trauma: A narrative review for future preventive interventions (part 1). *Int J Orthop
1244 Trauma Nurs*. 2016;23:47-59.
- 1245 81. Berube M, Choiniere M, Laflamme YG, et al. Acute to chronic pain transition in
1246 extremity trauma: A narrative review for future preventive interventions (part 2). *Int J Orthop
1247 Trauma Nurs*. 2017;24:59-67.
- 1248 82. Berube M, Gelinas C, Martorella G, et al. A Hybrid Web-Based and In-Person Self-
1249 Management Intervention to Prevent Acute to Chronic Pain Transition After Major Lower
1250 Extremity Trauma (iPACT-E-Trauma): Protocol for a Pilot Single-Blind Randomized Controlled
1251 Trial. *JMIR Res Protoc*. 2017;6:e125.

- 1252 83. Bisson JJ, Shepherd JP, Joy D, et al. Early cognitive-behavioural therapy for post-
1253 traumatic stress symptoms after physical injury. Randomised controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry*.
1254 2004;184:63-69.
- 1255 84. Campbell L, Kenardy J, Andersen T, et al. Trauma-focused cognitive behaviour therapy
1256 and exercise for chronic whiplash: protocol of a randomised, controlled trial. *J Physiother*.
1257 2015;61:218.
- 1258 85. Castillo RC, Raja SN, Frey KP, et al. Improving Pain Management and Long-Term
1259 Outcomes Following High-Energy Orthopaedic Trauma (Pain Study). *J Orthop Trauma*. 2017;31
1260 Suppl 1:S71-s77.
- 1261 86. Chad-Friedman E, Talaei-Khoei M, Ring D, et al. First Use of a Brief 60-second
1262 Mindfulness Exercise in an Orthopedic Surgical Practice; Results from a Pilot Study. *Arch Bone
1263 Jt Surg*. 2017;5:400-405.
- 1264 87. De Silva M, Maclachlan M, Devane D, et al. Psychosocial interventions for the
1265 prevention of disability following traumatic physical injury. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*.
1266 2009;10.1002/14651858.CD006422.pub3:CD006422.
- 1267 88. Goudie S, Dixon D, McMillan G, et al. Is Use of a Psychological Workbook Associated
1268 With Improved Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand Scores in Patients With Distal
1269 Radius Fracture? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2018;476:832-845.
- 1270 89. Holmes A, Hodgins G, Adey S, et al. Trial of interpersonal counselling after major
1271 physical trauma. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2007;41:926-933.
- 1272 90. Pirente N, Blum C, Wortberg S, et al. Quality of life after multiple trauma: the effect of
1273 early onset psychotherapy on quality of life in trauma patients. *Langenbecks Arch Surg*.
1274 2007;392:739-745.

- 1275 91. Turpin G, Downs M, Mason S. Effectiveness of providing self-help information
1276 following acute traumatic injury: randomised controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2005;187:76-82.
- 1277 92. Vranceanu A, Hageman M, Strooker J, et al. A preliminary RCT of a mind body skills
1278 based intervention addressing mood and coping strategies in patients with acute orthopaedic
1279 trauma. *Injury*. 2015;46:552-557.
- 1280 93. Zatzick D, Roy-Byrne P, Russo J, et al. A randomized effectiveness trial of stepped
1281 collaborative care for acutely injured trauma survivors. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2004;61:498-506.
- 1282 94. Zatzick DF, Roy-Byrne P, Russo JE, et al. Collaborative interventions for physically
1283 injured trauma survivors: a pilot randomized effectiveness trial. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*.
1284 2001;23:114-123.
- 1285 95. Ong AD, Zautra AJ, Reid MC. Psychological resilience predicts decreases in pain
1286 catastrophizing through positive emotions. *Psychol Aging*. 2010;25:516-523.
- 1287 96. Walsh MV, Armstrong TW, Poritz J, et al. Resilience, Pain Interference, and Upper Limb
1288 Loss: Testing the Mediating Effects of Positive Emotion and Activity Restriction on Distress.
1289 *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2016;97:781-787.
- 1290 97. Eccleston C, Fisher E, Craig L, et al. Psychological therapies (Internet-delivered) for the
1291 management of chronic pain in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*.
1292 2014;10.1002/14651858.CD010152.pub2:Cd010152.
- 1293 98. Macea DD, Gajos K, Daglia Calil YA, et al. The efficacy of Web-based cognitive
1294 behavioral interventions for chronic pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pain*.
1295 2010;11:917-929.

- 1296 99. Palermo TM, Eccleston C, Lewandowski AS, et al. Randomized controlled trials of
1297 psychological therapies for management of chronic pain in children and adolescents: an updated
1298 meta-analytic review. *Pain*. 2010;148:387-397.
- 1299 100. Bradt J, Dileo C, Potvin N. Music for stress and anxiety reduction in coronary heart
1300 disease patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*.
1301 2013;10.1002/14651858.CD006577.pub3:Cd006577.
- 1302 101. Lee YL, Wu Y, Tsang HW, et al. A systematic review on the anxiolytic effects of
1303 aromatherapy in people with anxiety symptoms. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2011;17:101-108.
- 1304 102. Lakhan SE, Sheafer H, Tepper D. The Effectiveness of Aromatherapy in Reducing Pain:
1305 A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pain Res Treat*. 2016;2016:8158693.
- 1306 103. Chou R, Gordon DB, de Leon-Casasola OA, et al. Management of Postoperative Pain: A
1307 Clinical Practice Guideline From the American Pain Society, the American Society of Regional
1308 Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists' Committee on
1309 Regional Anesthesia, Executive Committee, and Administrative Council. *J Pain*. 2016;17:131-
1310 157.
- 1311 104. Bjordal J, Johnson M, Ljunggreen A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS)
1312 can reduce postoperative analgesic consumption. A meta-analysis with assessment of optimal
1313 treatment parameters for postoperative pain. *Eur J Pain*. 2003;7:181-188.
- 1314 105. Tedesco D, Gori D, Desai KR, et al. Drug-Free Interventions to Reduce Pain or Opioid
1315 Consumption After Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA*
1316 *Surg*. 2017;152:e172872.

- 1317 106. Rakel BA, Zimmerman MB, Geasland K, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve
1318 stimulation for the control of pain during rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty: A
1319 randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Pain*. 2014;155:2599-2611.
- 1320 107. Mahure SA, Rokito AS, Kwon YW. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for
1321 postoperative pain relief after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: a prospective double-blinded
1322 randomized trial. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2017;26:1508-1513.
- 1323 108. Hamza MA, White PF, Ahmed HE, et al. Effect of the frequency of transcutaneous
1324 electrical nerve stimulation on the postoperative opioid analgesic requirement and recovery
1325 profile. *Anesthesiology*. 1999;91:1232-1238.
- 1326 109. Benedetti F, Amanzio M, Casadio C, et al. Control of postoperative pain by
1327 transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after thoracic operations. *Ann Thorac Surg*.
1328 1997;63:773-776.
- 1329 110. Zeng C, Li H, Yang T, et al. Electrical stimulation for pain relief in knee osteoarthritis:
1330 systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2015;23:189-202.
- 1331 111. Deal D, Tipton J, Rosencrance E, et al. Ice reduces edema: A study of microvascular
1332 permeability in rats. *J Bone Joint Surg*. 2002;84-A:1573-1578.
- 1333 112. Schaser KD, Stover JF, Melcher I, et al. Local cooling restores microcirculatory
1334 hemodynamics after closed soft-tissue trauma in rats. *J Trauma*. 2006;61:642-649.
- 1335 113. Kenjo T, Kikuchi S, Konno S. Cooling decreases fos-Immunoreactivity in the rat after
1336 formalin injection. *Clin Orthop Rel Res*. 2002;394:271-277.
- 1337 114. Schaser KD, Disch AC, Stover JF, et al. Prolonged superficial local cryotherapy
1338 attenuates microcirculatory impairment, regional inflammation, and muscle necrosis after closed
1339 soft tissue injury in rats. *Am J Sports Med*. 2007;35:93-102.

- 1340 115. Scumpia PO, Sarcia PJ, Kelly KM, et al. Hypothermia induces anti-inflammatory
1341 cytokines and inhibits nitric oxide and myeloperoxidase-mediated damage in the hearts of
1342 endotoxemic rats. *Chest*. 2004;125:1483-1491.
- 1343 116. Stalman A, Berglund L, Dungec E, et al. Temperature-sensitive release of
1344 prostaglandin E(2) and diminished energy requirements in synovial tissue with postoperative
1345 cryotherapy: a prospective randomized study after knee arthroscopy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*.
1346 2011;93:1961-1968.
- 1347 117. Ho S, Coel M, Kagawa R, et al. The effects of ice on blood flow and bone metabolism in
1348 knees. *Am J Sports Med*. 1994;22:537-540.
- 1349 118. Ho S, Illgen R, Meyer R, et al. Comparison of various icing times in decreasing bone
1350 metabolism and blood flow in the knee. *Am J Sports Med*. 1995;23:74-76.
- 1351 119. Knobloch K, Grasmann R, Jagodzinski M, et al. Changes of Achilles midportion tendon
1352 microcirculation after repetitive simultaneous cryotherapy and compression using a Cryo/Cuff.
1353 *Am J Sports Med*. 2006;34:1953-1959.
- 1354 120. White GE, Wells GD. Cold-water immersion and other forms of cryotherapy:
1355 physiological changes potentially affecting recovery from high-intensity exercise. *Extrem*
1356 *Physiol Med*. 2013;2:26.
- 1357 121. Algafly A, George K. The effect of cryotherapy on nerve conduction velocity, pain
1358 threshold and pain tolerance. *Br J Sports Med*. 2007;41:365-369.
- 1359 122. Adie S, Kwan A, Naylor J, et al. Cryotherapy following total knee replacement. *Cochrane*
1360 *Database Syst Rev*. 2012;10.1002/14651858.CD007911.pub2:CD007911.

- 1361 123. Brandsson S, Rydgren B, Hedner T, et al. Postoperative analgesic effects of an external
1362 cooling system and intra-articular bupivacaine/morphine after arthroscopic cruciate ligament
1363 surgery. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 1996;4:200-205.
- 1364 124. Kuyucu E, Bulbul M, Kara A, et al. Is cold therapy really efficient after knee
1365 arthroplasty? *Ann Med Surg (Lond).* 2015;4:475-478.
- 1366 125. Levy A, Marmar E. The Role of Cold Compression Dressings in the Postoperative
1367 Treatment of Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Rel Res.* 1993;297:174-178.
- 1368 126. Morsi E. Continuous-flow cold therapy after total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.*
1369 2002;17:718-722.
- 1370 127. Ohkoshi Y, Ohkoshi M, Nagasaki S, et al. The effect of cryotherapy on intraarticular
1371 temperature and postoperative care after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports*
1372 *Med.* 1999;27:357-362.
- 1373 128. Raynor MC, Pietrobon R, Guller U, et al. Cryotherapy after ACL reconstruction: a meta-
1374 analysis. *J Knee Surg.* 2005;18:123-129.
- 1375 129. Saito N, Horiuchi H, Kobayashi S, et al. Continuous Local Cooling for Pain Relief
1376 Following Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2004;19:334-337.
- 1377 130. Singh H, Osbahr D, Holovacs T, et al. The efficacy of continuous cryotherapy on the
1378 postoperative shoulder: A prospective, randomized investigation. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.*
1379 2001;10:522-525.
- 1380 131. Speer K, Warren R, Horowitz L. The efficacy of cryotherapy in the postoperative
1381 shoulder. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 1996;5:62-68.
- 1382 132. Webb JM, Williams D, Ivory JP, et al. The use of cold compression dressings after total
1383 knee replacement: a randomized controlled trial. *Orthopedics.* 1998;21:59-61.

- 1384 133. Barber F, McGuire D, Click S. Continuous-flow cold therapy for outpatient anterior
1385 cruciate ligament reconstruction *Arthroscopy*. 1998;14:130-135.
- 1386 134. Daniel DM, Stone ML, Arendt DL. The effect of cold therapy on pain, swelling, and
1387 range of motion after anterior cruciate ligament reconstructive surgery. *Arthroscopy*.
1388 1994;10:530-533.
- 1389 135. Gibbons C, Solan M, Ricketts D. Cryotherapy compared with Robert Jones bandage after
1390 total knee replacement: A prospective randomized trial. *Int Orthop*. 2001;25:250-252.
- 1391 136. Kullenberg B, Ylipaa S, Soderlund K, et al. Postoperative Cryotherapy After Total Knee
1392 Arthroplasty: A Prospective Study of 86 Patients. *J Arthroplasty*. 2006;21:1175-1179.
- 1393 137. Wittig-Wells D, Johnson I, Samms-McPherson J, et al. Does the use of a brief
1394 cryotherapy intervention with analgesic administration improve pain management after total
1395 knee arthroplasty? *Orthop Nurs*. 2015;34:148-153.
- 1396 138. Holmstrom A, Hardin BC. Cryo/Cuff compared to epidural anesthesia after knee
1397 unicompartamental arthroplasty: a prospective, randomized and controlled study of 60 patients
1398 with a 6-week follow-up. *J Arthroplasty*. 2005;20:316-321.
- 1399 139. Dervin GF, Taylor DE, Keene GC. Effects of cold and compression dressings on early
1400 postoperative outcomes for the arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction patient. *J*
1401 *Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 1998;27:403-406.
- 1402 140. Edwards DJ, Rimmer M, Keene GC. The use of cold therapy in the postoperative
1403 management of patients undergoing arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J*
1404 *Sports Med*. 1996;24:193-195.

- 1405 141. Walker RH, Morris BA, Angulo DL, et al. Postoperative use of continuous passive
1406 motion, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, and continuous cooling pad following total
1407 knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 1991;6:151-156.
- 1408 142. Bech M, Moorhen J, Cho M, et al. Device or ice: the effect of consistent cooling using a
1409 device compared with intermittent cooling using an ice bag after total knee arthroplasty.
1410 *Physiother Can*. 2015;67:48-55.
- 1411 143. Demoulin C, Brouwers M, Darot S, et al. Comparison of gaseous cryotherapy with more
1412 traditional forms of cryotherapy following total knee arthroplasty. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med*.
1413 2012;55:229-240.
- 1414 144. Desteli EE, Imren Y, Aydin N. Effect of both preoperative and postoperative cryochemical
1415 treatment on hemostasis and postoperative pain following total knee arthroplasty. *Int J Clin Exp*
1416 *Med*. 2015;8:19150-19155.
- 1417 145. Kraeutler MJ, Reynolds KA, Long C, et al. Compressive cryotherapy versus ice-a
1418 prospective, randomized study on postoperative pain in patients undergoing arthroscopic rotator
1419 cuff repair or subacromial decompression. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2015;24:854-859.
- 1420 146. Ruffilli A, Castagnini F, Traina F, et al. Temperature-Controlled Continuous Cold Flow
1421 Device after Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Randomized Controlled Trial Study. *J Knee Surg*.
1422 2017;30:675-681.
- 1423 147. Smith J, Stevens J, Taylor M, et al. A randomized, controlled trial comparing
1424 compression bandaging and cold therapy in postoperative total knee replacement surgery. *Orthop*
1425 *Nurs*. 2002;21:61-66.

- 1426 148. Su E, Perna M, Boettner F, et al. A prospective, multi-center, randomized trial to evaluate
1427 the efficacy of a cryopneumatic device on total knee arthroplasty recovery J Bone Joint Surg Br.
1428 2012;94-B:153-156.
- 1429 149. Thienpont E. Does advanced cryotherapy reduce pain and narcotic consumption after
1430 knee arthroplasty? . Clin Orthop Rel Res. 2014;472:3417-3423.
- 1431 150. Whitelaw GP, DeMuth KA, Demos HA, et al. The use of the Cryo/Cuff versus ice and
1432 elastic wrap in the postoperative care of knee arthroscopy patients. Am J Knee Surg. 1995;8:28-
1433 30; discussion 30-21.
- 1434 151. Barber FA. A comparison of crushed ice and continuous flow cold therapy. Am J Knee
1435 Surg. 2000;13:97-101; discussion 102.
- 1436 152. Meyer-Marcotty M, Jungling O, Vaske B, et al. Standardized combined cryotherapy and
1437 compression using Cryo/Cuff after wrist arthroscopy. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.
1438 2011;19:314-319.
- 1439 153. Schinsky M, McCune C, Bonomi J. Multifaceted Comparison of Two Cryotherapy
1440 Devices Used After Total Knee Arthroplasty: Cryotherapy Device Comparison. Orthop Nurs.
1441 2016;35:309-316.
- 1442 154. Schroder D, Passler H. Combination of cold and compression after knee surgery A
1443 prospective randomized study Knee Surg, Sports Traumatol, Arthroscopy. 1994;2:158-165.
- 1444 155. Song M, Sun X, Tian X, et al. Compressive cryotherapy versus cryotherapy alone in
1445 patients undergoing knee surgery: a meta-analysis. Springerplus. 2016;5:1074.
- 1446 156. Cohn B, Draeger R, Jackson D. The effects of cold therapy in the postoperative
1447 management of pain in patients undergoing anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J
1448 Sports Med. 1989;17:344-349.

- 1449 157. Healy W, Seidman J, Pfeifer B, et al. Cold compressive dressing after total knee
1450 arthroplasty *Clin Orthop Rel Res.* 1994;299:143-146.
- 1451 158. Konrath GA, Lock T, Goitz HT, et al. The use of cold therapy after anterior cruciate
1452 ligament reconstruction. A prospective, randomized study and literature review. *Am J Sports*
1453 *Med.* 1996;24:629-633.
- 1454 159. Woolf S, Barfield W, Merrill K, et al. Comparison of a continuous temperature-
1455 controlled cryotherapy device to a simple icing regimen following outpatient knee arthroscopy. .
1456 *J Knee Surg.* 2008;21:15-19.
- 1457 160. Bassett F, Kirkpatrick J, Engelhardt D, et al. Cryotherapy-induced nerve injury. *Am J*
1458 *Sports Med.* 1992;20:516-518.
- 1459 161. Moeller J, Monroe J, McKeag D. Cryotherapy-Induced Common Peroneal Nerve Palsy.
1460 *Clin J Sport Med.* 1997;7:212-216.
- 1461 162. Kissin I. Long-term opioid treatment of chronic nonmalignant pain: unproven efficacy
1462 and neglected safety? *J Pain Res.* 2013;6:513.
- 1463 163. Gimbel J, Ahdieh H. The efficacy and safety of oral immediate-release oxymorphone for
1464 postsurgical pain. *Anesth Analg.* 2004;99:1472-1477.
- 1465 164. Iwanicki JL, Severtson SG, McDaniel H, et al. Abuse and diversion of immediate release
1466 opioid analgesics as compared to extended release formulations in the United States. *PLoS One.*
1467 2016;11:e0167499.
- 1468 165. Fishbain DA, Cole B, Lewis J, et al. What percentage of chronic nonmalignant pain
1469 patients exposed to chronic opioid analgesic therapy develop abuse/addiction and/or aberrant
1470 drug-related behaviors? A structured evidence-based review. *Pain Med.* 2008;9:444-459.

- 1471 166. Amabile CM, Bowman BJ. Overview of oral modified-release opioid products for the
1472 management of chronic pain. *Ann Pharmacother.* 2006;40:1327-1335.
- 1473 167. de Beer JdV, Winemaker MJ, Donnelly GA, et al. Efficacy and safety of controlled-
1474 release oxycodone and standard therapies for postoperative pain after knee or hip replacement.
1475 *Can J Surg.* 2005;48:277.
- 1476 168. Aqua K, Gimbel JS, Singla N, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of oxymorphone immediate
1477 release for acute postoperative pain after abdominal surgery: a randomized, double-blind, active-
1478 and placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial. *Clin Ther.* 2007;29:1000-1012.
- 1479 169. Hale ME, Fleischmann R, Salzman R, et al. Efficacy and safety of controlled-release
1480 versus immediate-release oxycodone: randomized, double-blind evaluation in patients with
1481 chronic back pain. *Clin J Pain.* 1999;15:179-183.
- 1482 170. Kaplan R, Parris W, Citron ML, et al. Comparison of controlled-release and immediate-
1483 release oxycodone tablets in patients with cancer pain. *J Clin Oncol.* 1998;16:3230-3237.
- 1484 171. Wen W, Taber L, Lynch S, et al. 12-Month safety and effectiveness of once-daily
1485 hydrocodone tablets formulated with abuse-deterrent properties in patients with moderate to
1486 severe chronic pain. *J Opioid Mgmt.* 2015;11:339-356.
- 1487 172. Singla N, Pong A, Newman K, et al. Combination oxycodone 5 mg/ibuprofen 400 mg for
1488 the treatment of pain after abdominal or pelvic surgery in women: A randomized, double-blind,
1489 placebo- and active-controlled parallel-group study. *Clin Ther.* 2005;27:45-57.
- 1490 173. Devarakonda K, Morton T, Margulis R, et al. Pharmacokinetics and bioavailability of
1491 oxycodone and acetaminophen following single-dose administration of MNK-795, a dual-layer
1492 biphasic IR/ER combination formulation, under fed and fasted conditions. *Drug Des Devel Ther.*
1493 2014;8:1125.

- 1494 174. Wojahn RD, Bogunovic L, Brophy RH, et al. Opioid Consumption After Knee
1495 Arthroscopy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2018;100:1629-1636.
- 1496 175. Rodgers J, Cunningham K, Fitzgerald K, et al. Opioid consumption following outpatient
1497 upper extremity surgery. *J Hand Surg.* 2012;37:645-650.
- 1498 176. Shah A, Hayes CJ, Martin BC. Characteristics of Initial Prescription Episodes and
1499 Likelihood of Long-Term Opioid Use - United States, 2006-2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly*
1500 *Rep.* 2017;66:265-269.
- 1501 177. Mildh LH, Scheinin H, Kirvelä OA. The concentration-effect relationship of the
1502 respiratory depressant effects of alfentanil and fentanyl. *Anesth Analg.* 2001;93:939-946.
- 1503 178. Barrett T, Kostenbader K, Nalamachu S, et al. Safety and Tolerability of Biphasic
1504 Immediate-Release/Extended-Release Oxycodone/Acetaminophen Tablets: Analysis of 11
1505 Clinical Trials. *Pain Pract.* 2016;16:856-868.
- 1506 179. Ferrell B, Wisdom C, Wenzl C, et al. Effects of controlled-released morphine on quality
1507 of life for cancer pain. *Oncol Nurs Forum;* 1989:521-526.
- 1508 180. Jones JP. *United States of America v. The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc., et al.;* In:
1509 *United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia Abingdon Division, ed.*
1510 *1:07CR0029; 2007.*
- 1511 181. Manchikanti L, Manchukonda R, Pampati V, et al. Evaluation of abuse of prescription
1512 and illicit drugs in chronic pain patients receiving short-acting (hydrocodone) or long-acting
1513 (methadone) opioids. *Pain Physician.* 2005;8:257-261.
- 1514 182. Morton T, Kostenbader K, Montgomery J, et al. Comparison of subjective effects of
1515 extended-release versus immediate-release oxycodone/acetaminophen tablets in healthy

- 1516 nondependent recreational users of prescription opioids: a randomized trial. *Postgrad Med.*
1517 2014;126:20-32.
- 1518 183. Park TW, Saitz R, Ganoczy D, et al. Benzodiazepine prescribing patterns and deaths
1519 from drug overdose among US veterans receiving opioid analgesics: case-cohort study. *BMJ.*
1520 2015;350:h2698.
- 1521 184. Wick EC, Grant MC, Wu CL. Postoperative Multimodal Analgesia Pain Management
1522 With Nonopioid Analgesics and Techniques: A Review. *JAMA Surg.* 2017;152:691-697.
- 1523 185. Richman JM, Liu SS, Courpas G, et al. Does continuous peripheral nerve block provide
1524 superior pain control to opioids? A meta-analysis. *Anesth Analg.* 2006;102:248-257.
- 1525 186. Rafiq S, Steinbruchel DA, Wanscher MJ, et al. Multimodal analgesia versus traditional
1526 opiate based analgesia after cardiac surgery, a randomized controlled trial. *J Cardiothorac Surg.*
1527 2014;9:52.
- 1528 187. Rasmussen ML, Mathiesen O, Dierking G, et al. Multimodal analgesia with gabapentin,
1529 ketamine and dexamethasone in combination with paracetamol and ketorolac after hip
1530 arthroplasty: a preliminary study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2010;27:324-330.
- 1531 188. YaDeau JT, Brummett CM, Mayman DJ, et al. Duloxetine and Subacute Pain after Knee
1532 Arthroplasty when Added to a Multimodal Analgesic Regimen: A Randomized, Placebo-
1533 controlled, Triple-blinded Trial. *Anesthesiology.* 2016;125:561-572.
- 1534 189. Chisholm MF, Cheng J, Fields KG, et al. Perineural dexamethasone with subsartorial
1535 saphenous nerve blocks in ACL reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2016:13.
- 1536 190. Duncan CM, Hall Long K, Warner DO, et al. The economic implications of a multimodal
1537 analgesic regimen for patients undergoing major orthopedic surgery: a comparative study of
1538 direct costs. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2009;34:301-307.

- 1539 191. Maiese BA, Pham AT, Shah MV, et al. Hospitalization Costs for Patients Undergoing
1540 Orthopedic Surgery Treated With Intravenous Acetaminophen (IV-APAP) Plus Other IV
1541 Analgesics or IV Opioid Monotherapy for Postoperative Pain. *Adv Ther.* 2017;34:421-435.
- 1542 192. Michelson JD, Addante RA, Charlson MD. Multimodal analgesia therapy reduces length
1543 of hospitalization in patients undergoing fusions of the ankle and hindfoot. *Foot Ankle Int.*
1544 2013;34:1526-1534.
- 1545 193. Fredrickson Fanzca MJ, Danesh-Clough TK, White R. Adjuvant dexamethasone for
1546 bupivacaine sciatic and ankle blocks: results from 2 randomized placebo-controlled trials. *Reg*
1547 *Anesth Pain Med.* 2013;38:300-307.
- 1548 194. Mathiesen O, Dahl B, Thomsen BA, et al. A comprehensive multimodal pain treatment
1549 reduces opioid consumption after multilevel spine surgery. *Eur Spine J.* 2013;22:2089-2096.
- 1550 195. Kim SI, Ha KY, Oh IS. Preemptive multimodal analgesia for postoperative pain
1551 management after lumbar fusion surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur Spine J.*
1552 2016;25:1614-1619.
- 1553 196. Kang H, Ha YC, Kim JY, et al. Effectiveness of multimodal pain management after
1554 bipolar hemiarthroplasty for hip fracture: a randomized, controlled study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.*
1555 2013;95:291-296.
- 1556 197. Koehler D, Marsh JL, Karam M, et al. Efficacy of Surgical-Site, Multimodal Drug
1557 Injection Following Operative Management of Femoral Fractures: A Randomized Controlled
1558 Trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2017;99:512-519.
- 1559 198. Lee SK, Lee JW, Choy WS. Is multimodal analgesia as effective as postoperative patient-
1560 controlled analgesia following upper extremity surgery? *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.*
1561 2013;99:895-901.

- 1562 199. Zare MA, Ghalyaie AH, Fathi M, et al. Oral oxycodone plus intravenous acetaminophen
1563 versus intravenous morphine sulfate in acute bone fracture pain control: a double-blind placebo-
1564 controlled randomized clinical trial. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol.* 2014;24:1305-1309.
- 1565 200. Grumbine N, Dobrowolski C, Bernstein A. Retrospective evaluation of postoperative
1566 intralesional steroid injections on wound healing. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* 1998;37:135-144.
- 1567 201. De Oliveira GS, Almeida MD, Benzon HT, et al. Perioperative Single Dose Systemic
1568 Dexamethasone for Postoperative Pain A Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials.
1569 *Anesthesiology.* 2011;115:575-588.
- 1570 202. Vargas III JH, Ross DG. Corticosteroids and anterior cruciate ligament repair. *Am J*
1571 *Sports Med.* 1989;17:532-534.
- 1572 203. Aasboe V, Raeder JC, Groegaard B. Betamethasone reduces postoperative pain and
1573 nausea after ambulatory surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 1998;87:319-323.
- 1574 204. Glasser RS, Knego RS, Delashaw JB, et al. The perioperative use of corticosteroids and
1575 bupivacaine in the management of lumbar disc disease. *J Neurosurg.* 1993;78:383-387.
- 1576 205. Karst M, Kegel T, Lukas A, et al. Effect of celecoxib and dexamethasone on
1577 postoperative pain after lumbar disc surgery. *Neurosurgery.* 2003;53:331-337.
- 1578 206. Waldron N, Jones C, Gan T, et al. Impact of perioperative dexamethasone on
1579 postoperative analgesia and side-effects: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth.*
1580 2012;110:191-200.
- 1581 207. Curda G. Postoperative analgesic effects of dexamethasone sodium phosphate in bunion
1582 surgery. *J Foot Surg.* 1983;22:187-191.
- 1583 208. Coluzzi F, Mattia C, Savoia G, et al. Postoperative pain surveys in Italy from 2006 and
1584 2012: (POPSI and POPSI-2). *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2015;19:4261-4269.

- 1585 209. van Boekel LC, Brouwers EP, van Weeghel J, et al. Stigma among health professionals
1586 towards patients with substance use disorders and its consequences for healthcare delivery:
1587 systematic review. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2013;131:23-35.
- 1588 210. McCaffery M, Grimm MA, Pasero C, et al. On the meaning of "drug seeking.". *Pain*
1589 *Manag Nurs.* 2005;6:122-136.
- 1590 211. Compton P, Charuvastra C, Kintaudi K, et al. Pain responses in methadone-maintained
1591 opioid abusers. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2000;20:237-245.
- 1592 212. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Results from the 2010
1593 national survey on drug use and health: Summary of national findings. NSDUH Series H-
1594 41.2011. US Department of Health and Human Services. Rockville, MD.
- 1595 213. Holman JE, Stoddard GJ, Higgins TF. Rates of prescription opiate use before and after
1596 injury in patients with orthopaedic trauma and the risk factors for prolonged opiate use. *J Bone*
1597 *Joint Surg Am.* 2013;95:1075-1080.
- 1598 214. Morris BJ, Zumsteg JW, Archer KR, et al. Narcotic Use and Postoperative Doctor
1599 Shopping in the Orthopaedic Trauma Population. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2014;96:1257-1262.
- 1600 215. Coluzzi F, Bifulco F, Cuomo A, et al. The challenge of perioperative pain management
1601 in opioid-tolerant patients. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2017;13:1163-1173.
- 1602 216. Kantor TG, Cantor R, Tom E. A study of hospitalized surgical patients on methadone
1603 maintenance. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 1980;6:163-173.
- 1604 217. Mao J. Opioid-induced abnormal pain sensitivity: Implications in clinical opioid therapy.
1605 *Pain.* 2002;100:213-217.
- 1606 218. Chapman RC, Donaldson G, Davis J, et al. Postoperative pain patterns in chronic pain
1607 patients: A pilot study. *Pain Med.* 2009;10:481-487.

- 1608 219. Roeckel LA, Le Coz GM, Gaveriauz-Ruff C, et al. Opioid-induced hyperalgesia: cellular
1609 and molecular mechanisms. *Neuroscience*. 2016;338:160-182.
- 1610 220. Richebe P, Beaulieu P. Perioperative pain management in the patient treated with
1611 opioids: Continuing professional development. *Can J Anesthesiol*. 2009;56:969-981.
- 1612 221. Frolich MA, Giannotti A, Modell JH. Opioid overdose in a patient using a fentanyl patch
1613 during treatment with a warming blanket. *Anesthesia* 2001;93:647-648.
- 1614 222. McCance-Katz EF, Sullivan LE, Nallani S. Drug interactions of clinical importance
1615 among the opioids, methadone and buprenorphine and other frequently prescribed medications:
1616 A review. *American Journal of Addiction*. 2010;19:4-16.
- 1617 223. J.W. C, Arnold RM. Treatment of pain in patients taking Buprenorphine for opioid
1618 addiction #221. 2012;15:613-614.
- 1619 224. Gervitz C, Frost E, Bryson E. Perioperative implications of Buprenorphine maintenance
1620 treatment for opioid addiction. *Anesthesia Clinics*. 2011;49:147-155.
- 1621 225. Vadivelu N MS, Kaye AD, Urman RD. Perioperative analgesia and challenges in the
1622 drug- addicted and drug-dependent patient. *Best Practices and Research in Clinical*
1623 *Anaesthesiology*. 2014;28:91-101.
- 1624 226. Dean RL, Todtenkopf MS, Deaver DR, et al. Overriding the blockade of antinociceptive
1625 actions of opioids in rats treated with extended release naltrexone. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*.
1626 2008;89:515-522.
- 1627 227. Borgeat A, Ofner C, Saporito A, et al. The effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
1628 on bone healing in humans: A qualitative, systematic review. *J Clin Anesth*. 2018;49:92-100.
- 1629 228. Dodwell ER, Latorre JG, Parisini E, et al. NSAID exposure and risk of nonunion: a meta-
1630 analysis of case-control and cohort studies. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 2010;87:193-202.

- 1631 229. Kurmis AP, Kurmis TP, O'Brien JX, et al. The effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory
1632 drug administration on acute phase fracture-healing: a review. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.*
1633 2012;94:815-823.
- 1634 230. Geusens P, Emans PJ, de Jong JJ, et al. NSAIDs and fracture healing. *Curr Opin*
1635 *Rheumatol.* 2013;25:524-531.
- 1636 231. Dahners LE, Mullis BH. Effects of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on bone
1637 formation and soft-tissue healing. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2004;12:139-143.
- 1638 232. Murnaghan M, Li G, Marsh DR. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-induced fracture
1639 nonunion: an inhibition of angiogenesis? *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006;88 Suppl 3:140-147.
- 1640 233. Brown KM, Saunders MM, Kirsch T, et al. Effect of COX-2-specific inhibition on
1641 fracture-healing in the rat femur. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2004;86-a:116-123.
- 1642 234. Giannoudis PV, MacDonald DA, Matthews SJ, et al. Nonunion of the femoral diaphysis.
1643 The influence of reaming and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.*
1644 2000;82:655-658.
- 1645 235. Bhattacharyya T, Levin R, Vrahas MS, et al. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and
1646 nonunion of humeral shaft fractures. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2005;53:364-367.
- 1647 236. Jeffcoach DR, Sams VG, Lawson CM, et al. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs'
1648 impact on nonunion and infection rates in long-bone fractures. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.*
1649 2014;76:779-783.
- 1650 237. Sagi HC, Jordan CJ, Barei DP, et al. Indomethacin prophylaxis for heterotopic
1651 ossification after acetabular fracture surgery increases the risk for nonunion of the posterior wall.
1652 *J Orthop Trauma.* 2014;28:377-383.

- 1653 238. Marquez-Lara A, Hutchinson ID, Nunez F, Jr., et al. Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory
1654 Drugs and Bone-Healing: A Systematic Review of Research Quality. *JBJS Rev.* 2016;4:doi:
1655 10.2106/JBJS.RVW.O.00055.
- 1656 239. Perez-Castrillon JL, Olmos JM, Gomez JJ, et al. Expression of opioid receptors in
1657 osteoblast-like MG-63 cells, and effects of different opioid agonists on alkaline phosphatase and
1658 osteocalcin secretion by these cells. *Neuroendocrinology.* 2000;72:187-194.
- 1659 240. Chrastil J, Sampson C, Jones KB, et al. Postoperative opioid administration inhibits bone
1660 healing in an animal model. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2013;471:4076-4081.
- 1661 241. Smith HS, Elliott JA. Opioid-induced androgen deficiency (OPIAD). *Pain Physician.*
1662 2012;15:ES145-156.
- 1663 242. Brinker MR, O'Connor DP, Monla YT, et al. Metabolic and endocrine abnormalities in
1664 patients with nonunions. *J Orthop Trauma.* 2007;21:557-570.
- 1665 243. Chrastil J, Sampson C, Jones KB, et al. Evaluating the affect and reversibility of opioid-
1666 induced androgen deficiency in an orthopaedic animal fracture model. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.*
1667 2014;472:1964-1971.
- 1668 244. Poonai N, Bhullar G, Lin K, et al. Oral administration of morphine versus ibuprofen to
1669 manage postfracture pain in children: a randomized trial. *CMAJ.* 2014;186:1358-1363.
- 1670 245. Beaudoin FL, Gutman R, Merchant RC, et al. Persistent pain after motor vehicle
1671 collision: comparative effectiveness of opioids vs nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs
1672 prescribed from the emergency department-a propensity matched analysis. *Pain.* 2017;158:289-
1673 295.
- 1674 246. Pollack CV, Jr., Diercks DB, Thomas SH, et al. Patient-reported Outcomes from A
1675 National, Prospective, Observational Study of Emergency Department Acute Pain Management

- 1676 With an Intranasal Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drug, Opioids, or Both. *Acad Emerg Med.*
1677 2016;23:331-341.
- 1678 247. Ong CK, Seymour RA, Lirk P, et al. Combining paracetamol (acetaminophen) with
1679 nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs: a qualitative systematic review of analgesic efficacy for
1680 acute postoperative pain. *Anesth Analg.* 2010;110:1170-1179.
- 1681 248. Beaudoin FL, Haran JP, Liebmann O. A comparison of ultrasound-guided three-in-one
1682 femoral nerve block versus parenteral opioids alone for analgesia in emergency department
1683 patients with hip fractures: a randomized controlled trial. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2013;20:584-591.
- 1684 249. Beaudoin FL, Nagdev A, Merchant RC, et al. Ultrasound-guided femoral nerve blocks in
1685 elderly patients with hip fractures. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2010;28:76-81.
- 1686 250. Brisbane Orthopaedic & Sports Medicine Centre Writing Committee, McMeniman TJ,
1687 McMeniman PJ, et al. Femoral nerve block vs fascia iliaca block for total knee arthroplasty
1688 postoperative pain control: a prospective, randomized controlled trial. *J Arthroplasty.*
1689 2010;25:1246-1249.
- 1690 251. Fletcher AK, Rigby AS, Heyes FL. Three-in-one femoral nerve block as analgesia for
1691 fractured neck of femur in the emergency department: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Emerg*
1692 *Med.* 2003;41:227-233.
- 1693 252. Foss NB, Kristensen BB, Bundgaard M, et al. Fascia iliaca compartment blockade for
1694 acute pain control in hip fracture patients: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial.
1695 *Anesthesiology.* 2007;106:773-778.
- 1696 253. Godoy-Monzon D, Vazquez J, Jauregui JR, et al. Pain treatment in post-traumatic hip
1697 fracture in the elderly: regional block vs. systemic non-steroidal analgesics. *Int J Emerg Med.*
1698 2010;3:321-325.

- 1699 254. Haddad FS, Williams RL. Femoral nerve block in extracapsular femoral neck fractures. J
1700 Bone Joint Surg Br. 1995;77:922-923.
- 1701 255. Haines L, Dickman E, Ayvazyan S, et al. Ultrasound-guided fascia iliaca compartment
1702 block for hip fractures in the emergency department. J Emerg Med. 2012;43:692-697.
- 1703 256. Morrison RS, Dickman E, Hwang U, et al. Regional Nerve Blocks Improve Pain and
1704 Functional Outcomes in Hip Fracture: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Geriatr Soc.
1705 2016;64:2433-2439.
- 1706 257. Mouzopoulos G, Vasiliadis G, Lasanianos N, et al. Fascia iliaca block prophylaxis for hip
1707 fracture patients at risk for delirium: a randomized placebo-controlled study. J Orthop Traumatol.
1708 2009;10:127-133.
- 1709 258. Yun MJ, Kim YH, Han MK, et al. Analgesia before a spinal block for femoral neck
1710 fracture: fascia iliaca compartment block. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2009;53:1282-1287.
- 1711 259. Beaupre LA, Johnston DB, Dieleman S, et al. Impact of a preemptive multimodal
1712 analgesia plus femoral nerve blockade protocol on rehabilitation, hospital length of stay, and
1713 postoperative analgesia after primary total knee arthroplasty: a controlled clinical pilot study.
1714 ScientificWorldJournal. 2012;2012:273821.
- 1715 260. YaDeau JT, Cahill JB, Zawadsky MW, et al. The effects of femoral nerve blockade in
1716 conjunction with epidural analgesia after total knee arthroplasty. Anesth Analg. 2005;101:891-
1717 895, table of contents.
- 1718 261. Divella M, Cecconi M, Fasano N, et al. Pain relief after total hip replacement: oral CR
1719 oxycodone plus IV paracetamol versus epidural levobupivacaine and sufentanil. A randomized
1720 controlled trial. Minerva Anesthesiol. 2012;78:534-541.

- 1721 262. Harsten A, Hjartarson H, Werner MU, et al. General anaesthesia with multimodal
1722 principles versus intrathecal analgesia with conventional principles in total knee arthroplasty: a
1723 consecutive, randomized study. *J Clin Med Res.* 2013;5:42-48.
- 1724 263. Lamplot JD, Wagner ER, Manning DW. Multimodal pain management in total knee
1725 arthroplasty: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *J Arthroplasty.* 2014;29:329-334.
- 1726 264. Moucha CS, Weiser MC, Levin EJ. Current Strategies in Anesthesia and Analgesia for
1727 Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2016;24:60-73.
- 1728 265. Amundson AW, Johnson RL, Abdel MP, et al. A Three-arm Randomized Clinical Trial
1729 Comparing Continuous Femoral Plus Single-injection Sciatic Peripheral Nerve Blocks versus
1730 Periarticular Injection with Ropivacaine or Liposomal Bupivacaine for Patients Undergoing
1731 Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Anesthesiology.* 2017;126:1139-1150.
- 1732 266. Ng FY, Ng JK, Chiu KY, et al. Multimodal periarticular injection vs continuous femoral
1733 nerve block after total knee arthroplasty: a prospective, crossover, randomized clinical trial. *J*
1734 *Arthroplasty.* 2012;27:1234-1238.
- 1735 267. Jules-Elysee KM, Goon AK, Westrich GH, et al. Patient-controlled epidural analgesia or
1736 multimodal pain regimen with periarticular injection after total hip arthroplasty: a randomized,
1737 double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2015;97:789-798.
- 1738 268. Barrington JW, Emerson RH, Lovald ST, et al. No Difference in Early Analgesia
1739 Between Liposomal Bupivacaine Injection and Intrathecal Morphine After TKA. *Clin Orthop*
1740 *Relat Res.* 2017;475:94-105.
- 1741 269. Kelley TC, Adams MJ, Mulliken BD, et al. Efficacy of multimodal perioperative
1742 analgesia protocol with periarticular medication injection in total knee arthroplasty: a
1743 randomized, double-blinded study. *J Arthroplasty.* 2013;28:1274-1277.

- 1744 270. Xing JG, Abdallah FW, Brull R, et al. Preoperative Femoral Nerve Block for Hip
1745 Arthroscopy: A Randomized, Triple-Masked Controlled Trial. *Am J Sports Med.* 2015;43:2680-
1746 2687.
- 1747 271. Luiten WE, Schepers T, Luitse JS, et al. Comparison of continuous nerve block versus
1748 patient-controlled analgesia for postoperative pain and outcome after talar and calcaneal
1749 fractures. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2014;35:1116-1121.
- 1750 272. Schipper ON, Hunt KJ, Anderson RB, et al. Ankle Block vs Single-Shot Popliteal Fossa
1751 Block as Primary Anesthesia for Forefoot Operative Procedures: Prospective, Randomized
1752 Comparison. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2017;38:1188-1191.
- 1753 273. Galos DK, Taormina DP, Crespo A, et al. Does Brachial Plexus Blockade Result in
1754 Improved Pain Scores After Distal Radius Fracture Fixation? A Randomized Trial. *Clin Orthop*
1755 *Relat Res.* 2016;474:1247-1254.
- 1756 274. Goldstein RY, Montero N, Jain SK, et al. Efficacy of popliteal block in postoperative
1757 pain control after ankle fracture fixation: a prospective randomized study. *J Orthop Trauma.*
1758 2012;26:557-561.
- 1759 275. Ding DY, Manoli A, 3rd, Galos DK, et al. Continuous Popliteal Sciatic Nerve Block
1760 Versus Single Injection Nerve Block for Ankle Fracture Surgery: A Prospective Randomized
1761 Comparative Trial. *J Orthop Trauma.* 2015;29:393-398.
- 1762 276. Hunt KJ, Higgins TF, Carlston CV, et al. Continuous peripheral nerve blockade as
1763 postoperative analgesia for open treatment of calcaneal fractures. *J Orthop Trauma.*
1764 2010;24:148-155.
- 1765 277. The Joint Commission. New and revised pain assessment and management standards.
1766 *Perspectives (Montclair).* 2018;38:17-18.

- 1767 278. The Joint Commission. Safe use of opioids in hospitals. *Sentinel Event Alert*. 2012;49:1-
1768 5.
- 1769 279. Cashman J, Dolin S. Respiratory and haemodynamic effects of acute postoperative pain
1770 management: Evidence from published data. *Br J Anaesth*. 2004;93:212-223.
- 1771 280. Lee L, Caplan R, Stephens L, et al. Postoperative opioid-induced respiratory depression:
1772 A closed claims analysis. *Pain Med*. 2015;122:659-665.
- 1773 281. Jungquist CR, Willens JS, Dunwoody DR, et al. Monitoring for opioid-induced
1774 advancing sedation and respiratory depression: ASPMN membership survey of current practice.
1775 *Pain Manag Nurs*. 2014;15:682-693.
- 1776 282. Pasero C. Assessment of Sedation During Opioid Administration for Pain Management. *J*
1777 *Perianesth Nurs*. 2009;24:186-190.
- 1778 283. Nisbet AT, Mooney-Cotter F. Comparison of selected sedation scales for reporting
1779 opioid-induced sedation assessment. *Pain Manag Nurs*. 2009;10:154-164.
- 1780 284. Pasero C. The perianesthesia nurse's role in the prevention of opioid-related sentinel
1781 events. *J Perianesth Nurs*. 2013;28:31-37.
- 1782 285. Coffin P, Sullivan S. Cost-effectiveness of distributing naloxone to heroin users for lay
1783 overdose reversal. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013;158:1-9.
- 1784 286. Rowe C, Santos GM, Vittinghoff E, et al. Predictors of participant engagement and
1785 naloxone utilization in a community-based naloxone distribution program. *Addiction*.
1786 2015;110:1301-1310.
- 1787 287. Walley A, Xuan Z, Hackman H, et al. Opioid overdose rates and implementation of
1788 overdose education and nasal naloxone distribution in Massachusetts: interrupted time series
1789 analysis. *BMJ*. 2013;346:F174.

- 1790 288. Clark A, Wilder C, Winstanley E. A Systematic Review of Community Opioid
1791 Overdose Prevention and Naloxone Distribution Programs. *J Addict Med.* 2014;8:153-163.
- 1792 289. Finley EP, Garcia A, Rosen K, et al. Evaluating the impact of prescription drug
1793 monitoring program implementation: a scoping review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2017;17:420.
- 1794 290. Gugelmann H, Perrone J, Nelson L. Windmills and pill mills: can PDMPs tilt the
1795 prescription drug epidemic? . *J Med Toxicol.* 2012;8:378-386.
- 1796 291. Worley J. Prescription drug monitoring programs, a response to doctor shopping:
1797 purpose, effectiveness, and directions for future research. *Issues Ment Health Nurs.* 2012;33:319-
1798 328.
- 1799 292. Haegerich T, Paulozzi L, Manns B, et al. What we know, and don't know, about the
1800 impact of state policy and systems-level interventions on prescription drug overdose. *Drug*
1801 *Alcohol Depend.* 2014;145:34-47.
- 1802 293. Delcher C, Wagenaar AC, Goldberger BA, et al. Abrupt decline in oxycodone-caused
1803 mortality after implementation of Florida's Prescription Drug Monitoring Program. *Drug Alcohol*
1804 *Depend.* 2015;150:63-68.
- 1805 294. Nam Y, Shea D, Shi Y, et al. State Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs and Fatal
1806 Drug Overdoses. *Am J Manag Care.* 2017;23:297-303.
- 1807 295. Brown R, Riley MR, Ulrich L, et al. Impact of New York prescription drug monitoring
1808 program, I-STOP, on statewide overdose morbidity. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2017;178:348-354.
- 1809 296. McAllister MW, Aaronson P, Spillane J, et al. Impact of prescription drug-monitoring
1810 program on controlled substance prescribing in the ED. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2015;33:781-785.

- 1811 297. Lin HC, Wang Z, Boyd C, et al. Associations between statewide prescription drug
1812 monitoring program (PDMP) requirement and physician patterns of prescribing opioid analgesics
1813 for patients with non-cancer chronic pain. *Addict Behav.* 2018;76:348-354.
- 1814 298. Deyo RA, Hallvik SE, Hildebran C, et al. Association of Prescription Drug Monitoring
1815 Program Use with Opioid Prescribing and Health Outcomes: a Comparison of Program Users
1816 and Non-Users. *J Pain.* 2017;19:166-177.
- 1817 299. Wen H, Schackman BR, Aden B, et al. States With Prescription Drug Monitoring
1818 Mandates Saw A Reduction In Opioids Prescribed To Medicaid Enrollees. *Health Aff*
1819 (Millwood). 2017;36:733-741.
- 1820 300. Moyo P, Simoni-Wastila L, Griffin BA, et al. Impact of prescription drug monitoring
1821 programs (PDMPs) on opioid utilization among Medicare beneficiaries in 10 US States.
1822 *Addiction.* 2017;112:1784-1796.
- 1823 301. Young LD, Kreiner PW, Panas L. Unsolicited Reporting to Prescribers of Opioid
1824 Analgesics by a State Prescription Drug Monitoring Program: An Observational Study with
1825 Matched Comparison Group. *Pain Med.* 2017;19:1396-1407.
- 1826 302. Yarbrough CR. Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs Produce a Limited Impact on
1827 Painkiller Prescribing in Medicare Part D. *Health Serv Res.* 2017;53:671-689.
- 1828 303. Pardo B. Do more robust prescription drug monitoring programs reduce prescription
1829 opioid overdose? *Addiction.* 2017;112:1773-1783.
- 1830 304. Yanni LM, McKinney-Ketchum JL, Harrington SB, et al. Preparation, confidence, and
1831 attitudes about chronic noncancer pain in graduate medical education. *J Grad Med Educ.*
1832 2010;2:260-268.

- 1833 305. Mezei L, Murinson BB, Johns Hopkins Pain Curriculum Development T. Pain education
1834 in North American medical schools. *J Pain*. 2011;12:1199-1208.
- 1835 306. Davis C, Carr D. Physician continuing education to reduce opioid misuse, abuse, and
1836 overdose: Many opportunities, few requirements. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2016;163:100-107.
- 1837 307. Cervero R, Gaines J. The Impact of CME on Physician Performance and Patient Health
1838 Outcomes: An Updated Synthesis of Systematic Reviews. *J Contin Educ Health Prof*.
1839 2015;35:131-138.
- 1840 308. Katzman J, Comerci G, Landen MG, et al. Rules and values: a coordinated regulatory and
1841 educational approach to the public health crises of chronic pain and addiction. *Am J Public*
1842 *Health*. 2014;104:1356-1362.
- 1843 309. Trudeau KJ, Hildebrand C, Garg P, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial of the Effects
1844 of Online Pain Management Education on Primary Care Providers. *Pain Med*. 2017;18:680-692.
- 1845 310. Behar E, Rowe C, Santos G, et al. Academic Detailing Pilot for Naloxone Prescribing
1846 Among Primary Care Providers in San Francisco. *Fam Med*. 2017;49:122-126.
- 1847 311. Kattan J, Tuazon E, Paone D, et al. Public Health Detailing-A Successful Strategy to
1848 Promote Judicious Opioid Analgesic Prescribing. *Am J Public Health*. 2016;106:1430-1438.
- 1849 312. Donaldson SR, Harding AM, Taylor SE, et al. Evaluation of a targeted prescriber
1850 education intervention on emergency department discharge oxycodone prescribing. *Emerg Med*
1851 *Australia*. 2017;29:400-406.
- 1852 313. Hill M, Stucke R, McMahon M, et al. An Educational Intervention Decreases Opioid
1853 Prescribing After General Surgical Operations. *Ann Surg*. 2017;267:468-472.

- 1854 314. Osborn S, Yu J, Williams B, et al. Changes in Provider Prescribing Patterns After
1855 Implementation of an Emergency Department Prescription Opioid Policy. *J Emerg Med.*
1856 2017;52:538-546.
- 1857 315. Zisblatt L, Hayes SM, Lazure P, et al. Safe and competent opioid prescribing education:
1858 Increasing dissemination with a train-the-trainer program. *Subst Abus.* 2017;38:168-176.
- 1859 316. Brooks M. Mitigating the safety risks of drugs with a focus on opioids: are risk
1860 evaluation and mitigation strategies the answer? *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2014;89:1673-1684.
- 1861 317. Gottlieb S. FDA Takes Important Steps to Stem the Tide of Opioid Misuse and Abuse.
1862 U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2017.
- 1863 318. Alford D, Zisblatt L, Ng P, et al. SCOPE of Pain: An Evaluation of an Opioid Risk
1864 Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy Continuing Education Program. *Pain Med.* 2015;17:52-63.
- 1865 319. Davis D. CME and the pharmaceutical industry: two worlds, three views, four steps.
1866 *CMAJ.* 2004;171:149-150.
- 1867 320. de la Cruz M, Reddy A, Balankari V, et al. The Impact of an Educational Program on
1868 Patient Practices for Safe Use, Storage, and Disposal of Opioids at a Comprehensive Cancer
1869 Center. *Oncologist.* 2017;22:115-121.
- 1870 321. Rose P, Sakai J, Argue R, et al. Opioid information pamphlet increases postoperative
1871 opioid disposal rates: a before versus after quality improvement study. *Can J Anesth/J Can*
1872 *Anesth.* 2016;63:31-37.
- 1873 322. McCarthy DM, Wolf MS, McConnell R, et al. Improving patient knowledge and safe use
1874 of opioids: a randomized controlled trial. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2015;22:331-339.
- 1875 323. McCauley JL, Back SE, Brady KT. Pilot of a brief, web-based educational intervention
1876 targeting safe storage and disposal of prescription opioids. *Addict Behav.* 2013;38:2230-2235.

- 1877 324. Hero JO, McMurtry C, Benson J, et al. Discussing Opioid Risks With Patients to Reduce
1878 Misuse and Abuse: Evidence From 2 Surveys. *Ann Fam Med*. 2016;14:575-577.
- 1879 325. Waszak D, Mitchell A, Ren D, et al. A Quality Improvement Project to Improve
1880 Education Provided by Nurses to ED Patients Prescribed Opioid Analgesics at Discharge. *J*
1881 *Emerg Nurs*. 2017;44:336-344.
- 1882 326. Rathlev N, Almomen R, Deutsch A, et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of Electronic
1883 Care Plan Alerts and Resource Utilization by High Frequency Emergency Department Users
1884 with Opioid Use Disorder. *West J Emerg Med*. 2016;17:28-34.
- 1885 327. Butler SF, Zacharoff KL, Charity S, et al. Impact of an Electronic Pain and Opioid Risk
1886 Assessment Program: Are There Improvements in Patient Encounters and Clinic Notes? *Pain*
1887 *Med*. 2016;17:2047-2060.
- 1888 328. Gugelmann H, Shofer FS, Meisel ZF, et al. Multidisciplinary intervention decreases the
1889 use of opioid medication discharge packs from 2 urban EDs. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2013;31:1343-
1890 1348.
- 1891 329. Johnson JA, Woychek A, Vaughan D, et al. Screening for at-risk alcohol use and drug
1892 use in an emergency department: integration of screening questions into electronic triage forms
1893 achieves high screening rates. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2013;62:262-266.
- 1894 330. Kahler ZP, Musey PI, Schaffer JT, et al. Effect Of A "No Superuser Opioid Prescription"
1895 Policy On ED Visits And Statewide Opioid Prescription. *West J Emerg Med*. 2017;18:894-902.
- 1896 331. Zwank MD, Kennedy SM, Stuck LH, et al. Removing default dispense quantity from
1897 opioid prescriptions in the electronic medical record. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2017;35:1567-1569.
- 1898 332. Anderson D, Zlateva I, Khatri K, et al. Using health information technology to improve
1899 adherence to opioid prescribing guidelines in primary care. *Clin J Pain*. 2015;31:573-579.

- 1900 333. Butler SF, Zacharoff K, Charity S, et al. Electronic opioid risk assessment program for
1901 chronic pain patients: barriers and benefits of implementation. *Pain Pract.* 2014;14:E98-e105.
- 1902 334. Canada R, DiRocco D, Day S. A better approach to opioid prescribing in primary care. *J*
1903 *Fam Pract.* 2014;63:E1-E8.
- 1904 335. Stanek J, Renslow M, Kalliainen L. The effect of an educational program on opioid
1905 prescription patterns in hand surgery: a quality improvement program. *J Hand Surg Am.*
1906 2015;40:341-346.
- 1907 336. Prabhu M, McQuaid-Hanson E, Hopp S, et al. A Shared Decision-Making Intervention to
1908 Guide Opioid Prescribing After Cesarean Delivery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;130:42-46.
- 1909 337. Mack K, Jones C, Ballesteros M. Illicit Drug Use, Illicit Drug Use Disorders, and Drug
1910 Overdose Deaths in Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Areas - United States. *MMWR Surveill*
1911 *Summ.* 2017;66:1-12.
- 1912 338. Lin LA, Bohnert ASB, Kerns RD, et al. Impact of the Opioid Safety Initiative on opioid-
1913 related prescribing in veterans. *Pain.* 2017;158:833-839.
- 1914 339. Losby J, Hyatt J, Kanter M, et al. Safer and more appropriate opioid prescribing: a large
1915 healthcare system's comprehensive approach. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 2017:1-7.
- 1916 340. Akce M, Suneja A, Genord C, et al. A multifactorial intervention for hospital opioid
1917 management. *J Opioid Manag.* 2014;10:337-344.
- 1918 341. Koopman R, Kochendorfer K, Moore J, et al. A Diabetes Dashboard and Physician
1919 Efficiency and Accuracy in Accessing Data Needed for High-Quality Diabetes Care. *Ann Fam*
1920 *Med.* 2011;9:398-405.

- 1921 342. Weiner J, Balijepally V, Tanniru M. Integrating Strategic and Operational Decision
1922 Making Using Data-Driven Dashboards: The Case of St. Joseph Mercy Oakland Hospital. *J*
1923 *Healthc Manag.* 2015;60:319-330.
- 1924 343. Webster L, Webster R. Predicting Aberrant Behaviors in Opioid-Treated Patients:
1925 Preliminary Validation of the Opioid Risk Tool. *Pain Med.* 2005;6:432-442.
- 1926 344. Butler SF, Budman S, Fernandez K, et al. Cross-Validation of a Screener to Predict
1927 Opioid Misuse in Chronic Pain Patients (SOAPP-R). *J Addict Med.* 2009;3:66-73.
- 1928 345. Yudko E, Lozhkina O, Fouts A. A comprehensive review of the psychometric properties
1929 of the Drug Abuse Screening Test. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2007;32:189-198.
- 1930 346. Jones T, Lookatch S, Grant P, et al. Further validation of an opioid risk assessment tool:
1931 the Brief Risk Interview. *J Opioid Manag.* 2014;10:353-364.
- 1932 347. Butler SF, Budman S, Fernandez K, et al. Development and validation of the Current
1933 Opioid Misuse Measure. *Pain.* 2007;130:144-156.
- 1934 348. Dowell D, Zhang K, Noonan RK, et al. Mandatory Provider Review And Pain Clinic
1935 Laws Reduce The Amounts Of Opioids Prescribed And Overdose Death Rates. *Health Aff*
1936 (Millwood). 2016;35:1876-1883.
- 1937 349. Ammenwerth E, Schnell-Inderst P, Machan C, et al. The effect of electronic prescribing
1938 on medication errors and adverse drug events: a systematic review. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.*
1939 2008;15:585-600.
- 1940 350. Nuckols T, Smith-Spangler C, Morton S, et al. The effectiveness of computerized order
1941 entry at reducing preventable adverse drug events and medication errors in hospital settings: a
1942 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev.* 2014;3:56.

1943 351. Kaushal R, Shojania K, Bates D. Effects of computerized physician order entry and
 1944 clinical decision support systems on medication safety: a systematic review. Arch Intern Med.
 1945 2003;263:1409-1416.

1946 352. Genco EK, Forster JE, Flaten H, et al. Clinically Inconsequential Alerts: The
 1947 Characteristics of Opioid Drug Alerts and Their Utility in Preventing Adverse Drug Events in the
 1948 Emergency Department. Ann Emerg Med. 2016;67:240-248.e243.

1949

1950

LIST OF TABLES

1951 Table 1 - Best Practice Recommendations* for alleviation of acute pain after Musculoskeletal
 1952 Injury. *In conjunction with Pain Medication Recommendations and individualized
 1953 per Treating Physician Discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice
 1954 preferences, and state law.

1955 Table 2 -Pain Medication Recommended Taper* following a **Major Musculoskeletal Injury**
 1956 **Procedure** (e.g. operative fixation of long bone or complex joint fracture, extensive
 1957 soft tissue injury or surgery, etc.). Dosage and duration can be less if tolerated. *In
 1958 conjunction with other Best Practice Recommendations and individualized per
 1959 Treating Physician Discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice
 1960 preferences, and state law.

1961 Table 3 - Pain Medication Recommended Taper* following a **Minor Musculoskeletal Injury**
 1962 **Procedure** (e.g. operative fixation of small bone or simple joint fracture, minimal soft
 1963 tissue injury or surgery, etc.). Dosage and duration can be less if tolerated. *In
 1964 conjunction with other Best Practice Recommendations and individualized per

- 1965 Treating Physician Discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice
 1966 preferences, and state law.
- 1967 Table 4 - Pain Medication Recommended Taper* following a **Non-operative Musculoskeletal**
 1968 **Injury** (e.g. closed management of injury, laceration repair, etc.). Dosage and duration
 1969 can be less if tolerated. *In conjunction with other Best Practice Recommendations
 1970 and individualized per Treating Physician Discretion according to patient
 1971 characteristics, local practice preferences, and state law.
- 1972 Table 5 - Pasero Opioid-induced Sedation Scale (POSS) With Intervention.²⁸²
 1973
 1974 Footnotes for Table 1:
- 1975 N/A not applicable; ISS injury severity scale; ICU intensive care unit; CHD coronary heart
 1976 disease; CTS carpal tunnel syndrome; MVC motor vehicle crash; ED emergency department;
 1977 OB/GYN obstetrics and gynecology; TENS transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; RCT
 1978 randomized clinical trial; OA osteoarthritis; TKA total knee arthroplasty; THA total hip
 1979 arthroplasty; CTSI close soft tissue injury; POD post-operative day; ASA American Society of
 1980 Anesthesiologists; XR extended release; ACL anterior cruciate ligament; MIS minimally
 1981 invasive surgery; PLIF post lumbar interbody fusion; ORIF open reductions internal fixation;
 1982 NSAID non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; BSN Bachelor of Science Nursing; ER/LA
 1983 extended release/long acting; SCOPE Safe and Competent Opioid Prescribing Education; CAS
 1984 clinical assessment system; COT chronic opioid therapy.

Table 1 - Best Practice Recommendations* for alleviation of acute pain after Musculoskeletal Injury. *In conjunction with Pain Medication Recommendations and individualized per Treating Physician Discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice preferences, and state law.

Category	Recommendations
Pain Medication Strategies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use multimodal analgesia (MMA). MMA may include non-steroidal anti-inflammatories (NSAIDs), acetaminophen, gabapentinoids, and immediate-release opioids. • Prescribe the lowest effective immediate-release opioid dose for the shortest period possible. • Do not use extended-release opioids. • Consider local or regional block anesthesia as part of the post-operative multimodal regimen.
Cognitive Strategies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss alleviation of pain, expected recovery course, and patient experience at all encounters. • Connect patients with pain that is greater or more persistent than expected and patients with substantial symptoms of depression, anxiety, or post-traumatic stress or less effective coping strategies (greater catastrophic thinking, lower self-efficacy) to psychosocial interventions and resources. • Consider using strategies for optimal mindset such as aromatherapy, music therapy, or approaches based on cognitive behavioral therapy.
Physical Strategies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use immobilization, ice, and elevation appropriately. • Consider the use of transcutaneous electrical stimulation (TENS) units. • Consider the use of cryotherapy units.
Strategies for Patients on Long Term Opioids at Presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Utilize balanced physical, cognitive, and pharmaceutical strategy for alleviation of pain • Ensure that there is only one prescriber by coordinating with acute pain service (APS) (or addiction medicine or psychiatry depending on resources) when inpatient and the patient's prescriber when outpatient.
Pain Assessment Strategies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assess pain and sedation regularly for inpatients with short validated tools.
System Strategies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Query the state and relevant regional Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) before prescribing opioids. • Develop and support the implementation of clinical decision support for opioid prescribing in the electronic medical record. • Support opioid education efforts for prescribers and patients. • Implement pain medication prescribing strategy or policy.

Table 2 -Pain Medication Recommended Taper* following a **Major Musculoskeletal Injury**

Procedure (e.g. operative fixation of long bone or complex joint fracture, extensive soft tissue injury or surgery, etc.). Dosage and duration can be less if tolerated. *In conjunction with other Best Practice Recommendations and individualized per Treating Physician Discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice preferences, and state law.

Status	Opioid	Non-opioid
Inpatient		
	Oxycodone/Acetaminophen 5mg/325mg 1 tab po q 4 hours PRN moderate pain 5mg/325mg 2 tabs po q 6 hours PRN severe pain (hold next acetaminophen scheduled dose) Hydromorphone 1mg IV q 3 hours PRN for severe breakthrough pain	Ketorolac 15mg IV q 6 hours x 5 doses, followed by Ibuprofen 600mg po q 8 hours Gabapentin 100mg 1 tab po TID Scheduled Acetaminophen 500mg po q 12 hours
Post Discharge		
Week 1 (at discharge)	Oxycodone/Acetaminophen 5mg/325mg 1 tab po q 4 hours PRN Dispense - #42 (1 time Rx, No Refills)	Ibuprofen 600mg po q 8 hours x 7 days (Rx Given) Gabapentin 100mg 1 tab po TID x 7days (Rx given) Scheduled Acetaminophen 500mg po q12 hours x 7 days (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
	Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 5mg/325mg or Tramadol 50mg (Only If Necessary – 3 Rx Max)	NSAIDs PRN as directed Gabapentin if necessary (up to 1800mg/day)
Week 2	1 tab po q 4 hours PRN Dispense - #42	Scheduled Acetaminophen 500mg po q12 hours (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
Week 3	1 tab po q6 hours PRN Dispense - #28	Scheduled Acetaminophen 1000mg po q12 hours (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
Week 4	1 tab po q8 hours PRN Dispense - #21	Scheduled Acetaminophen 1000mg po q8 hours (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
Weeks 5+		NSAIDs PRN as directed Acetaminophen PRN as directed Gabapentin if necessary (then wean)

Table 3 - Pain Medication Recommended Taper* following a **Minor Musculoskeletal Injury**

Procedure (e.g. operative fixation of small bone or simple joint fracture, minimal soft tissue injury or surgery, etc.). Dosage and duration can be less if tolerated. *In conjunction with other Best Practice Recommendations and individualized per Treating Physician Discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice preferences, and state law.

Status	Opioid	Non-opioid
Post Discharge		
Week 1	Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 5mg/325mg or Tramadol 50mg 1 tab po q 6 hours PRN Dispense - #28 (1 time Rx, No Refills)	Ibuprofen 600mg po q 8 hours x 7 days (Rx Given) Gabapentin 100mg 1 tab po TID x 7 days (Rx given) Scheduled Acetaminophen 1000mg po q12 hours (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
Week 2	Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 5mg/325mg or Tramadol 50mg (Only If Necessary – 2 Rx Max) 1 tab po q 8 hours PRN Dispense - #21	NSAIDs PRN as directed Gabapentin if Necessary (up to 1800mg/day) Scheduled Acetaminophen 1000mg po q8 hours (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
Week 3	1 tab po q12 hours PRN Dispense #14	Scheduled Acetaminophen 1000mg po q8 hours (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
Weeks 4+		NSAIDs PRN as directed Acetaminophen PRN as directed

Table 4 - Pain Medication Recommended Taper* following a **Non-operative Musculoskeletal Injury** (e.g. closed management of injury, laceration repair, etc.). Dosage and duration can be less if tolerated. *In conjunction with other Best Practice Recommendations and individualized per Treating Physician Discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice preferences, and state law.

Injury Category	Opioid	Non-Opioid
Minor Injury (e.g. small bone fracture, sprain, laceration, etc.)	Tramadol 50mg (Only If Necessary - 2 Rx Max) 1 tab po q 6 hours PRN Dispense - #20, then #10	NSAIDs PRN as directed Scheduled Acetaminophen 1000mg po q8 hours, then PRN as directed
Major Injury (e.g. large bone fracture, rupture, etc.)	Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 5mg/325mg or Tramadol 50mg (Only If Necessary – 2 Rx Max) 1 tab po q 6 hours PRN Dispense - #20, then #10	NSAIDs PRN as directed Scheduled Acetaminophen 1000mg po q12 hours, then PRN as directed

Table 5 - Pasero Opioid-induced Sedation Scale (POSS) With Intervention.²⁸²

Score	Category	Intervention
S	Sleepy, easy to arouse	Acceptable; no action necessary; may increase opioid dose if needed
1	Awake and alert	Acceptable; no action necessary; may increase opioid dose if needed
2	Slightly drowsy, easily aroused	Acceptable; no action necessary; may increase opioid dose if needed
3	Frequently drowsy, arousable, drifts off to sleep during conversation	Unacceptable; monitor respiratory status and sedation level closely until sedation level is stable at less than 3 and respiratory status is satisfactory; decrease opioid dose 25% to 50% or notify prescriber or anesthesiologist for orders; consider administering a non-sedating, opioid-sparing non-opioid, such as acetaminophen or an NSAID, if not contraindicated
4	Somnolent, minimal or no response to verbal or physical stimulation	Unacceptable; stop opioid; consider administering naloxone; notify prescriber or anesthesiologist; monitor respiratory status and sedation level closely until sedation level is stable at less than 3 and respiratory status is satisfactory